# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

# In the Matter of:

AN INQUIRY INTO UNIVERSAL	) ADM	INISTRATIVE
SERVICE AND FUNDING ISSUES	) CAS	SE NO. 360

# INDEX

	PAGE
INTRODUCTION	1
UNIVERSAL SERVICE COST MODEL SELECTION	5
FCC Criteria Compliance	6
Costing Universal Service on a Wirecenter Basis	14
DEFINITION OF BASIC LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE	14
DESIGNATED SERVING AREAS	15
INPUTS TO MODEL	19
REVENUE BENCHMARKS	24
FUND SIZE	26
FUND ASSESSMENTS	27
Assessment to Customers	27
Billing All Telecommunications Carriers	28
Universal Service Network Access Rate Elements	29
Commission Decision	30
FLIMINATION OF WINDFALLS	30

	PAGE
PRIMARY ACCESS LINE SUPPORT	35
ELIGIBLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS	36
ADDITIONAL LOW-INCOME SUPPORT	37
SCHOOLS, LIBRARIES, AND HEALTH CARE	38
FUND ADMINISTRATION	38
CONCLUSION	38
ORDERING PARAGRAPHS	39

### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

AN INQUIRY INTO UNIVERSAL SERVICE AND FUNDING ISSUES

) ADMINISTRATIVE ) CASE NO. 360

### ORDER

#### INTRODUCTION

The Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) (the "Act" or the "1996 Act"), specifically provides for states to adopt mechanisms that preserve and advance universal service. Such mechanisms must be "specific, predictable, and sufficient" and must not "burden federal universal support mechanisms. The decisions reached herein comply with those principles as well as those enumerated elsewhere in the 1996 Act. The first principle of the Universal Service Fund ("USF") created herein is to ensure that quality service is provided at just, reasonable, and affordable rates. Ensuring that quality service is available to all Kentuckians at reasonable rates has been a primary goal of this Commission since its inception. That goal has been achieved in the past, in part, by means of a complex system of implicit subsidies. Prices for certain services such as toll, access, and vertical services were set above their economic costs, and prices for basic residential service, especially in rural areas, generally were set below their economic costs. However,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 254(f).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ld.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 254(b).

complex costing methods and allocations have made it difficult in the past to determine the economic cost of the services. The purpose of this proceeding is to determine the amount of implicit high cost subsidy on a forward-looking basis and create an explicit mechanism to fulfill the necessary functions of those subsidies.

The Act, at Section 254(e), requires, among other things, that universal service support be explicit. The Federal Communications Commission's ("FCC") order on universal service explains why implicit subsidies should be replaced by an explicit mechanism:

This system [of implicit subsidies] is not sustainable in its current form in a competitive environment. . . . In a competitive market, a carrier that attempts to charge rates significantly above cost to a class of customers will lose many of those customers to a competitor. This incentive to entry by competitors in the lowest cost, highest profit market segments means that today's pillars of implicit subsidies -- high access charges, high prices for business services, and the averaging of rates over broad geographic areas -- will be under attack. New competitors can target service to more profitable customers without having to build into their rates the types of cross-subsidies that have been required of existing carriers who serve all customers.<sup>4</sup>

The FCC has also found that "the states acting pursuant to sections 254(f) and 253 of the Communications Act, must in the first instance be responsible for identifying implicit universal service support. We believe that, as competition develops, states may be compelled by market place forces to convert implicit support to explicit, sustainable

In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, Report and Order (May 8, 1997) ("FCC Order") at paragraph 17.

mechanisms consistent with section 254(f)."<sup>5</sup> This Commission concurs with the FCC's reasoning and with its approach, and determines, based upon findings to be discussed in this Order, that the state portion of the high cost subsidy is approximately \$98 million annually. Because these subsidies have been necessary to preserve affordable rates in Kentucky, the Commission concludes that for the time being this amount, in addition to low-income support discussed herein, is required in the intrastate USF. This Order discusses these findings and related issues.

The evolution of the telecommunications industry has given rise to numerous Commission proceedings in recent years. In response to burgeoning competition and technological advances, the Commission has reduced regulatory requirements and exempted certain telephone services from regulation pursuant to enabling legislation, KRS 278.512.6 In 1995, the Commission initiated a proceeding, the predecessor to this one, to investigate the viability of local competition, to expand universal service goals,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> FCC Order at paragraph 202.

See, generally, Administrative Case No. 273, An Inquiry Into Inter- and IntraLATA Intrastate Competition in Toll and Related Services Markets in Kentucky; Administrative Case No. 323, An Inquiry Into IntraLATA Toll Competition, and Appropriate Competition Scheme for Completion of IntraLATA Calls By Interexchange Carriers, and WATS Jurisdictionality; Administrative Case No. 340, An Investigation Into Diversified Operations of Local Exchange Telephone Companies; Administrative Case No. 344, Inquiry Into the Provision and Regulation of Cellular Mobile Telephone Service in Kentucky; Administrative Case No. 359, Exemptions for Interexchange Carriers, Long-Distance Resellers, Operator Service Providers and Customer-Owned, Coin-Operated Telephones; Administrative Case No. 370, Exemptions for Providers of Local Exchange Service Other Than Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers.

and to address the feasibility of reducing the non-traffic sensitive ("NTS") revenue requirement.<sup>7</sup> During the pendency of that docket, the Congress enacted the 1996 Act.<sup>8</sup>

In the September 26, 1996 Order in Administrative Case No. 355, the Commission established preliminary issues and initial parameters for universal service. The Commission found that an intrastate USF should be established to comply with minimum federal standards, and that it should support a single residential access line for Kentucky subscribers, promote facilities-based competition, support low-income assistance, and cover its administrative costs. The Commission determined that all telecommunications service providers regulated by the Commission would contribute toward universal service, except for payphone providers, and that the assessment upon each carrier would be based on the percentage of gross intrastate revenues net of payments to other carriers.

At that time, the Commission contemplated that universal service funding would be initiated on a flash-cut transition basis. Thus, NTS rate elements would be removed from access charges and intrastate toll rates once the fund was initiated. However, based on the evidence received in this proceeding, the Commission's initial findings have been significantly altered.

Administrative Case No. 355, An Inquiry Into Local Competition, Universal Service, and the Non-Traffic Sensitive Access Rate.

The 1996 Act became law February 8, 1996.

Administrative Case No. 355, Order dated September 26, 1996 at 27 and 28.

### UNIVERSAL SERVICE COST MODEL SELECTION

The Commission first evaluated the Hatfield Model Version 2.2.2 in interconnection arbitration proceedings held pursuant to Section 252 of the Act.<sup>10</sup> Subsequently, the model has evolved into the current HAI Model, Release 5.0a ("HAI Model").<sup>11</sup> The Commission has also seen the Benchmark Cost Proxy Model evolve through four stages, culminating in the BCPM 3.1 ("BCPM"). Both models continue to evolve as the FCC and the states refine their critiques and suggestions and the model builders attempt to satisfy their clients' needs.

The Commission must choose a universal service cost model by May 26, 1998 to satisfy FCC requirements. As this deadline has approached, the FCC has continued to request further comments on principle inputs.<sup>12</sup> Accordingly, this Order will satisfy federal requirements imposed upon the states, as well as implement the Commission's desire to establish a USF in Kentucky.

It should be noted that each of the arbitration proceedings before the Commission established unbundled network element ("UNE") pricing based on total element long run incremental costs ("TELRIC"), as submitted by the incumbent local exchange carriers ("ILECs").

The HAI Model, formerly known as the Hatfield Model, was developed by HAI Consulting, Inc. at the request of AT&T Communications of the South Central States, Inc. and MCI Communications Corporation.

Common Carrier Bureau Requests Further Comment on Selected Issues Regarding the Forward-Looking Economic Cost Mechanism for Universal Service Support, DA 98-848, CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 97-160 (May 4, 1998).

### FCC Criteria Compliance

The FCC has provided general guidance for the construction of universal service cost models, 13 supplying ten criteria which must be satisfied. 14 Both HAI Model and BCPM Model supporters claim that their model satisfies these criteria. Indeed, the criteria are sufficiently general in nature that both models do appear to satisfy all requirements. Both models successfully construct and estimate the cost of a local network. However, there are some fundamental differences in their respective modeling approaches. In modeling wirecenters with lower population densities, the HAI Model approach focuses on designing a least-cost network built to serve large numbers of people over a wide geographic area and to provide access to comparable levels of service between urban and rural customers. The BCPM modeling approach focuses more on providing identical levels of service between urban and rural customers in a least-cost manner. Compared to the HAI Model, the BCPM tends to design smaller distribution and serving areas and places more of them within a wirecenter to serve a given number of people. Fewer but larger distribution and serving areas means that the HAI Model tends to install fewer remote terminals, serving area interfaces, and other types of plant than does the BCPM Model. Given these fundamentally different approaches to designing the local network, it is to be expected that the BCPM supporters would claim that the HAI Model underbuilds the network and will not work By the same token, HAI Model supporters claim that the BCPM Model

See, generally, FCC Order at paragraphs 223-272.

ld. at paragraph 250.

overdesigns and overbuilds the network far beyond what is reasonable and necessary to satisfy FCC requirements.<sup>15</sup>

The Integrated Cost Model ("ICM") being developed by GTE South Incorporated ("GTE") could potentially be used to estimate the cost of local service for universal service purposes. However, the ICM has not been introduced into this case. GTE has indicated its willingness temporarily to accept and support the BCPM model, but states it wishes to substitute the ICM at the appropriate time.<sup>16</sup>

GTE and Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company ("CBT") believe that each ILEC should have the freedom to choose its own universal service cost model and that all model inputs should be company specific.<sup>17</sup> Rather than taking a statewide view of what constitutes a least-cost, forward-looking technology network, GTE argues that the Commission must focus on each ILEC individually as the standard to judge what is a "least-cost" network utilizing a specific forward-looking technological design. GTE contends that a least-cost network utilizing specific, forward-looking technology will not necessarily be the same, for example, between adjacent GTE and BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") wirecenters.

<sup>15</sup> It is interesting to note that in the parties' efforts to discredit each other's positions, much discussion sometimes focused on user-definable default input values, the use of which neither party was actually advocating.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> GTE March 27, 1998 Brief at 16.

<sup>17</sup> Id. and CBT March 1998 Brief at 1 and 2.

It is commonly accepted that the universal service model designs a hypothetical least-cost local network utilizing forward-looking technology and encompassing certain actual local network characteristics. Such a hypothetical network would be designed and operated by an efficient firm operating in a competitive environment. The Georgetown Consulting Group ("GCG") on behalf of BellSouth argues that the Commission should take a statewide approach and utilize input data which most closely represents what a competitively efficient firm would experience. The Commission agrees and finds that a statewide perspective should be taken in the design of the Kentucky universal service mechanism. There is no evidence in the record to suggest that a hypothetical, efficient firm would either change its network design or alter its resource procurement practices simply because it operates in wirecenters formerly monopolized by different ILECs. An input value obtained from GTE data could be used as the representative data input into the universal service cost model for use in a BellSouth wirecenter, or vice versa.

Accepting GTE's reasoning regarding the need for a company-specific model and inputs would impose unnecessary restrictions upon the hypothetical firm in the model. Moreover, acceptance of GTE's position could also serve to insulate the ILEC from the cost pressures that otherwise would be imposed on it by a competing firm. Accordingly, to the extent that GTE advocates placing a binding rule upon the Commission for choosing both a universal service model and model inputs, its argument is rejected.

The Commission notes that GTE has argued that "[t]he universal service fund must be sufficient to replace all of GTE's existing implicit subsidies, which are based on

Transcript of Evidence ("TE"), Vol. VI dated November 14, 1997 at 60 and 61.

GTE's actual costs." It argues that it is entitled to a continuation of the opportunity to recover its prudently incurred investments plus a reasonable profit.<sup>20</sup> However, the FCC has concluded that embedded costs are not appropriate for determining universal service support, specifically rejecting the argument that "only a universal service mechanism that calculates support based on a carrier's embedded cost will provide sufficient support."21 The Commission concurs, and rejects GTE's contention that, if it is not assured of recovering its embedded costs, its property will have been taken without due process of law. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia has rejected a similar argument made by GTE in its appeal of a local interconnection agreement. See GTE South Inc. v. Morrison, et al., C.A. No. 3:97CV493 (E.D.Va., May 19, 1998). That court found, citing Williamson Co. Regional Planning v. Hamilton Bank, 473 U.S. 172 (1985), that GTE's unconstitutional takings claim was not ripe because GTE had not sought just compensation through existing statutory mechanisms. Precisely the same circumstance exists here. GTE cannot claim that it has been deprived of just compensation when it has not applied for rate review pursuant to KRS 278.190. GTE may appear at any time before this Commission for a thorough review of its rates, so that an appropriate determination of its needs can be made.

The Commission must determine which model and input values best estimate the universal service costs. Inserting comparable input values into both the HAI Model and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> GTE March 27, 1998 Brief at 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> <u>Id.</u> at 11-12.

The FCC states, "[t]he term 'embedded cost' refers to a carrier's historic loop or switching costs. FCC Order at paragraphs 227 and 228.

the BCPM Model yields universal service cost estimates within established zones of reasonableness when the same benchmarks are used. However, in the Commission's opinion, the HAI Model reflects more appropriate network costs. Moreover, the HAI Model more accurately locates customers and is more open to public review. Therefore, the Commission adopts the HAI Model to establish the Kentucky USF and determines that the HAI Model complies with the FCC's criteria as discussed below.<sup>22</sup>

#### **CRITERION 1**

The technology assumed in the cost study or model must be the least-cost, most-efficient, and reasonable technology for providing the supported services that is currently being deployed. A model, however, must include the ILECs' wire centers as the center of the loop network and the outside plant should terminate at the ILECs' current wire centers. The loop design incorporated into a forward-looking economic cost study or model should not impede the provision of advanced services. For example, load coils should not be used because they impede the provision of advanced services. Wire center line counts should equal actual incumbent LEC wire center line counts, and the study's or model's average loop length should reflect the incumbent carrier's actual average loop length.

The Commission determined that the nature of the design of the HAI Model aligns itself with current technology which is least-cost, most efficient and reasonable. The HAI Model engineers the complete network including the loop. It measures the cost of switching and interoffice facilities, and explicitly engineers the signaling network necessary to provide local exchange service. The HAI Model is designed to receive line count information, by type of service and by wirecenter, for the entire state. The HAI

The Commission acknowledges that universal service models will continue to evolve while the FCC continues to investigate crucial aspects of model design and the model developers continue their work. Therefore, the Commission may, in the future, reconsider its decision of the model to be used.

Model uses the Local Exchange Routing Guide maintained by Bellcore to determine wirecenter location.

The HAI Model engineers longer loop length and in turn uses less Digital Loop Carrier ("DLC"). The current technology allows for advanced services to be provided over longer loop length. Although the HAI Model does not contain actual wirecenter line counts, the Commission intends to use current line count data.

The HAI Model produces a reasonable and accurate estimate of the average loop length for all loops in the study area. The customer location and loop methodology used to determine the loop lengths are explained in detail in the HAI Model documentation. The Commission has no reasonable way of determining the actual average loop length of all its loops in Kentucky for all classes of service. Thus, a comparison of actual average loop length to a cost proxy model loop length is not feasible. To determine the actual average loop length would require a review of each loop in this state, or at least a very comprehensive sample of loops for all classes of service. Moreover, the Commission believes that the loop lengths reflected in the HAI Model engineer an appropriate loop length for modeling purposes.

#### **CRITERION 2**

Any network function or element, such as loop, switching, transport, or signaling necessary to produce supported services must have an associated cost.

Each network function or element in the HAI Model has an associated cost.

#### **CRITERION 3**

Only long-run forward-looking economic cost may be included. The long-run period used must be a period long enough that all costs may be treated as variable and avoidable. The costs must not be the embedded cost of the facilities, functions, or elements. The study or model, however, must be based upon an examination of the

current cost of purchasing facilities and equipment, such as switches and digital loop carriers (rather than list prices).

The HAI model was developed to estimate the costs incurred by an efficient carrier building a network using current technology and costs. The consulting group designing the model used long-run forward-looking costs. The model correctly applies a long-run assumption by treating the ILECs' embedded cost structure, except for the location of wirecenters, as variable and avoidable. The Commission believes that the HAI Model meets the requirements of this criterion.

#### **CRITERION 4**

The rate of return must be either the authorized federal rate of return on interstate services, currently 11.25 percent, or the state's prescribed rate of return for intrastate services.

The parties participating in this proceeding have not been before the Commission for a rate of return proceeding in several years. Therefore, the current prescribed state rates of return are out of date and irrelevant to this proceeding. The Commission will use a prescribed rate of return of 10.3 percent. This rate of return reflects current and forward-looking conditions of the market.

#### **CRITERION 5**

Economic lives and future net salvage percentages used in calculating depreciation expense should be within the FCC-authorized range and use currently authorized depreciation lives.

The HAI Model allows the user to define the depreciation and future net salvage values. The Commission has chosen values within the FCC-authorized range as shown in the Appendices attached hereto.

#### **CRITERION 6**

The cost study or model must estimate the cost of providing service for all businesses and households within a geographic region. This includes the provision of multi-line

business services, special access, private lines, and multiple residential lines. Such inclusion of multi-line business services and multiple residential lines will permit the cost study or model to reflect the economies of scale associated with the provision of these services.

The HAI Model estimates the cost of providing service for all types of access lines within a geographic region. It then allows the user to specify which lines are to be supported by the USF.

#### **CRITERION 7**

A reasonable allocation of joint and common costs should be assigned to the cost of supported services.

The HAI Model assigns a reasonable allocation of joint and common costs to supported services.

### **CRITERION 8**

The cost study or model and all underlying data, formulae, computations, and software associated with the model should be available to all interested parties for review and comment. All underlying data should be verifiable, engineering assumptions reasonable, and outputs plausible.

The HAI Model is open and based on publicly available information, even though some preprocessing occurs. The output spreadsheets are contained in the Appendices attached hereto.

#### **CRITERION 9**

The cost study or model should include the capability to examine and modify the critical assumptions and engineering principles. These assumptions and principles include, but are not limited to, the cost of capital, depreciation rates, fill factors, input costs, overhead adjustments, retail costs, structure sharing percentages, fiber-copper cross-over points, and terrain factors.

The HAI Model has the capability to examine and modify critical assumptions and engineering principles. The input values are contained in Appendices attached hereto.

#### **CRITERION 10**

The cost study or model should deaverage support calculations to the wire center serving area level at least, and, if feasible, to even smaller areas such as a Census Block Group, Census Block, or grid cell in order to target universal service support efficiently.

The HAI Model deaverages support calculations to the wirecenter serving area level and to smaller areas, thereby meeting this criterion.

## Costing Universal Service on a Wirecenter Basis

The Commission has heard testimony from all parties to this case, and the majority of the parties believe the wirecenter level is appropriate for costing universal service. The Commission finds it is appropriate at this time to calculate universal service cost at the wirecenter level.

### DEFINITION OF BASIC LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE

The Commission has, on a preliminary basis, defined basic local exchange service for universal service purposes to include dialtone, access to touchtone, access to locally provided emergency service (911 and E911), operator services, interexchange services, directory assistance, and a white-page directory and listing for residential customers.<sup>23</sup> No party has opposed the inclusion of a white-page directory and listing. Accordingly, the Commission affirms its decision to include this addition to the FCC's list of services to be supported.

The Commission has also found that the assessments for telecommunications relay service and telecommunication devices for the deaf should be tied to customers'

Administrative Case No. 355, Order dated September 26, 1996 at 29 and 30.

access lines.<sup>24</sup> Thus, when a CLEC serves a residential or business customer instead of the ILEC, the assessment will be collected by the CLEC and paid to the TRS and TDD fund.

The Commission has also previously found that touchtone service is a mandatory requirement for basic local exchange service; however, existing customers who do not subscribe to touchtone are "grandfathered," and touchtone service will continue to be optional for these customers while they remain at their current respective addresses.<sup>25</sup>

### **DESIGNATED SERVING AREAS**

The FCC's discussion of service areas concludes "[t]hat service areas should be sufficiently small to ensure accurate targeting of high cost support and to encourage entry by competitors."<sup>26</sup> The FCC urges states not to designate existing ILEC study areas as service areas, as designating unreasonably large service areas might violate the 1966 Act.<sup>27</sup> However, the FCC does encourage state commissions, in order to promote competition, "[t]o consider designating service areas that require ILECs to serve areas that they have not traditionally served."<sup>28</sup> The FCC also states that it recognizes "[t]hat a service area cannot be tailored to the natural facilities-based service area of each entrant, but notes that ILECs, like other carriers, may use resold wholesale service

ld. at 30.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> <u>ld.</u> at 31.

FCC Order at paragraph 184.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> <u>Id.</u> at paragraph 185.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> <u>Id.</u>

or unbundled network elements to provide service in the portions of a service area where they have not constructed facilities."<sup>29</sup>

In regard to support areas, the FCC states that "it would be consistent with the Act for the Commission to base the actual level of universal service support that carriers receive on the cost of providing service within sub-units of a state-defined service area, such as a wire center or a census block group."<sup>30</sup> As discussed previously, actual universal service cost support in Kentucky will be based on costs disaggregated at the wirecenter level. An eligible telecommunications carrier ("ETC") that provides supported services using landline technology and that seeks USF support for providing one or more of the designated services within any given wirecenter must offer its services to all customers within each wirecenter for which it seeks USF support.

In regard to wireless providers, the FCC has cautioned that "[i]f a state adopts a service area that is simply structured to fit the contours of an incumbent's facilities, a new entrant, especially a CMRS-based provider, might find it difficult to conform its signal or service area to the precise contours of the incumbent's area." CMRS-based providers' service areas do not, of course, always conform to wirecenter boundaries. It is sufficient if these carriers conform to this requirement only to the extent that their FCC authorized licenses and franchised territories allow. However, they must still meet all of the necessary criteria within their operating service territories to achieve ETC status.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> <u>Id.</u>

id. at paragraph 192.

FCC Order at paragraph 185.

The Commission is also aware that the rural carriers are not required to participate at this time in universal service cost deliberations. The Commission notes, however, that all carriers must comply with 807 KAR 5:061, Section 8, and provide service without construction charges to any person requesting service within 750 feet of an existing customer of that carrier. The extension of a service area to encompass all access lines within a wirecenter applies to CLECs seeking universal service support.

Though the Commission currently chooses the wirecenter as the designated serving area, it may wish to consider other alternatives in the future. Accordingly, the Commission seeks comments on whether universal service would be better served if each county were a designated service area. There are counties in Kentucky whose residents are served by a different telecommunications carrier than that serving their county seat. These customers may incur toll charges to call the county seat or other parts of the county. This situation has generated numerous complaints and calls for action by county authorities and other elected officials. This situation has also generated problems concerning customers' ability to call the appropriate emergency authorities on a 911 or E911 basis. In this case, customers residing near the county boundary, who are served by a carrier other than that serving the county seat, may get the neighboring county's emergency authorities upon dialing 911 or E911. The emergency authorities in the neighboring counties often must forward messages to each other or have some other arrangement in place. However, when neighboring counties have difficulties cooperating with each other, delays in connecting Kentucky citizens with the proper emergency authorities can have devastating consequences.

One of the primary requirements of the 1996 Act for ETC status is access to 911 or E911 services. The comments should address whether access to 911 or E911 services should mean access to those emergency authorities and services that have been designated for the citizen seeking to use the service. In other words, the comments should address whether every citizen must have equal access to the emergency authorities and services within his own respective county.

Comments should also address general issues concerning whether the minimum service area for USF purposes should be designated as the county boundary,<sup>32</sup> including (1) whether county serving areas would encourage the companies to enter into interconnection agreements with each other and foster local competition across the state; (2) whether competition between ILECs for each other's customers would help alleviate the county-wide calling problems; and (3) whether facilities-based competition may help to solve the 911/E911 problem through facilitating switch upgrades and other necessary actions.

Though rural carriers are not now participating in the USF, the Commission invites the rural companies to work with CBT, BellSouth, and GTE in commenting on solutions to 911/E911 and county-wide calling problems that may continue for any counties within their respective operating territories.

This issue may also be a key factor in determining "affordability." The FCC in its Order at paragraph 114, discusses non-rate factors affecting "affordability" in considering [calling area], an examination that would focus solely on the number of subscribers to whom one has access for local service in a local calling area would be insufficient. [A] determination that the calling area reflects the pertinent "community of interest," allowing subscribers to call hospitals, schools, and other essential services without incurring a toll charge is appropriate."

## **INPUTS TO MODEL**

The Commission has selected inputs for the HAI Model based on the criterion that the model should estimate the costs of a forward-looking, least-cost network. The cost model should not duplicate the existing network or the costs of the existing providers. The goal of the use of a cost model in this proceeding is to make existing subsidies in the network charges explicit to the end-users. Therefore, the inputs selected in this proceeding will be used for all service areas.

The Commission finds that some of the inputs that are used in the default version of the HAI Model are reasonable and accurate. Others variables will be changed to reflect the conditions in Kentucky and are discussed below.

The Commission has determined that the appropriate costs of debt and equity for use in the forward-looking cost model are 7 percent and 12.5 percent, respectively. The FCC's list of criteria allows the states to select cost of capital that is either equal to the FCC's current 11.25 percent or a cost of capital that is prescribed at the state level. Based on the Commission's analysis, the current and future conditions will not allow for 11.25 percent cost of capital. The FCC's prescribed cost of capital has remained unchanged for many years and was developed prior to the advent of local competitive pressures. Also, trends in interest rates are forecasted to remain flat over the next several years. The Commission has determined that a forward-looking capital structure of 40 percent debt and 60 percent equity is reasonable.

The Commission has used the input of 65 percent for Distribution Fill for all density zones. The Commission believes that default values in the HAI Model overstate

the amount of fill that would be observed in the current and future of telecommunications.

The Commission has selected 150 feet for the value for Drop Distance. The default values in the HAI Model are not representative of conditions in Kentucky. GCG found the average aerial and buried drops to be 325 and 250 feet, respectively. However, the Commission finds these lengths appear to be overstated. Therefore, the Commission selects 150 feet for all density zones.

The Aerial Drop and Buried Drop placement cost selected are \$45.90 and \$.59, respectively. This is an average cost selected by the GCG for all density zones. The Commission finds these costs to be reasonable.

The Buried Drop sharing fraction selected is 85 percent for all density zones. The default value for the HAI Model is 50 percent for all density zones. The default value, which represents two entities sharing a common trench, cannot reasonably be expected in current and future networks. On the other hand, the GCG's recommendation that no sharing be considered is unreasonable.

The Buried Cable Jacketing Multiplier represents the additional cost of buried distribution cable compared to the cost of underground and aerial distribution cable. The default value of the HAI Model is 1.04. The GCG selected 1.044 based on its analysis of buried and aerial distribution cost specific to Kentucky. The Commission will use 1.044 for the Buried Cable Jacketing Multiplier.

The Commission adopts the prices recommended by the GCG for the Network Interface Device ("NID"). The cost of the NID for residential and business case shall be

\$7.57; the cost of the basic labor for the residential and business case NID shall be \$32.30; and the cost of the protection block per pair for the residential and business case shall be \$8.08. Terminal and splicing costs will be set at zero; they are included in the calculation of the costs named above.

The Commission adopts the recommendation by the GCG for Digital Loop Carrier. The GCG analysis used Kentucky-specific data. Although GCG recommended the use of two types in its forward-looking analysis, the Commission's output does not incorporate the Litespan system, since the integration of both in a single run is not possible in this release.

The values developed by the GCG for Distribution Cable Investment per foot pricing were developed using Kentucky-specific data and upon reviewing the data and its relationship to other similar inputs. The Commission finds GCG's recommendation on this issue to be reasonable and will use the values it recommends.

The maximum distance of the copper loop is set at 18,000 feet for default. At the hearing the parties disagreed as to whether the model contained the proper electronics to support such distances. There was also conflicting testimony as to whether the loop would perform properly at these distances. The Commission has chosen 15,000 feet as the maximum distance of the copper loop. It is the Commission's belief that today's technology allows the market place to install copper loop at distances beyond the traditional 12,000 feet presently prescribed by engineering standards. Although this determination represents a compromise, it is our expectation that forward-looking technology will permit the longer length.

The Commission adopted the serving area interface ("SAI") outdoor investment inputs recommended by the GCG. GCG argues that the default values in the HAI Model are not representative of conditions that exist in Kentucky and questions the genesis of the default values. The Commission agrees and will use the values suggested by the GCG.

The copper feeder fill and fiber feeder strand fill values are .727 and .945, respectively, for all density zones. These values are based on the GCG recommendations. They are based on currently used system values in Kentucky. The Commission agrees that these values are representative of forward-looking system design.

The Commission will use the values selected by the GCG for fiber feeder investment per foot and copper feeder investment per foot. These values are based on actual cost paid by BellSouth for fiber and copper in Kentucky. The default values lacked necessary supporting documentation, and the Commission will use the GCG values based on verifiable studies.

The GCG recommended values for all categories of copper manholes and fiber pullboxes is zero on the basis that distribution costs are not segregated. In the development of costs for copper and fiber investment, GCG included manhole and pullbox costs. Because the Commission chooses GCG's corresponding values, it is appropriate to also use its recommended values for cooper manholes and fiber pullboxes.

The Forward Looking Network Operations Factor is used to adjust expenses that will occur in the future. The factor recommended by AT&T Communications of the South Central States, Inc. and MCI Communications Corporation is 50 percent. The Commission, however, adopts 70 percent. It is unreasonable to expect the ILECs to shed 50 percent of this expense even in a forward-looking competitive market. On the other hand, it is equally unreasonable to assume that the ILECs will be able to compete without dramatically transferring expenses.

The sharing factors for aerial distribution will be set at 48 percent, buried distribution at 85 percent, and underground distribution at 85 percent. It is the Commission's opinion that the future telecommunications landscape will not allow for sharing in excess of these amounts.

The Commission has selected depreciation factors that fall within the ranges approved by the FCC, but notes that, although the factors selected are reasonable for the purposes of initializing a USF, certain factors may not reflect truly forward-looking competitive rates.

GCG recommended that the cost of underground and buried excavation and restoration be modified on a Kentucky-specific basis. In its analysis, the Commission notes that on a weighted average basis, the GCG's recommendation is lower than the default but increases the universal service cost. However, when GCG inputs are allocated to all input fields, the universal service cost tracks appropriately. Thus, GCG's recommended trench costs are adopted.

All inputs selected by the Commission are contained in the Appendices attached hereto.

### **REVENUE BENCHMARKS**

A revenue benchmark, according to the FCC, should be used to calculate federal universal service support, and "[t]he revenue benchmark should take account not only of the retail price currently charged for local service, but also of other revenues the carrier receives as a result of providing service, including vertical service revenue, and interstate and intrastate access revenues." The FCC has provided a detailed discussion of revenue benchmarks. Generally, the ILECs do not support the use of revenue benchmarks as proposed by the FCC. BellSouth, GTE, and CBT do not support the use of a revenue benchmark that includes revenues from non-supported services, i.e., toll, vertical and discretionary services. They argue these services currently provide implicit support for universal service. However, including revenues from services in the revenue benchmark will serve to include implicit support levels in an explicit support mechanism. These implicit support levels cannot be sustained in a competitive environment. Therefore, only the costs and revenues generated from supported services should be calculated. GTE specifically argues that the implicit

FCC Order at paragraph 200.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> <u>Id.</u> at paragraphs 257-267.

See, generally, GTE March 28, 1998 Brief at 5-7, and BellSouth March 27, 1998 Brief at 43-45, and CBT March, 1998 Brief at 2. In addition BellSouth and GTE argue that preserving the implicit subsidy levels in access, vertical and discretionary service rates is contrary to the 1996 Act, Section 254(e).

subsidies inherent in toll, access, and vertical services should be removed and made explicit.<sup>36</sup>

The Commission agrees with the FCC in principle and adopts the use of a revenue benchmark for determining universal service support. The Commission also agrees that other revenues, in addition to local service retail revenues, should be included in the revenue benchmark calculation. The benchmark should include all revenues that a new entrant may expect to obtain from market entry. The USF will serve its function, supporting carriers serving in high-cost areas, by contributing only monies equal to costs in excess of revenue benchmarks.

However, the Commission finds that a revenue benchmark reflecting state-specific revenues is preferable to a national average revenue benchmark, provided that components included for cost and revenue calculations are matched. The ILECs provided the Commission with summary pages of their respective billing analysis for each wirecenter with inadequate support. The Commission is aware that selection of the proper revenue benchmark is equally important to selecting the proper cost model and inputs. With insufficient backup data to verify the ILECs' work results, the Commission will not adopt the results as filed. Therefore, the Commission will require the ILECs to submit detailed billing analyses for the Commission to verify and calculate a revenue benchmark. The Commission will schedule an informal conference with BellSouth, GTE and CBT to discuss revenue benchmark construction methodology.

ld. at 6. See BellSouth March 27, 1998 Brief at 45.

While ILECs and other eligible carriers change service prices, introduce new services, and gain or lose customers, the revenue benchmark can change. In order to assure that the proper amount of USF subsidy is being remunerated to eligible carriers, quarterly monitoring revenue reports should be submitted to the USF administrator.

#### **FUND SIZE**

Once a universal service cost model has been selected and populated with the appropriate inputs, and the level of customer aggregation has been determined, then the cost of providing the supported services can be calculated. These costs are then compared to the relevant revenue benchmark to determine how much money is required to be collected. CBT calculated its USF needs to be \$28 million;<sup>37</sup> GTE calculated its USF needs to be \$145.3 million;<sup>38</sup> and BellSouth calculated its USF needs to be \$209.4 million.<sup>39</sup>

Based on the Commission's findings, the state high-cost fund size is \$98 million.

The Commission calculates the intrastate high cost USF for CBT to be \$7 million, for

CBT March, 1998 Brief at 3. CBT calculated total residential high-cost support by using an internally generated cost model to determine the cost of a residential line and used a wirecenter specific benchmark.

GTE March 27, 1998 Brief at 14. This amount is calculated based on BCPM using GTE's calculated wirecenter specific revenue benchmark for the state portion and the \$31 residential and \$51 business revenue benchmarks for the federal portion. This results in a federal high cost fund requirement of \$23.8 million and a state high cost fund requirement of \$121.5 million.

BellSouth March 1998 Brief at 2. This amount is calculated based on the BCPM using BellSouth's calculated wirecenter specific revenue benchmark for the state portion and the \$31 residential and \$51 business revenue benchmarks for the federal portion. This results in a federal high cost fund requirement of \$30.5 million and a state high cost fund requirement of \$178.9 million.

GTE to be \$36 million, and for BellSouth to be \$55 million. This includes all inputs previously described. The benchmarks of \$31 for residential lines and \$51 for business lines were used in the calculation. The fund provides support for primary residential lines and single business lines.

#### **FUND ASSESSMENTS**

There are four broad avenues to collect USF monies: (1) impose a subscriber line charge ("SLC") on a flat-rate basis; (2) impose a SLC on a percentage of service billed; (3) assess all telecommunications providers based on retail revenues; or (4) create explicit universal service usage sensitive network access rate elements.

The USF cost model estimates that the cost of providing basic local service for selected wirecenters is less than the revenue generated for those wirecenters. In some cases, there are substantial differences between costs and revenues. Since passage of the 1996 Act, it has been a central tenet of national policy to avoid permitting basic local residential rates to increase as a result of introducing competition into the local telephone market. Meanwhile, many long-distance carriers have passed on to their customers monthly charges which account for the FCC-imposed primary interexchange carrier charge ("PICC") to fund the federal universal service fund.

#### Assessment to Customers

The Commission may assess customers a subscriber line charge. The assessment may be either flat-rated or based upon a percentage of intrastate revenues.

The FCC has declared that "we must maintain rate for basic residential service at affordable levels. We believe that the rates for this service are generally at affordable levels today." FCC Order at 2.

A flat-rated SLC imposes a relatively greater burden on customers that is not commensurate with their actual use of network functions and services. These customers may include low-income subscribers. Assessing customers a SLC based on a percentage of their intrastate bill means that those customers who use network functions and services will pay relatively more in universal service support. A percentage SLC creates a more reasonable system by assessing heavy users a share of the cost based on proportionate use. It would be levied much like a sales tax as a line item on customers' bills.

## Billing All Telecommunications Carriers

A third option to collect monies into the USF is to place an assessment on all telecommunications providers operating in Kentucky, including wireless carriers, based on revenues. This option was the Commission's initial choice in Administrative Case No. 355. There are distinct possibilities that such direct assessments would and should be passed directly onto consumers in the form of lump sum monthly charges. It is unreasonable to assume that telecommunications provider shareholders should absorb USF assessments. It is reasonable to assume and expect that USF assessments will be passed onto consumers. To the extent that USF assessments are passed on to consumers in the form of lump sum monthly fees, then consumers are left with another, albeit indirectly assessed, SLC.

See Bluegrass Cellular Corporation, March 1998 Brief at 1.

Administrative Case No. 355, Order dated September 26, 1996 at 36.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> IXCs are passing the FCC's PICC charges directly to their customers.

If the Commission creates its own SLC as part of recovering universal service costs, then the combination of these two forms of universal service assessment would mean that most, if not all, of the universal service costs could be recovered as lump sum monthly surcharges. This may perpetuate or exacerbate the cross-subsidies existing between urban and rural customers.

#### Universal Service Network Access Rate Elements

The final method of assessing universal service costs to telecommunications providers and, eventually to consumers, is to create universal service charges as explicit usage sensitive network access rate elements. Minutes of use ("MOU") have been growing quickly, much more quickly than additional line growth. Growth in MOU represents consumers placing greater value on available network services and using these services with ever greater frequency and duration. To the extent that implicit subsidies embedded in network charges are eliminated and are not replaced with explicit subsidies, consumers who derive value from actual network usage, over and above simply having access to network services, will pay rates that cover cost. However, these customers will not be contributing any additional amounts toward maintaining or expanding the local network, as was the case under the prior method of subsidization. Since it is the local network which makes all telecommunications services possible, it is arguable that those who derive value from actual network usage should be required to contribute more toward network support than those who do not use the network as heavily.

Also, eliminating implicit subsidies from network charges without replacing them with an explicit subsidy will mean that some users of the local network, who are currently contributing toward local network maintenance and upgrade, i.e., those who make out-of-region, out-of-state toll calls, and wireless calls terminating on the local network, will cease their contributions. These users of the local network should continue their contributions after the creation and implementation of the USF.

### Commission Decision

The Commission finds that it is appropriate to collect universal service funds through a combination of an assessment to customers based on the percentage of services billed (i.e. a percentage SLC) and usage sensitive network access rate elements. Both methods should be designed to collect half of the total assessment. That is, the total assessment of the percentage SLC and of network access rate elements for high-cost support should be \$49 million each. This method should reasonably apportion the expense. Final details of the assessment collection will be addressed in this proceeding in the coming months.

### **ELIMINATION OF WINDFALLS**

BellSouth argues that, "[c]oncurrent with the establishment of the USF, each non-rural LEC receiving universal service support . . . should reduce rates of services which currently provide implicit support in an amount equal to the difference between funds received from the Fund [USF] and payments into the Fund," and recommends that each

non-rural LEC submit a plan reducing rates that currently contain implicit support to the Commission after the Commission has addressed all universal service issues.<sup>44</sup>

The Commission agrees there should be a reduction in the implicit support provided by non-supported services. The reduction in implicit support inherent in non-supported services should equal the net contribution received from the USF. As discussed above, telecommunications carrier revenues will not be assessed for universal service support. Therefore, service rates containing implicit universal service support will be reduced by the full amount of universal service high cost support received from the USF.

Under traditional regulatory rules and prior to the 1996 Act, specific implicit urban to rural and business to residential subsidies were established through traditional rate cases. This amounted to setting residential and business rates for the various rate groups in Kentucky.<sup>45</sup> The USF is intended to help eliminate these implicit subsidies and replace them, as needed, with explicit subsidies. It is clear that the FCC is concerned

BellSouth March 27, 1998 Brief at 48. GTE also argues that implicit universal service support provided by non-supported services should be removed and made explicit. GTE March 28, 1998 Brief at 6.

During this period, when the Commission established the implicit business to residential and implicit urban to rural subsidies for basic local service, the Commission also established a subsidy, a non-traffic sensitive ("NTS") rate element embedded in access charges. Thus, there is also a toll to local subsidy.

that neither ILECs nor customers be over-burdened or over-compensated as a result of implementing a USF.<sup>46</sup>

Windfall revenue gains could arise when the state USF is implemented and ETCs are receiving explicit universal service subsidies for every verified rural, insular and high cost customer if implicit subsidies have not been adjusted accordingly.

Using the HAI Model, the traditional implicit business to residential and urban to rural subsidies can be identified on a wirecenter basis. If there were vigorous facilities-based competition in these areas, market forces could be expected to eliminate implicit subsidies. However, at this point, the extent of facilities-based competition is unclear. At the time when the state USF is implemented, the implicit subsidies must be eliminated to the extent that there are windfall revenue gains to ILECs. The Commission realizes that eliminating part or all of the implicit subsidy embedded in urban business rates and urban residential rates will affect those customers most likely to see local competition

The FCC states "[f]ailure to include all revenues [for revenue benchmark calculations] received by the carrier could result in substantial overpayment to the carrier." FCC Order at paragraph 200. "We believe that, as competition develops, states may be compelled by marketplace forces to convert [state-determined intrastate] implicit support to explicit, sustainable mechanism consistent with Section 254(f) [of the Act]." FCC Order at paragraph 202. "Our determinations of forward-looking economic cost for the purpose of determining federal universal service support for rural, insular, and high cost care must be coordinated with these [similar on-going] state proceedings. Failure to do so would risk under funding universal service or overcompensating carriers in some areas." FCC Order at paragraph 205.

in the near future.<sup>47</sup> Were it not for the immediate need to eliminate any windfall revenues resulting from changing subsidy mechanisms, the Commission would allow market forces to dictate the rate of change in local exchange rate levels. The Commission shall schedule an informal conference with CBT, BellSouth, and GTE to address these issues and to ensure a seamless transition to the new support mechanism.

As in the case of ILECs, CLECs should not unduly profit by receiving universal service support. It is clear that the FCC's intent is neither to erect barriers of entry into any specific geographic local market,<sup>48</sup> nor to create an artificial incentive for entry.<sup>49</sup> The FCC Order discusses the need for states to coordinate UNE cost estimations and proceedings with universal service cost proceedings.<sup>50</sup> The FCC states, "[t]his would reduce duplication and diminish arbitrage opportunities that might arise from inconsistencies between the methodologies for setting unbundled network elements and determining universal service support levels" and "[w]e wish to avoid situations in which, because of different methodologies . . . a carrier could receive support for the provision

This action is fully consistent with the 1996 Act, Section 254, in that the Commission is working to make implicit universal service support as explicit as possible. This position is also taken by the FCC where it concluded that it has the authority to "[c]raft a phased-in plan that relies in part on prescriptive and in part on competition to eliminate subsidies in the prices for various products sold in the market for telecommunications services." FCC Order at paragraph 246.

FCC Order at paragraph 165.

<sup>49 &</sup>lt;u>Id.</u> at paragraph 164, including footnote 417, and paragraphs 287-288, including footnote 746.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> <u>Id.</u> at paragraph 251.

of universal service that differs from the rate it pays to acquire access to the unbundled network elements needed to provide universal service."<sup>51</sup> Actions that the Commission is taking in this Order necessitate revisiting UNE cost estimates determined in prior cases upon the expiration of the interconnection agreements specifying UNE prices.<sup>52</sup> The FCC acknowledges that there may be "difficulties inherent in using state cost studies designed for pricing [UNEs] for universal service purposes."<sup>53</sup> The Commission is aware of these concerns and intends to work diligently to minimize the creation of uneconomic barriers to local market entry, as well as to ensure that all eligible service providers receive the correct amount of universal service support.<sup>54</sup>

The elimination of windfall revenues is not synonymous with rate restructuring <u>per</u> <u>se</u>. Section 254(e) of the 1996 Act provides that "[a] carrier that receives such support shall use that support only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended."<sup>55</sup>

FCC Order at paragraphs 232-251. Although footnote 669 sets out a specific illustration of how a CLEC could arbitrage UNE prices and universal service support, the actual situation would not occur. The FCC has prohibited eligible CLECs from receiving universal service support in excess of the cost to obtain UNEs. See FCC Order at paragraph 287.

At the very least, UNE cost estimates should be recalculated on a geographically deaveraged basis. The FCC at Section VII(B)(3)(c) of the Interconnection order discusses geographic deaveraging and at paragraph 765, "concludes that three zones are presumptively sufficient to reflect geographic cost differences in setting rates for interconnection and unbundled elements . . . ."

FCC Order at paragraph 251, footnote 670.

Carriers providing service solely through resale are not eligible carriers. Id. at paragraph 290.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 254(e).

In order that carriers do not receive a "windfall gain," they must remove the amount they receive from the USF from their rates. Thus, the subsidy that has been collected on an implicit basis will now be collected explicitly from the fund. Rate reductions to offset the explicit subsidies will be determined over the next few months. Elimination of NTS is a priority and will be considered along with the elimination of other implicit subsidies. Proposals for such reductions will be discussed at the informal conference scheduled herein.

### PRIMARY ACCESS LINE SUPPORT

In Administrative Case No. 355, the Commission determined on a preliminary basis that USF support should be calculated on the number of single (first line only) residential lines served in rural areas.<sup>56</sup> Evidence presented in this case necessitates changing this determination. No longer will universal support be based on rural residential access lines only.

The Commission believes that focusing on providing access to the public switched network and the available services should be the primary goal of the USF.<sup>57</sup> In situations where there are two or more access lines being utilized at the same residence that are being paid for by separate entities, one might contend that only one line per residence should receive a USF subsidy. Universal service is defined in terms of having access

Administrative Case No. 355, Order dated September 26, 1996 at 38.

Section 254(b) of the Act establishes the principle that "consumers . . . should have access to telecommunications and information services . . ." Also see the FCC Order at paragraph 66, "[u]niversal service must encompass the ability to use the network, including the ability to place calls at affordable rates. We find that both access to and use of the public switched network at rates that are "just, reasonable and affordable, are necessary to promote the principles embodied in Section 254(b)(1)." The Commission also agrees with the Joint Board recommended decisions, as discussed in the FCC Order at paragraphs 94-96.

to the network and available network services, and not in the technical terms of which person is actually responsible for paying for the one or more access lines utilized in the same place of residence.

The Commission finds that during the initial period of implementing and operating the USF, it is appropriate to support only single connection residences and businesses. The Commission understands that this may present additional enforcement or tracking problems for ETCs.

## **ELIGIBLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS**

The FCC addresses the issues of carriers eligible for USF support.<sup>58</sup> The Commission agrees with this discussion and concurs in the findings. Also, all existing ILECs have been designated as ETCs.<sup>59</sup> The Commission again addresses the issue here as a point of clarification concerning wireless carriers. The FCC makes it clear that a wireless carrier can be designated as an ETC that is eligible to receive universal service support as long as it satisfies all the criteria under Section 214(e)(1) of the Act.<sup>60</sup> At such time when this Commission finds that any of the state wireless carriers satisfy all the necessary ETC criteria, that carrier will be designated as an ETC and will begin receiving universal service support according to FCC guidelines.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> <u>See, generally, FCC Order at paragraphs 127-198.</u>

Administrative Case No. 360, Order dated November 26, 1997 at 3. The FCC Order at paragraph 178 discusses the eligibility of resellers and concludes that "pure" resellers using no facilities of their own are not eligible to receive USF support.

FCC Order at paragraph 145 at 83. Also, in paragraph 146, the FCC goes on to say that a wireless carrier need not be the customer's primary carrier to receive support.

### ADDITIONAL LOW-INCOME SUPPORT

The Commission has previously ruled that the state would not provide any additional funding for low-income programs.<sup>61</sup> Federal support will be provided to low-income subscribers eligible for Medicaid, food stamps, supplemental security income, federal public housing assistance, and low-income home energy assistance programs.<sup>62</sup> The FCC had given the states the option to supplement the Lifeline support provided by the federal program. The federal program would then in turn provide 50 percent of the state's contribution per line, up to \$1.75. That is, the state could provide an additional \$3.50 per line and the federal program would provide an additional \$1.75.

The Commission has decided that the USF will fund additional support for the Lifeline program with an additional \$3.50 per line to be eligible to receive an additional \$1.75 from the federal program. The Commission has estimated that this will create an additional \$3 million revenue requirement for the USF. The Metro Human Needs Alliance ("MHNA") argues that the Commission should fund low-income subscribers to the maximum extent. 63 MHNA also asserts that persons whose income is below 200 percent of the federal poverty guideline should receive benefits. 64 The Commission disagrees. Such a guideline would inject too much subjectivity into the process and would create administrative difficulty in fund administration.

Administrative Case No. 360, Order dated November 26, 1997.

FCC Order at paragraph 374.

MHNA March 1998 Brief at 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> <u>ld.</u>

## SCHOOLS, LIBRARIES, AND HEALTH CARE

The Commission has previously adopted the federal discount matrix established by the FCC for schools and libraries.<sup>65</sup> The Commission affirms that decision in this Order. No information has been provided to the Commission that establishes a need for additional support. Moreover, no quantifiable need for telemedicine support has been established. However, the Commission will continue to monitor these issues.

## **FUND ADMINISTRATION**

Parties requested that the USF be administered by a neutral third-party rather than by a support recipient. Accordingly, the Commission has selected a governmental agency for the fund administration. This will reduce the administration costs well below what would be incurred through use of a for-profit administrator. The Commission will enter into an interagency agreement with the Finance and Administration Cabinet for the administration of the USF. Moreover, the Commission will actively assist in the USF administration process and may consult with the National Exchange Carrier Association in regard to establishing the USF.

### CONCLUSION

The decisions announced in this Order form a beginning for USF implementation in Kentucky. Over the next few months, the Commission will consider proposals by BellSouth, GTE, and CBT for rate reductions equal to the net amount to be received by each of them from the USF. These and other issues must be resolved in order to begin the USF on January 1, 1999.

Administrative Case No. 360, Order dated June 17, 1997.

The Commission, having considered the evidence, and having been otherwise sufficiently advised, HEREBY ORDERS that:

- 1. A statewide perspective shall be taken in the design of the Kentucky universal service mechanism and universal service costs shall be calculated at the wirecenter level.
  - The HAI Model shall be used to establish the Kentucky USF.
- 3. The inputs contained in the Appendices attached to this Order shall be used in calculating universal service support.
- 4. The federal benchmark shall be used to calculate universal service support until a state-specific benchmark can be established.
  - 5. The high cost support fund size for Kentucky is \$98 million.
- 6. Universal service support shall be collected through a combination of an assessment to customers based upon a percentage of services billed and usage sensitive network access rate elements. Each method shall be designed to collect half of the total assessment.
- 7. BellSouth, GTE, and CBT shall reduce rates for non-supported services by the amount of the high cost support they receive from the USF. These rate reductions to offset the explicit subsidies shall be determined in this proceeding over the next few months.
- 8. Universal service support shall be provided only for single connection residences and businesses.

- 9. Additional low-income support shall be provided to low-income subscribers as specified herein, with an estimated increase of \$3 million annually to the USF.
- 10. The Finance and Administration Cabinet shall administer the USF through an interagency agreement with the Commission.
- 11. Within 90 days of the date of this Order, any party may comment on the use of county boundaries as an alternative to the wirecenter designated serving area as specified herein.
- 12. GTE, BellSouth, and CBT shall each file a billing analysis for all services, and other relevant information necessary to calculate a revenue benchmark, no later than June 23, 1998.
- 13. ILECs and carriers shall file information relevant to develop the initial USF assessment by no later than June 23, 1998.
- 14. ETCs shall submit quarterly reports regarding revenue benchmark calculation to the USF administrator beginning April 1, 1999.
- 15. An informal conference is hereby scheduled for June 30, 1998 at 9:00 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, in Hearing Room 1 of the Commission's offices at 730 Schenkel Lane, Frankfort, Kentucky to discuss the reduction of explicit subsidies, revenue benchwork construction methodology, and information necessary to develop the initial USF assessment.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 22nd day of May, 1998.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman

Vice Chairman

Commissioner

ATTEST:

**Executive Director** 

## **APPENDICES**

## APPENDICES TO AN ORDER OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN ADMINISTRATIVE CASE NO. 360 DATED MAY 22, 1998.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Universal Service Worksheets	Appendix A
BellSouth Worksheets	Appendix B
Cincinnati Bell Worksheets	Appendix C
Contel of Kentucky Worksheets	Appendix D
GTE South - Kentucky Worksheets	Appendix E
Inputs Worksheet	Appendix F
Variances From Default Worksheet	Appendix G

# Universal Service Wirecenter Summary Sheet

		e Type			,					@75%	
	匿	mary residence	Secondary	ES.	igle line	Multine			@25% Federal	Federal	
Company		lines	residence line	s busi	ness lines	business lines	Public lines	All switched lines	allocation	allocation	
Cincinnati Bell - KY	4	9,722,131	· •	မ	30,434	\$	, 69	\$ 9,752,565	\$ 2,438,141	\$ 7,314,424	4
Contel of Ky Inc dba GTE Kentucky	49	20,898,688	, 69	69	63,760	· •	, 69	\$ 20,962,448	\$ 5,240,612	\$	
GTE South Inc - Kentucky	69	27,010,488	· •>	69	155,522	· چ	, 69	\$ 27,166,010	₩	69	
BellSouth - KY	69	72,688,003	\$	ક	438,459	<b>.</b>	' 69	\$ 73,126,462	\$ 18,281,616 \$		
Tota	Totals \$	130,319,309	•	49	688,175	· •	, •	\$ 131,007,485	\$ 32,751.871 \$	\$ 98.255.614	

# Universal Service Density Zone Summary Sheet

Сошрапу	Total Switched Lines	Primary residence lines	Secondary residence lines	Single line business lines	Multiline business lines	Public fines
Cincinnati Bell - Ky	184,255	126,318	161,11	8ZE'E	40,307	3,110
Contel of Ky Inc dba GTE - Ky	82,325	68,440	626'9	1,336	16,172	449
GTE South Inc - Ky	406,412	211,812	22,644	17,114		2,797
BellSouth - Ky	1,113,872	757,481	65,254	31,229	248,977	10,931
Totals	1,796,864	1,224,051	105,018	83,008	397,500	17,287

FEDERAL FUND ANALYSIS Annual Support for line types:						
Сотрапу	Primary residence lines	Secondar	y residence lines   Single line business lines	Mathine business lines	Public lines	Support cost if all lines supported
Cincinnati Bell - Ky	\$ 8,507,331	\$ 77,477	39,445	\$ 308,139	\$ 24,773	\$ 9,667,164
Contel of Ky Inc dba GTE - Ky	\$ 22,838,636	\$ 2,096,043	\$ 105,666	\$ 959,442	\$ 27,295	\$ 26,027,081
GTE South Inc - Ky	\$ 30,258,078	\$ 2,521,555	306,886	1,172,101	\$ 37,901	34,296,521
BellSouth - Ky	\$ 78,562,088	\$ 6,577,699	\$ 425,520	\$ 2,412,648	\$ 110,719	\$ 88,088,674
Totals	\$ 140,166,133	\$ 11,982,773	915'218	\$ 4,852,330	\$ 200,687	\$ 158,079,440
	Total sesual sunner fol @25% Foter	al altocation	@Imnied 75% State allocation			
Cincinnati Bell - Ky	\$ 8,546,776	\$ 2,136,694				
Contel of Ky Inc dba GTE - Ky	\$ 22,944,301	\$ 5,736,075	•			
GTE South Inc - Ky	\$ 30,564,964	1,641,241	\$ 22,923,723			
BellSouth - Ky	\$ 78,987,608	\$ 19,746,902	\$ 59,240,706			
Totals	\$ 141,043,650	\$ 35,260,912	\$ 105,782,737			

STATE FUND ANALYSIS Angual Support for line types:			Minn		Sursul Participant 27 (28)	
Company	Prunary residence lines	Secondary residence lines: Single!	Single line business lines Multline I	Multime business lines	blic lines	Support cost if all lines supported
Cincinnati Bell - Ky	\$ 8,507,331	. 187,477	39,445	\$ 308,139	\$ 24,773	9,667,164
Contel of Ky Inc dba GTE - Ky	\$ 22,838,636	\$ 2,096,043	\$ 105,666	\$ 959,442	\$ 27,295	26,027,081
GTE South Inc - Ky	\$ 30,258,078	\$ 2,521,555	\$ 306,886	1,172,101	\$ 37,901	34,296,521
BellSouth - Ky	\$ 78,562,088	\$ 6,577,699	\$ 425,520	\$ 2,412,648	\$ 110,719	88,088,674
Totals	\$ 140,166,133	\$ 11,982,773	\$ 877,516	\$ 4,852,330	\$ 200,687	158,079,440
	Total annual support f	@75% State allocation	annual support ( @75% State allocation @Implied 25% Federal allocation			
Cincinnati Bell - Ky	\$ 8,546,776	\$ 6,410,082	\$ 2,136,694			
Contel of Ky Inc dba GTE - Ky	\$ 22,944,301	\$ 17,208,226	\$ 5,736,075			
GTE South Inc - Ky	\$ 30,564,964	\$ 22,923,723	\$ 7,641,241			
BellSouth - Ky	\$ 78,987,608	\$ 59,240,706	\$ 19,746,902			
Totals	\$ 141,043,650	\$ 105,782,737	\$ 35,260,912			

Control to the property of the second of the second	Total A	naual Supj	oort for Primary Resi	lence Lines at	Pre-Selected Monthly B	cachmarks				
mpany	19.3	\$20.00	\$30.0	00	\$40.00	\$50.00	00.09\$		\$70.00	280.0
ncinnati Bell - Ky	\$	18,173,743 \$	166'220'6	91 \$	\$ 600,808,5	3,311,593	\$ 815,177	\$	-	•
Contel of Ky Inc dba GTE - Ky	8 8	30,916,091	23,522,332	32 \$	17,100,566	10,968,351	\$ 4,836,136	••	985,282 \$	832,577
3TE South Inc - Ky	2	44,435,735 \$	31,297,971	<u></u>	20,899,042	10,500,114	\$ 1,945,219	•	1,718,427 \$	1,491,634
ellSouth - Ky	\$ 120	\$ 679,690,0	81,472,190	\$ 06	52,371,169 \$	23,270,148	\$ 3,333,411	•	2,860,964 \$	2,388,516
Totals	\$ 213	213,619,264 \$	145,370,514	14 \$	\$ 178,827 \$	48,050,257	\$ 10,930,004	5	5,564,742 \$	4,712,807

## COST OF NETWORK ELEMENTS

COST OF NETWORK ELEMENTS	ELEME	ents												B	Kentucky BeliSouth-Ky	الخ تخ
Loop elements	<u>=</u>	0-5 lines/sq mi	5-100 lines/sq mi	ě	100-200 lines/sq mi	2d line	200-650 lines/sq mi	650-850 lines/sq mi	850-2550 lines/sq mi	2550-5000 lines/sq mi		5000-10000 lines/sq mi	>10000 lines/sq mi		Totals	
NID Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	•	45,126 \$ 0.82	\$ 2,890,083	69	530,641 \$	us.	1,357,429 \$ 0.70	418,960 <b>\$</b> 0.69	2,602,767 0.66	\$ 1,6	1,672,925 \$ 0.65	555,102 \$ 0.52		<b>%</b>	10,261,406	.406 0.68
Loop Distribution (DLC) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	s	2,564,070 \$	\$ 92,401,982 25.48	69	9,138,895 \$	·	19,949,798 \$	4,055,553 \$	19,873,392 7.26	8,0	8,034,466 \$	2,134,486 \$	352,210	<b>*</b>	158,504,852	14.13
Loop Distribution (non-DLC) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	•		\$ 1,047,746 7.91	w	891,312 \$ 7.87	ø,	1,807,908 <b>\$</b> 7.03	1,197,222 \$	7,370,205 6.18	<b>8</b> 6,4	6,425,481 \$ 5.75	1,521,027 <b>\$</b> 2.68	716,097	W #	20,976,999 5.45	,999 5.45
Loop Distribution (all) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	φ	2,564,070 <b>\$</b> 46.78	\$ 93,449,728 24.86	o,	10,030,208 \$	<b>8</b>	21,757,706 <b>\$</b> 11.26	5,252,775 <b>\$</b> 8.69	27,243,597 6.94	s 14,4	14,459,947 <b>\$</b> 5.64	3,655,513 \$	1,068,307	<b>69</b>	179,481,851 11.92	11,851
Loop Concentration (DLC) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	ø	2,050,261 \$	\$ 53,699,490	<b>₩</b>	3,990,490 \$	s,	8,521,326 <b>\$</b>	2,184,859 <b>\$</b> 5.08	13,430,703	8,9	6,864,224 \$ 4.74	2,530,417 \$	425,700	% %	93,697,472 8.36	472
Loop Concentration (non-DLC) Annual Cost Unit Costmonth	•		\$ 37,447 0.28	w	29,743 \$ 0.26	•	65,650 \$ 0.26	40,271 \$ 0.23	275,966 0.23	ě,	251,038 \$ 0.22	116,547 <b>\$</b> 0.21	55,504	**	872,167 0.23	23
Loop Concentration (ail) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	9	2,050,261 \$	53,736,937	•	4,020,233 \$	₩	8,586,976 \$	2,225,130 <b>\$</b> 3.68	13,706,669	\$ 7.1	7,115,262 <b>\$</b> 2.77	2,646,965 \$ 2.46	481,204	49	94,569,639 6.28	,639 6.28
Loop Feeder (DLC) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	<u>"</u>	2,169,335 \$	\$ 40,523,942 11.17	₩	1,873,665 \$	<b>S</b>	2,991,378 \$	665,526 <b>\$</b>	3,358,268	\$ 1,5	1,535,529 \$	635,085 \$	84,520	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	53,837,249	249
Loop Feeder (non-DLC) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	6	•	\$ 546,130 4.12	•	374,596 \$	•	896,794 <b>\$</b> 3.49	520,555 <b>\$</b> 2.98	3,374,116	9°6	3,023,539 \$ 2.71	1,224,983 \$	699,018	<del>**</del>	10,659,731 2.77	43
Loop Feeder (all) Annual Cost Unit Costmonth	ø,	2,169,335 \$ 39.58	\$ 41,070,073 10.92	69	2,248,261 \$ 2.93	vs	3,888,172 \$ 2.01	1,186,081 <b>\$</b> 1.96	6,732,384	ર કુટ,	4,559,068 <b>\$</b> 1.78	1,860,068 \$	783,538	<b>%</b>	64,496,980 4.28	4.28
Total Loop (DLC) Annual Cost Unit Costmonth	v	6,828,792 <b>\$</b> 124.59	\$ 189,413,604 52.23	·~	15,455,449 \$	e e	32,639,309 <b>\$</b> 19.49	7,203,939 \$	38,475,129	\$ 17.3	17,378,526 \$	5,562,508 \$	902,708	<b>69</b>	313,859,964	27.99
Total Loop (non-DLC) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	49	· ·	1,733,218	69	1,373,894 \$	<b>ω</b>	2,950,974 <b>\$</b> 11.48	1,879,007 \$	11,810,288 :	\$ 10,4;	10,428,675 \$ 9.33	3,155,140 <b>\$</b> 5.56	1,618,713	**	34,949,911 9.08	9.08
Total Loop (all) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	w	6,828,792 \$ 124.59	191,146,822 50.85	s.	16,829,343 \$ 21.90		35,590,283 \$ 18.42	9,082,946 <b>\$</b> 15.02	50,285,417 12.80	\$ 27,80	27,807,202 \$	8,717,648 \$ 8.10	2,521,421 6.76	<b>6</b>	348,809,874 23.16	77 16
Total lines Total lines served by DLC		4,568 4,568	313,274 302,229		64,029 54,588		161,016 139,591	50,383 35,837	327,303 227,959	7.5	213,791 120,678	89,739 42,440	31,086 6,647		1,255,189 934,535	88

	_	Annual Cost	Units			Unit		i
End office switching Line Port Non-Line Port	<b>"</b>	57,830,297 17,349,089 40,481,208	1,113,872 22,775,402,453	switched lines actual minutes	w w	1.30	per line/month per actual minute	(for rate per DEM, see "Cost detail" sheet)
Signaling network elements Links STP SCP	<b>м</b>	2,206,986 342,832 1,160,264 703,889	554 22,795,579,838 5,246,220,000	554 links 22,795,579,838 TCAP+ISUP msgs 5,246,220,000 TCAP queries	w w w	51.53 0.00005 0.00013	per link per month per signaling message per query	
Transport network elements Dedicated								
Sw+Sp Transport Switched	<i>ب</i>	1,991,523	167,312 25,995	trunks trunks	<b>м м</b>	6.38 0.00064	6.38 per DS-0 equivalent per month 0.00064 per minute	
nsmission T		8,267,728	167,312		w w w	4.12 0.00041 0.00105	per DS-0 equivalent per month per minute total per minute	
Transmission Terminal	*	3,026,885 1,277,096	2,004,963,632 2,004,963,632	minutes minutes		0.00177 0.00075 0.00252	per minute per leg (ong or term) per minute total per minute	
Uned Transmission Terminal	9	6,471,072 2,907,189	6,057,369,155 6,057,369,155	minutes minutes	w w w	0.00107 0.00048 0.00155	per minute per minute total per minute	
Tandem switch	ø	1,577,524	1,695,839,562 minutes	minutes	•	0.00093	0.00093 per minute	
Operator systems	ø	6,807,939						
Public Telephones	4	3,111,748						
Total (w/ Public)	69	455,112,406						
Total cost of switched network elements (w/o Public)	₩	29.55 p	29.55 per line/month					,

## **COST SUMMARY**

Kentucky BellSouth-Ky

57,824,012 93,295,005 8,232,215 10,217,329 20,886,894 78,710,907 34,163,426 53,605,998 10,613,943 34,219,940 57,581,893 2,197,506 12,763,009 2,894,702 3,013,884 1,271,611 ,570,748 3,111,748 868,421 5,443,277 3,778,696 Subtotal
Support Expense (with misc support) 10,153,560 57,169,658 20,720,273 92,887,402 10,526,216 63,958,896 11,514,019 861,129 53,432,680 51,856,337 1,979,384 7,416,146 5,816,940 2,721,458 77,889,931 93,748,531 2,611,138 1,147,495 3,103,363 2,798,732 1,414,248 Subtotal 1+2+3 204,460 2,410,784 37,317,169 22,054,479 10,225,449 383,876 414,738 187,186 12,236,833 22,258,939 2,499,265 5,185,914 1,420,118 284,197 4,919,664 12,686,649 1,933,752 906,205 443,202 ,073,409 534,162 7,742,776 \$ 119,852,489 15,800,609 35,653,098 70,832,923 1,595,508 960,309 656,669 18,772,982 41,630,888 9,580,266 1,910,735 2,167,935 2,264,570 71,489,592 40,746,031 8,026,951 5,996,027 2,306,721 1,130,050 1+2 Network Expenses 495,440 827,532 65,553 413,219 179,132 79,348 3,610,535 1,732,540 886,225 415,605 28,258,234 31,868,768 5,968,507 8,611,270 1,257,224 9,868,493 0,864,582 358,279 471,159 5,902,954 6,915,244 91,594,255 12,190,075 03,784,330 64,929,969 65,521,086 38,904,489 30,766,306 1,024,510 ,891,116 880,961 1,793,411 591,116 1,182,289 7,847,726 Annual Capital 32,134,761 6,769,727 5,500,587 ,988,803 771,772 338,274 % of total Investment.\* Invesment 4.7% 40.2% 19.9% 13.0% 3.2% 0.3% 0.2% 20.1% 2.7% 15.6% 11.6% 0.5% 1.7% 1.6% %9.0 0.8% 0.3% 0.4% 0.5% 44,980,028 596,483,414 79,475,876 375,959,289 334,128,032 3,844,900 44,910,915 262,687,859 27,272,320 10,120,469 337,972,932 53,182,082 2,814,949 4,482,965 5,127,563 217,776,944 194,670,121 7,574,777 27,990,967 ,362,151 8,307,564 **Dedicated Transport Transmission** Common Transport Transmission Direct Transport Transmission Concentrator (non-DLC) Distribution (non-DLC) Network Element End Office Switching **Dedicated Transport** Concentrator (DLC) Common Transport Feeder (non-DLC) Tandem Switching Distribution (DLC) Operator Systems Concentrator (all) **Public Telephone** Distribution (all) Direct Transport Feeder (DLC) Feeder (all) Signaling

	688
	100% \$ 273,110,904 \$ 68,120,509 \$ 341,231,413 \$ 99,898,764 \$ 441,130,177 \$ 453,170,889
	45
st	
c Co	7,1
worl	1,13(
Net	44
Total Network Cost	<del>\$</del>
	64
	198,7
	366
	69
	13
	31,
	341,
	€
	68
	50,5(
	68,1
	€9
	20
	10,8
	73,1
	%
	9
	98
-	90,0
	380,5
	۱,6
	↔
	ł
j	
	踵
	ĭ

<sup>\*</sup> Post sharing

Adm. Case No. 360

May 22, 1998

# USOA Detail Breakdown of HAI Model Costs

BellSouth-KY Kentucky

Cost	(000)\$			702	204		,	0	34	930	6.372	245	27	4 <del>8</del>			13,393	8,552	3,453	6,388	T 471	1 914	107	10,331	1 415	2	32.310				66	46,069	79,266	30,539 112,427	100
EXPENSE DESCRIPTION	Plant Specific Expenses			MOTOR VEHICLES	AIRCRAFT	SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES		GARAGE WORK EQUIPMENT	OTHER WORK EQUIPMENT	NETWORK SUPPORT	LAND & BUILDINGS	FURNITURE	DEGLE COLUMNIA	OFFICE EQUIPMEN		GENERAL PURPOSE COMPUIERS	LAND & SUPPORT ASSETS	DIGITAL ELECTRONIC SWITCHING	OPERATOR SYSTEMS	CIRCUIT EQUIPMENT	PUBLIC TEL TERMINAL EQUIPMENT	POLES	L 200	AERIAL CABLE	UNDERGROUND CARLE		BURIED CABLE		INTRABUILDING NETWORK CABLE		CONDUIT SYSTEMS	TOTAL CABLE & WIRE FACILITIES	Total Plant Specific Expenses	Plant Nonspecific Operations TOTAL NETWORK OPERATIONS SUPPORT DEPRECIATION TPIS	CI C
USOA		,	•	6112	6113	6114		6115	6116	6110	6121	6122	423	6710	7070	9710	6120	6212	6220	6232	6351	114		1786	6422	!	6423		6426		644	6410		6561	
Cost	(000)\$		5,055	11,496			0	965	8,218		69,927	717	2350	7,330	16.004	450,01	114,213	165,411	3,461	360,194	8,308	55.806	100 301	58.405	30,444	76,838	555,241	160,671			27,234	1,100,573	1,752,160	. GSF investment is he "Summary" sheet.	
INVESTMENT DESCRIPTION	Telecommunications Plant in Service	1	CAND	MOTOR VEHICLES	AIRCRAFT	SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES	TABLES TO MODE AND	GARAGE WORN ECCIPMENT	OTHER WORK EQUIPMENT		BUILDINGS	FURNITURE	OFFICE SUPPORT FOLIDMENT	COMPANY COMMINICATIONS FOLIDMENT	GENEDAL DIDDOGE COMPLITEDS	TOTAL 1 AND 6 CURPORT ASSETS	IOIAL LAND & SUPPORT ASSETS	DIGITAL ELECTRONIC SWITCHING	OPERATOR SYSTEMS	CIRCUIT EQUIPMENT	PUBLIC TEL TERMINAL EQUIPMENT	POLES	AFDIAL CARLE, METALLIC	AERIAL CABLE - MICHALLIC	UNDERGROUND CABLE - METALLIC	UNDERGROUND CABLE - NonMETALLIC	BURIED CABLE - METALLIC	BURIED CABLE - NonMETALLIC	INTRABUILDING NETWORK CABLE - MET.	IN I KABUILDING NETWORK CABLE - NONMET.	CONDUIT SYSTEMS	TOTAL CABLE & WIRE FACILITIES	Total TPIS (before amortizable assets)*	<ul> <li>This calculation of total plant includes GSF investment. GSF investment is not included in the total investment that is reported in the "Summary" sheet.</li> </ul>	
¥ OSO	•			2112	2113	2114	2446	217	2116		2121	2122	2123.1	_	_	1 6	0117	2212	2220	2232	2351	2411	2421 1		2422.1	2422.2		_	2426.1	7470.7	2441	2410	•	•	

This calculation of total plant includes GSF investment. GSF investment is not included in the total investment that is reported in the "Summary" sheet.

42,378

TOTAL CORPORATE OPERATIONS TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES

266,731

13,295 280,025 TOTAL EXPENSES & OPERATING TAXES 7240 OPERATING OTHER TAXES

Depulie   Depu	USOA	Deprec	Depreciation Calculations	ations		Network Elemen	Network Element Disaggregations	
9.20 0.10884 1,251		DepLife	DepFact	<b>Дер Ехр</b>	Investment Disapgregations:		Expense Disaggregations:	
12.00 0.08333 39 OS Triss + Signaling links 3,302/736 Great Equt portion 96,577,678 Great Equt portion 550,456 Great Equt portion 107,812 Pele portion Aerial-Imp portion 259,084 Great Equt portion Aerial-Imp portion 1,1027,207 Aerial-Imp portion 1,1027,207 Aerial-Imp portion 259,084 Great Buried-Imp portion 1,1027,207 Aerial-Imp portion 1,1027,207 Aerial-Imp portion 259,084 Great Merial-Imp portion 1,1027,207 Aerial-Imp portion 2,1027,207 Aerial-Imp portion 2,1027,709 Aerial-Imp po	2111	9.20		1,251	Terminal, Drop, and NID Aerial-m portion	119,069,369 22,491,691	Terminal, Drop, and NID Aerial portion	3,873,108
12.00 0.08333 30 OS Triks + Signaling links 3.302,736 Circust Eggt, portion 107,813 Circust Eggt, portion 107,81 Circust Eggt, portion 107,81 Circust Eggt,	2113 2114				Buried-m portion	96,577,678	Buried portion	2,819,556
16.20   0.06176   5.08   Circuit Eqpt portion   5.04.56   Circuit Eqpt portion   5.04.56   Circuit Eqpt portion   5.04.56   Circuit Eqpt portion   2.06.04.59   Circuit Eqpt portion   2.06.04.59   Circuit Eqpt portion   2.06.45   Circuit Eqpt portion   1.07, 2.07   Conduit portion   1.07, 2.07   Conduit portion   2.07.207   Conduit	2115	12.00	0.08333	8	OS Trks + Signaling links	3,302,736	OS Trks + Signaling links	135,765
46.38 0.02156 1.507	2116	16.20	0.06176	909	Circuit Eqpt. portion	550,456	Circuit Eqpt. portion	22,627
46.39         0.02156         1,507         Aerial-rum portion         259,084         Aerial-rum portion           15.49         0.06451         46         Underground-rum portion         1,104,643         Buried-rum portion           12.78         0.07835         184         Conduit portion         253,532         Conduit portion           7.78         0.12897         2.682         Aerial-rum portion         4,010,435         Buried-rum portion           16.00         0.10000         346         Buried-rum portion         4,010,435         Underground-rum portion           10.00         0.10000         346         Buried-rum portion         464,325         Buried-rum portion           10.00         0.10078         38,821         Buried-rum portion         9,921,750         Buried-rum portion           21.12         0.04502         2,688         Buried-rum portion         9,921,750         Buried-rum portion           21.14         0.04502         2,588         1,754         Buried-rum portion         9,921,750           21.14         0.04502         2,588         1,754         Buried-rum portion         9,921,750           21.14         0.04502         1,755         1,755         1,755         1,750           21.15					Pole portion	107,812	Pole portion	4,669
46.38         0.02156         1,507         Underground-rim portion         1,027,207         Underground-rim portion           15.49         0.06461         46         Buried-rim portion         1,104,643         Buried-rim portion           12.78         0.07835         184         Conduit portion         1,104,643         Buried-rim portion           7.78         0.12897         2,682         SAI         Conduit portion         4,010,435           6.00         0.06250         10,338         Underground-rim portion         4,010,435         Underground-rim portion           10.00         0.10000         346         Buried-rim portion         2,225,797         Buried portion           10.00         0.10000         346         Buried-rim portion         9,921,750         Buried portion           21.12         0.04736         2,643         Buried-rim portion         9,921,750         Buried portion           21.12         0.04602         2,643         Buried-rim portion         9,921,750         Buried portion           21.13         0.04602         2,643         Buried-rim portion         9,921,750         Buried portion           21.14         0.04602         1,425         2,643         Buried-rim portion         9,921,750					Aerial-nm portion	259,084	Aerial-nm portion	18,006
15.49         0.06461         46         Buried-nm portion         1,104,643         Buried-nm portion           7,778         0.07835         184         Conduit portion         20,923,900         SAI           6.00         0.16667         2,682         SAI         Conduit portion         20,923,900           6.00         0.16667         2,682         SAI         Aerial-m portion         4,010,435         Underground-mportion         4,010,435           10.00         0.10000         346         Buried-nm portion         2,325,787         Buried portion         1,046,432           9.30         0.10778         38,821         Buried-nm portion         9,921,750         Buried portion         1,071           7.78         0.10778         38,821         Buried-nm portion         9,921,750         Buried-nm portion         9,921,750           21.12         0.04736         2,643         Buried-nm portion         9,921,750         Buried-nm portion           21.17         0.04602         3,536         1,625         Buried-nm portion         9,921,750           21.17         0.04602         3,536         1,625         Buried-nm portion         9,921,750           21.17         0.04521         -         6,815         Burie	2121	46.39	0.02156	1,507	Underground-nm portion	1,027,207	Underground-nm portion	22,128
12.78         0.07835         184         Conduit portion         253,532         Conduit portion           7.78         0.12897         -         SAI         Conduit portion         26,923,900         Aerial portion           6.00         0.16667         2,682         Aerial-m portion         4,010,435         Underground portion         3,303,785           16.00         0.06250         10,338         Underground-m portion         3,307,85         Buried-m portion         4,010,435           10.00         0.10078         38,821         Buried-m portion         646,325         Buried portion         1,071           21.12         0.04736         2,643         1,071         Buried-m portion         9,921,750         Buried portion         1,071           21.14         0.04662         1,425         2,543         Buried-m portion         9,921,750         Buried portion         1,071           21.14         0.04682         1,425         2,58	2122	15.49	0.06461	8	Buried-nm portion	1,104,643	Buried-nm portion	67.169
7.78         0.12897         SAI         Aerial mortion         20,923,900         SAI           6.00         0.16867         2.682         SAI         Aerial mortion         4,010,435         Aerial portion         4           16.00         0.06250         10,338         Underground-m portion         2,325,797         Buried portion         4,010,435           10.00         0.1000         346         Buried-mm portion         6,46,325         Buried portion         646,325           9.30         0.10778         38,621         Buried-mm portion         9,921,750         Buried portion         1,071           7.78         0.12897         1,071         Buried-mm portion         9,921,750         Buried portion         1,071           21.12         0.04602         2,643         Buried-mm portion         9,921,750         Buried portion         1,071           21.74         0.04602         1,425         Buried-mm portion         9,921,750         Buried portion         1,071           21.74         0.04602         1,425         Buried-mm portion         9,921,750         Buried portion           21.74         0.04602         1,425         Buried-mm portion         9,921,750         Buried-mm portion         Buried-mm portion	2123.1	12.78	0.07835	<u>\$</u>	Conduit portion	253,532	Conduit portion	1.166
6.00 0.16667 2,682 SAI  6.00 0.16667 2,682 SAI  Aerial-m portion 688,808 Aerial portion  16.00 0.06250 10,338 Underground-m portion 3,330,785  10.00 0.10000 346 Buried-m portion 3,330,785  10.00 0.10078 38,821  7.78 0.10287 1,071  21.12 0.04736 2,643  17.54 0.0602 2,688  21.37 0.04602 2,688  21.37 0.04602 3,596  18.35 0.04242 6,815  18.58 0.06356 -  22.12 0.04521 -  54.63 0.01831 499  7.04a Depreciation 112,427	2123.2	7.78	0.12897					
16.00         0.06250         10,338         Aerial-m portion         Aerial portion           16.00         0.06250         10,338         Underground-m portion         2,325,797         Buried portion           10.00         0.10000         346         Buried-m portion         3,327,750         Buried-portion           9:30         0.10778         38,821         Buried-m portion         9,921,750         Buried-portion           7.78         0.10778         2,643         Buried-m portion         9,921,750         Buried-portion           21.12         0.04602         2,683         1,425         2,688         2,643           21.74         0.04602         2,688         1,425         2,688           21.37         0.04622         3,556         6,15           18.38         0.0422         6,15         6,15           18.58         0.0636         -         6,15           22.12         0.0452         -         -           22.12         0.0452         -         -           24.63         -         -           25.15         -         -           25.25         0.0452         -           25.26         -         -     <	2124	9.00	0.16667	2.682	SAI	20 923 900	SAI	356 739
16.00         0.06250         10,338         Underground portion         4,010,435         Underground portion         1,010,435         Underground portion         1,010,435         Underground portion         1,010,435         Underground portion         1,010,435         Underground portion         3,325,797         Buried portion         1,010,435         1,010,435         Buried portion         1,010,435         Buried	2110				Aerial-m portion	688,808	Aerial portion	80,119
16.00       0.06250       10,338       Underground-m portion       2,325,797       Buried portion       1,330,785         10.00       0.1000       346       Buried-nm portion       3,330,785       Buried-nm portion       646,325         9.30       0.10778       38,821       Buried-nm portion       9,921,750         21.12       0.04736       2,643       Buried-nm portion       9,921,750         21.12       0.04502       2,688       1,425       4,425         21.14       0.04602       3,536       1,425         21.15       0.04542       6,815       -         18.58       0.04542       6,815       -         18.58       0.04542       6,815       -         22.12       0.0452       -       -         24.63       -       -       -         21.18       0.0454       -       -         22.18       0.0452       -       -         22.19       0.0452       -       -         24.63       0.01831       499					Aerial-nm portion	4,010,435	Underground portion	144
10.00 0.10000 346 Buried-rm portion 3,330,785 9.30 0.10778 38,821  21.12 0.04736 2,643 17.54 0.04602 2,688 21.17 0.04602 2,688 21.17 0.04602 2,688 21.17 0.04602 3,536 18.35 0.04242 6,815 18.58 0.04242 6,815 18.58 0.04242 54.63 0.01831 499	2212	16.00		10,338	Underground-m portion	2,325,797	Buried portion	180 179
10.00 0.10000 346 Buried-rim portion 9, 8, 821  7.78 0.12897 1,071  21.12 0.04736 2,643  17.54 0.05602 2,688  21.77 0.04602 2,688  21.77 0.04602 3,536  18.58 0.05454 30,281  22.12 0.04521 - 9,815  18.58 0.05385 - 2,243  7.74 0.04602 1,425  21.74 0.04602 2,688  21.77 0.04602 1,425  21.74 0.04602 1,425  21.75 0.04621 - 9,815  18.58 0.05385 8,815  22.12 0.04521 - 9,815  7.74 0.04602 1,425  22.12 0.04521 - 9,815  7.74 0.04602 1,425  22.12 0.04521 - 9,815  7.74 0.04602 1,425  7.74 0.04602 1,425  7.75 0.04521 - 9,815  7.75 0.04521 - 9,815  7.74 0.04602 1,425					Underground-nm portion	3,330,785		
9.30 0.10778 38,821 Buried-rim portion 7.78 0.12897 1,071 21.12 0.04736 2,643 17.54 0.04602 2,688 21.74 0.04602 2,688 21.74 0.04602 3,536 18.78 0.05454 30,281 23.58 0.04524 6,815 18.58 0.04524 6,815 18.58 0.04521 54.63 0.01831 499	2220	10.00		346	Buried-m portion	646,325		
9.30 0.10778 38 7.78 0.12897 1 21.12 0.04736 2 17.54 0.04602 7 21.74 0.04602 1 21.74 0.04602 1 21.74 0.04602 3 18.35 0.05454 30 23.58 0.06452 6 18.58 0.06385 22.12 0.04521 54.63 0.01831					Buried-nm portion	9,921,750		
7.78 0.12897 1, 21.12 0.04736 2, 17.54 0.05705 7, 21.37 0.04602 1, 21.37 0.04602 1, 21.37 0.04602 3, 18.35 0.04524 30, 23.58 0.04242 6, 18.58 0.04521 52.12 0.04521 54.63 0.01831	2232	9.30 8.30	0.10778	38,821				
21.12 0.04736 2 17.54 0.05705 7, 21.74 0.04602 2, 21.37 0.04682 1, 21.74 0.04602 3, 18.35 0.05454 30, 23.58 0.04242 6, 18.58 0.05385 22.12 0.04521 54.63 0.01831	2351	7.78	0.12897	1,071				
17.54 0.05705 7. 21.74 0.04602 2. 21.37 0.04682 1. 21.74 0.04602 3. 18.35 0.05454 30. 23.58 0.04242 6. 18.58 0.05385 22.12 0.04521 54.63 0.01831	2411	21.12	0.04736	2,643				
21.74 0.04602 2 21.37 0.04682 11 21.74 0.04602 3, 18.35 0.06454 30, 23.58 0.04242 6, 18.58 0.05385 22.12 0.04521 54.63 0.01831	2421.1	17.54	0.05705	7,755				
21.37 0.04682 1, 21.74 0.04602 3, 18.35 0.04542 9, 23.58 0.04242 6, 18.58 0.04242 6, 18.58 0.04521 52.12 0.04521 54.63 0.01831	2421.2	21.74	0.04602	2,688				
21.74 0.04602 3, 18.35 0.05454 30, 23.58 0.04242 6, 18.58 0.05385 22.12 0.04521 54.63 0.01831	2422.1	21.37	0.04682	1,425				
18.35 0.05454 30, 23.58 0.04242 6, 18.58 0.05385 22.12 0.04521 54.63 0.01831	2422.2	21.74	0.04602	3,536				
23.58 0.04242 6, 18.58 0.05385 22.12 0.04521 54.63 0.01831 Total Depreciation 112	2423.1	18.35	0.05454	30,281				
18.58 0.05385 22.12 0.04521 54.63 0.01831 Total Depreciation 112	2423.2	23.58	0.04242	6,815				
22.12 0.04521 54.63 0.01831 Total Depreciation 112	2426.1	18.58	0.05385					
54.63 0.01831  Total Depreciation 112	2426.2	22.12	0.04521					
	2441	54.63	0.01831	499				
		Total De	spreciation	112.427				

@75% State	391,047	201,589	622,970	315,278	419,782	397,056	80,952	392,893	200,736	305,872	180,484	685,042	0	413,576	641,740	814,176	204,641	326,135	462,966	230,115	530,341	322,052	146,421	220,342	256,510	412,033	637,444	958, AGO	60,282	423.217	1.139.118	0	458.061	515.023
	8 6	<b>\$</b>	\$ 22	33	\$ 2	25	Z es	Z es	<b>\$</b>	\$ 22	 \$	\$ 21	\$ 0	\$ 69	<u>∞</u>	\$ \$2	<u>4</u> &	\$ 2	\$ 2	5	& &	<del></del>	• • ! ⊴	# (	9 4 2 5	9 6 - 4	9 e	2 C	5 <b>2</b>	. W	<u>ب</u> و	O	8	8
@25% Federal	130,349	67,196	207,657	105,093	139,927	132,352	26,984	130,964	66,912	101,957	60,161	228,347		137,859	213,913	271,392	68,214	108,712	154,322	76,705	176,780	107,351	48,807	73,447	437.354	2, 21	170,448	227 953	20 094	141,072	379,706		152.687	171,674
	ક્ર	↔	<del>⇔</del>	₩	₩	€9	₩	↔	₩	↔	₩	G	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	69	€9	<del>()</del>	₩.	₩ (	<b>₩</b> 6	A 6	A 6	9 6	9 4	· •	•	ω.	69	<b>6</b>	ь	∙ €3
Total annual support for specified line types	521,396	268,786	830,626	420,371	559,709	529,408	107,935	523,858	267,647	407,830	240,645	913,389	0	551,435	855,653	1,085,568	272,854	434,846	617,287	306,819	707,121	429,403	195,227	293,789	542,013	20.4	716 501	891.813	80.375	564.289	1.518.823		610.748	686 697
Prince Control Control	s	₩	↔	<del>69</del>	↔	₩	₩	↔	<del>()</del>	↔	↔	↔	₩	σ	s)	69	ь	63	↔	↔	↔	6 <del>9</del> (	6 <del>9</del> 6	A 6	A 6	<b>.</b>	9 <del>U</del>	→ 4	• •	· 69	•	<del>()</del>	w	€9
\$0.00 Annual Support for public lines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	0		<b>.</b>	<b>&gt;</b> C	•	<b>&gt;</b> C	•	• •	0	0	0	0	0
14.500	<b>⇔</b>	0	<b>\$</b>	0	<del>\$</del>	9	<del>и</del> О	<del>Ф</del>	<del>Ф</del>	0	<b>⇔</b>	<b>⇔</b>	<b>⇔</b>	<del>\$</del>	<b>⇔</b> O	<b>⇔</b> O	<b>⇔</b> O	<del>\$</del>	<b>↔</b>	<del>\$</del>	<del>.</del>	ь О		9 6 D (	<i>a</i> 4	) e	9 <i>e</i>	• <del>•</del>	_	· <del>•</del>	<b>↔</b>	<del>.</del>	8	8
\$0.00 Annual Support for multiline business lines																																		
	2	0	9	<del>\$</del>	ζ 8	မှ	<b>↔</b> •	<del>.</del>	4	<del>с</del>	<del>⇔</del>	<b>⇔</b>	<del>\$</del>	<b>⊕</b>	<b>₩</b>	Ω <del>8</del>	ω	ا جه	رة <del>ده</del>	<b>⇔</b>	တ္ (	9 ( 9 (	99 6 O 7	9 6 - 0	9 4 9 5	• •	9 <i>4</i>	<del>-</del>	. 0	· <del>(s)</del>	8	8	ъ <del>С</del>	О
\$51.00 Annual support for single line usiness lines	382				9,372	16,296			1,024			3,327		7,199	11,647	10,162	2,688	392	7,165	1,837	11,659	1,560	4	107.0	4,200		7 820	9.00	3	4,330	4,278		1,773	
unnual oport for s condary ence lines bu	\$	<b>⇔</b> 0	<b>\$</b>	<b>⇔</b>	<b>⇔</b>	<b>↔</b>	<b>⇔</b> •	<del>.</del>	О	<del>⇔</del>	<b>↔</b>	<del>6</del>	<del>⇔</del> O	<b>↔</b> ○	<b>↔</b>	<del>⇔</del>	<b>↔</b>	<b>⇔</b>	<b>⇔</b>	<i>ф</i>	ь О (	99 (C		9 6 O C	# # D C	) e	) e	• <del>•</del>	8	Ф	•	<b>⇔</b>	0	6
Annual Annual support for support for secondary single line residence lines business lines						_			_	_	_	•		_		_	_													J	J	J	J	
8	4	9	9	<del>.</del>	မှ	, <del>S</del>	es e	<b>∞</b>	Α. N	Ö &	ιύ eθ	.Ω	0	<del>\$</del>	₽	ω S	 S	4	Ω <del>Ω</del>	9 9	(A)	99 e	A 6	9 6 9 4	9 <del>6</del>	• <del>•</del>	· ·	. 43	S S	Ф	5	\$ O	5	4
Annual support for primary sidence lines	521,014	268,786	830,626	420,371	550,336	513,112	107,935	523,858	266,624	407,830	240,645	910,062		544,237	844,007	1,075,406	270,167	434,454	610,122	304,982	695,462	427,843	195,227	200,007	536,723	224 141	708 771	882.811	80,375	559,959	1,514,545		608,975	686 697
S S	1							<b>69</b> (	<b>₩</b>	<del>()</del>	↔	↔	↔	₩		<del>()</del>	↔	₩	↔		6 <del>9</del> (	<i>A</i>	A 4		9 <del>(</del>						₩	₩	₩	¥
@ Business usage per line	57.16	108.21	87.73	110.43	80.39	110.95	68.19	40.89	56.52	<b>64.44</b>	33.81	54.88	24.36	79.59	68.20	59.78	80.29	69.61	69.79	70.39	72.26	7.53	30.88	104 40	82.42	33 23	79.93	63.02	34.19	67.90	53.56	24.39	82.92	71.53
the secretarian as made and the	S				↔				•	₩.		<del>()</del>	<del>()</del>	₩			↔	↔					A 4					ь	₩	₩	w	↔	<del>69</del>	€
@ Residence usage per line	56.58	105.87	86.94	109.21	79.56	110.03	65.30	40.39	55.59	63.68	33.41	54.43	24.00	78.72	67.51	59.26	79.29	68.76	69.17	69.53	71.57	70.62	53.63	40.00	81 43	32.79	79.12	62.47	33.71	67.21	53.03	23.98	82.11	70.33
		↔	↔					<b>69</b> (	<del>-</del>	<b>69</b>	₩.	₩	ь	↔		G	↔	↔	↔	<del>69</del> (	₩ (	A 6	A U	<b>.</b>	<del>)</del>	•	•	69	G	69	<del>63</del>	₩	G	G
1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	56.59	105.87	86.94	109.21	79.60	110.11	65.33	40.45	25.65	63.68	33.52	54.51	24.11	78.76	67.61	59.33	79.33	68.77	69.25	69.57	71.67	70.87	53.74	100.1	8154	32 86	79.16	62.53	33.80	67.26	53.08	24.11	82.16	70.33
	s	69	69	↔	↔ •	6 <del>3</del> (	6 <del>9</del> (	<b>⇔</b> (	A (	<b>69</b>	<b>↔</b>	₩.	↔	<del>()</del>	↔	↔	₩.	↔	<b>↔</b>	6 <del>9</del> (	<b>9</b>	A 6	A 4		<del>) (</del>	₩.	•	₩	€9	s	↔	€9	s	<del>(</del>
	ALLNKYMA	AURRKYMA	BDFRKYMA	<b>BGDDKYMA</b>	BLFDKYMA	BLSPKYMA	BNLYKYMA	BNTNKYMA	BRGNKYMA	BRMNKYMA	BRTWKYES	BVDMKYMA	BWLGKYMA	<b>BWLGKYRV</b>	BYVLKYMA	CADZKYMA	CHPLKYMA	CLAYKYMA	CLHNKYMA	CLPTKYMA	CLINKYES	CMBGRTMA	CNCTRTMA	CNTANKYMA	COTNKYMA	CRBNKYMA	CRBOKYMA	CRLSKYMA	CRTNKYMA	CYDNKYMA	CYNTKYMA	DAVLKYMA	DIXNKYMA	DRBOKYES

295,915	658,414	550,414	544,778	826,201	460,857	265,668	52,486	661,924	683,178	479,150	80,308	445,486	311,528	172,345	270,165	0	0	253,117	278,194	533,152	462,254	0	268,740	160,151	299,987	230,992	400,353	16,497	0	0	759,918	466,295	464,049	725,533	774,531	117,932	262,908	181,715	169,024	323,569	240,793	154,733	123,544
\$38	sə	ω		••	₩	↔	<del>()</del>	¥.	↔	ь		195 \$	₩	4	69	<del>\$</del>	& O	372 \$	G	G	69	49	4	<del>63</del>	€9	<b>⇔</b>	₩	69	<b>\$</b>	<del>\$</del>	<del>()</del>	↔	₩.	↔	G	69	φ.	G	4	69	· G	· 69	<b>.</b>
\$ 98,638	\$ 219,471		\$ 181,593	\$ 275,400	\$ 153,619	\$ 88,556	\$ 17,495	\$ 220,641	\$ 227,726	\$ 159,717	\$ 26,769	\$ 148,495	\$ 103,843	\$ 57,448	\$ 90,055	€9	€9	\$ 84,372	\$ 92,731	\$ 177,717	\$ 154,085	s	\$ 89,580	\$ 53,384	966'66 \$	\$ 76,997	\$ 133,451	\$ 5,4	<del>69</del>	€9-	\$ 253,306	\$ 155,432	\$ 154,683	\$ 241,844	\$ 258,177	\$ 39,311	V	\$ 60,572		_			\$ 41,181
394,553	877,885	733,886	726,371	1,101,602	614,476	354,225	69,981	882,565	910,903	638,866	107,077	593,981	415,371	229,793	360,220	0	0	337,490	370,926	710,869	616,339	0	358,320	213,535	399,982	307,989	533,805	21,996	0	0	1,013,225	621,727	618,732	967,377	1,032,708	157,243	1,683,878	242,287	225,365	431,425	321,057	206,311	164,725
<b>9</b> (		<b>↔</b>	•	<del>9</del> О		<del>\$</del>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	9	9	9	<b>\$</b>	<b>₽</b>	<b>\$</b>	<del>(\$</del>	<b>₽</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	\$ O	<b>\$</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	\$ 0	9	<b>9</b> О	<del>\$</del>	<b>\$</b>	•	<del>\$</del>	· •	<del>6</del>	••	••		О	
<b>69</b> 6	99 ·	<b>₩</b>	<b>↔</b> O	<del>∽</del>	<b>₩</b>	<del>\$</del>	& O	<b>↔</b> O	<b>\$</b>	<del>\$</del>	<b>⊕</b>	<del>у</del> О	<del>(4)</del> (0)	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	<b>\$</b>	<b>↔</b> O	<del>\$</del>	<b>↔</b> ○	<b>\$</b>	<del>\$</del>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>⇔</b> O	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	\$ 0	\$	<del>\$</del>	& O	& O	<b>6</b>	<b>⇔</b>	<b>⇔</b>
↔ €	<del>-</del>	₩ (	<del>(A</del>	↔	<b>₩</b>	€	<del>(A</del>	<b>G</b>	₩	€9	ss.	69	€9	ss.	€9	<del>69</del>	₩	s	49	€9	<b>6</b> 3	₩	<b>69</b>	ss.	ss.	↔	<del>69</del>	69	<b>6</b>	49	<del>69</del>	<b>6</b> 3	₩	₩	€	s <del>s</del>	€9-	<b>↔</b>	•••			€9	₩.
0 7	2,310	9,636	975	10,043	0	0	0	1,788	1,670	1,290	•	0	5,102	5,912	389	0	0	101	517	0	14,689	0	6,784	0	1,747	2,851	8,796	0	0	0	1,038	5,102	0	212	0	2,587	10,408	0	2,421	2,160	13,080	•	0
<del></del>	9 ( O	99 (O	9 O		<del>9</del>	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	0	0	0	0	0	<b>\$</b>	0	\$ O	& O	<del>9</del> О	<del>9</del> О	<b>\$</b>	0	<b>\$</b>	<b>↔</b> ○	<b>₩</b>	<del>\$</del>	<del>(А</del>	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	<b>⇔</b> ○	0	<b>⇔</b> ○	<b>\$</b>	<del>\$</del>	<b>⇔</b> O	0	<b>\$</b>	<b>⇔</b> ○	<b>⇔</b> O	<b>\$</b>	9	9	0	<del>\$</del>
<b>↔</b> €	<del>,</del>	<b>19</b> (	s <del>o</del>	<del>()</del>	<b>6</b>	<del>G</del>	ω	<del>()</del>	₩	G	₩	₩	₩	<del>69</del>	<del>69</del>	<del>()</del>	<del>69</del>	4	₩	<b>6</b>	49	↔	₩	69	G	<b>6</b>	<del>()</del>	<del>69</del>	69	<b>↔</b>	G	<b>↔</b>	<del>(A</del>	↔	G	₩	<del>()</del>	υ	<del>69</del>	₩	↔	₩	υ
394,553	8/5,5/5	724,250		1,091,559	614,476	354,225	69,981	880,777	909,233	637,577	107,077	593,981	410,269	223,881	359,830	0	0	337,389	370,408	710,869	601,650	0	351,536	213,535	398,236	305,138	525,009	21,996	0	0	1,012,187	616,625	618,732	967,165	1,032,708	154,656	1,673,469	242,287	222,945	429,265	307,977	206,311	164,725
<b>69</b> 6			₩.	6 <del>9</del> (				H	s	₩	69	₩	4	<del>()</del>	₩	↔	↔	₩	H	69	₩	₩	₩	₩	₩	<del>()</del>	<del>63</del>	₩	<del>()</del>	₩	<del>69</del>	₩	₩				<del>(A)</del>	<del>63</del>	↔	€			↔
44.80	59.49	62.46	60.47	64.27	104.21	50.48	39.37	61.36	118.35	26.96	33.06	44.52	93.85	78.37	109.10	21.54	23.77	52.39	100.65	43.46	119.55	23.88	69.78	46.83	70.19	81.74	64.69	31.77	23.90	24.42	73.74	57.24	43.47	82.57	70.38	66.61	70.33	46.08	91.17	57.10	197.46	34.82	38.79
69 6 CD U	A (	. ·	•	ь. С	To	<del>сэ</del>	₩	<b>↔</b>	<b>€</b> ≯	<b>↔</b>		₩	<b>↔</b>	<b>⇔</b>	↔	<b>↔</b>	<b>⇔</b>	<b>↔</b> ►	<b>↔</b>	<del>⇔</del>	<b>⇔</b>	<del>⇔</del>	₩	<del>⇔</del>	<b>⇔</b>	<del>⇔</del>	<del>⇔</del>	<b>↔</b>	<del>⇔</del>										<b>⇔</b>				
44.29	26.92	61.97	59.80	63.78	103.39	49.89	38.74	60.82	117.23	56.41	32.64	44.04	92.95	77.12	107.64	21.10	23.40	51.57	99.04	42.98	118.59	23.48	69.05	46.20	69.46	80.90	<b>64</b> .04	31.34	23.52	24.05	73.06	56.73	42.97	81.83	69.68	65.55	69.77	45.48	89.09	56.31	193.81	34.37	38.14
6 <del>3</del> 6	A 6	•	•	sə (	-	<b>⇔</b>	<b>⇔</b>	<b>⇔</b>	<b>↔</b>	<del>69</del>	<b>↔</b>	<del>69</del>		<b>↔</b>	↔		↔	<del>63</del>		₩										69									69				69
44.35	600	62.06 20.00	59.85	63.83	103.39	49.93	38.78	60.85	117.23	56.45	32.75	44.11	92.98	77.27	107.64	21.22	23.51	51.58	99.04	43.05	118.70	23.62	69.17	46.28	69.51	81.03	64.14	31.46	23.63	24.15	73.06	56.81	43.05	81.83	69.68	69.69	69.83	45.52	89.21	56.39	194.03	34.46	38.22
<del>63</del> 6	A 6	A (	<b>→</b>	<b>19</b> (	A .	₩	<del>()</del>	↔	₩	↔	₩	₩	₩	₩	<del>()</del>	₩	↔	↔	↔	<del>()</del>	↔	↔	↔	↔	₩	↔	H	₩	₩	↔	₩	<del>()</del>	↔	↔	↔	₩	₩	ઝ	6 <del>3</del>	69	↔	<del>()</del>	₩
DWSPKYES	COVERTIMA	FLOXOTO	ELCYKYES	EMNNKYES	EMNNKYPL	ENSRKYMA	ERTNKYMA	FDCKKYES	FDVLKYMA	FEBRKYMA	<b>FKLNKYMA</b>	FLTNKYMA	FNVLKYMA	FORDKYMA	FRDNKYMA	FRFTKYES	FRFTKYMA	<b>GBVLKYMA</b>	GHNTKYMA	GNVLKYMA	GRACKYMA	GRTWKYMA	<b>GTHRKYMA</b>	HABTKYMA	HANSKYMA	HBVLKYMA	HCMNKYMA	HDBGKYMA	HNSNKYMA	HPVLKYMA	HRBGKYES	HRFRKYMA	HRLNKYMA	HWVLKYMA	INEZKYMA	ISLDKYMA	<b>JCSNKYMA</b>	JNCYKYMA	KKVLKYMA	LBJTKYMA	LFYTKYMA	<b>LGRNKYES</b>	LOUSKYES

## Kentucky PSC HAI Model Release 5.0a

364,377	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	· c	· c	0	0	0	0	0	0	201,282	169,991	843,752	309,447	577,794	327,485	0	0	391,882	841,289	200,890	377,733	201,853	0	444,078	189,230	0	0	438,423	285,779	258,708	545,003	0	0	,071,754	114,542	107,754
G	↔	₩.	· <del>69</del>	₩	<del>69</del>	<del>v</del>	₩	မ	•	•	• 69	. <del>69</del>	G	<del>69</del>	₩	<del>()</del>	₩	↔	<del>()</del>	€3	G	69	G	63	G	<del>()</del>	₩	<del>63</del>	G	₩	<del>s)</del>	G	G	₩	G	↔	₩	₩	· <del>U</del>	· <del>G</del>	. <del>.</del>	s	€
121,459	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	67,094	56,664	281,251	103,149	192,598	109,162	0	0	130,627	280,430	66,963	125,911	67,284	0	148,026	63,077	0	0	146,141	95,260	86,236	181,668	0	0	357,251	38,181	35,918
↔	69	€	₩	G	₩.	G	₩	G	4	· <del>(4</del> )	₩	4	69	↔	₩	↔	↔	↔	↔	₩	↔	69	69	₩	<del>69</del>	↔	↔	w	<del>(A)</del>	<del>()</del>	₩	υ	69	G	4	₩	69	₩	6	69	4	<del>()</del>	₩
485,836	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	268,377	226,655	1,125,002	412,597	770,392	436,646	0	0	522,509	1,121,718	267,853	503,643	269,138	0	592,104	252,307	0	0	584,563	381,039	344,943	726,670		0	1,429,006	152,723	143,672
↔	₩	↔	G	↔	H	H	4	G	69	ы	· <del>()</del>	₩	↔	₩	↔	69	69	₩	<del>()</del>	G	₩	<del>69</del>	↔	↔	θ	<del>()</del>	υ	<del>69</del>	69	↔	₩	₩	↔	↔	69	↔	↔	↔	<del>()</del>	6	₩	₩	₩
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	₩	69	G	s	<del>()</del>	G	w	69	69	6	· 69	₩	69	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	<del>()</del>	<del>69</del>	↔	↔	G	49	<b>6</b>	₩	↔	₩	₩	₩	₩	↔	↔	↔	₩	₩	₩	₩	G	₩	63	4	69
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
₩	υ	<del>69</del>	G	<del>()</del>	<del>()</del>	₩	G	₩	<b>.</b>	6	· 69	₩	₩	₩	<del>69</del>	₩	<del>()</del>	<del>()</del>	s)	G	₩	₩	↔	G	<del>()</del>	₩	<del>69</del>	<del>69</del>	<del>69</del>	<del>69</del>	₩	<del>⇔</del>	<del>69</del>	↔	<del>()</del>	₩	↔	<del>()</del>	w	₩	€	€9	<del>69</del>
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,659	0	11,480	0	496	0	0	0	0	12,680	2,328	0	209	0	1,810	0	0	0	1,649	0	0	6,167	0	0	15,024	0	1,312
<del>()</del>	↔	<del>69</del>	<del>69</del>	<del>69</del>	<del>()</del>	G	₩	G	မ	G	· <del>69</del>	€9	↔	₩	<del>63</del>	₩	₩	↔	<del>63</del>	<del>()</del>	₩	↔	₩	<del>()</del>	↔	₩	₩	υ	<del>()</del>	₩	↔	₩	<del>()</del>	₩	₩	↔	↔	G	₩	<del>()</del>	₩	<del>69</del>	49
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	ω	₩	G	<del>69</del>	↔	<del>()</del>	49	↔	6	69	₩	₩	↔	₩	ь	↔	₩	₩	G	G	<del>63</del>	₩	<del>()</del>	₩	<del>()</del>	<del>()</del>	<del>()</del>	↔	₩	₩	<del>63</del>	<del>69</del>	₩	G	↔	<del>69</del>	<del>()</del>	↔	69	₩	<del>63</del>	G	₩
485,836	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	266,717	226,655	1,113,522	412,597	769,896	436,646	0	0	522,509	1,109,038	265,525	503,643	268,531	0	590,294	252,307	0	0	582,914	381,039	344,943	720,503	0	0	1,413,981	152,723	142,360
		↔		<del>()</del>		↔	₩	₩	G	w	₩	49	₩	₩	↔	₩	↔	₩	₩	<del>()</del>	₩	↔	₩	₩	H	<del>()</del>	↔		↔	↔	₩	₩	<del>()</del>	↔	<del>()</del>	↔	↔	υ	<del>()</del>	69	63	ઝ	69
38.42	16.49	18.50	11.28	17.35	17.05	27.76	23.64	28.05	20.70	17.76	17.94	16.91	16.12	15.76	20.62	17.33	57.37	50.95	61.89	57.69	98.64	64.10	29.17	23.11	49.98	60.74	73.78	82.30	86.46	26.12	124.00	34.52	24.47	25.44	97.83	65.14	50.79	70.64	27.86	18.97	79.95	33.68	57.16
₩	₩	↔	↔	ω	<del>()</del>	<del>69</del>	<del>()</del>	<del>69</del>	w	G	₩	₩	69	↔	εs	6A	<del>()</del>	↔	₩	↔	↔	↔	G	↔	↔	₩	↔	₩	↔	↔	↔	↔	₩	₩	₩	₩	↔	69	ω	ь	↔	₩	69
37.97	16.10	18.15	10.91	16.99	16.67	27.34	23.24	27.62	20.32	17.40	17.57	16.55	15.75	15.36	20.22	16.97	56.59	50.16	61.40	56.70	97.55	63.27	28.69	22.69	49.49	60.26	72.83	80.03	85.36	25.70	122.81	34.10	24.04	24.95	96.95	63.82	50.25	69.91	27.40	18.60	79.32	33.26	55.90
<b>↔</b>	₩	69	69	G	<del>69</del>	₩	<del>69</del>	↔	₩	<del>()</del>	G	↔	<del>()</del>	<del>()</del>	<del>()</del>	₩	₩	↔	↔	₩	<del>()</del>	₩	₩	₩	<del>()</del>	₩	↔	↔	↔	₩	₩	₩	₩	<del>()</del>	G	<del>69</del>	₩	<del>⇔</del>	₩	<del>()</del>	₩	<del>()</del>	<del>63</del>
38.04	16.20	18.28	11.18	17.08	16.79	27.44	23.30	27.69	20.45	17.50	17.66	16.67	15.86	15.49	20.29	17.10	56.63	50.19	61.45	56.70	97.55	63.27	28.79	22.82	49.57	60.36	72.87	80.03	85.37	25.80	122.82	34.19	24.15	25.11	96.97	63.82	50.31	96.69	27.48	18.72	79.39	33.36	56.02
€	↔	<del>()</del>	<del>63</del>	<del>69</del>	↔	₩	<del>69</del>	↔	₩	<del>69</del>	₩	<del>⇔</del>	₩	↔	₩	<del>()</del>	₩	↔	↔	↔	<b>6</b>	<del>()</del>	<del>()</del>	↔	<b>⇔</b>	€	↔	€	↔	↔	<del>G</del>	υ	₩	↔	↔	<del>63</del>	↔	<del>ss</del>	ω	↔	₩	ь	<del>()</del>
LRBGKYMA	LSVLKY26	LSVLKYAN	LSVLKYAP	LSVLKYBE	LSVLKYBR	LSVLKYCW	LSVLKYFC	LSVLKYHA	LSVLKYJT	LSVLKYOA	LSVLKYSH	LSVLKYSL	LSVLKYSM	LSVLKYTS	LSVLKYVS	LSVLKYWE	LVMRKYMA	MACEKYMA	MARNKYMA	MARTKYMA	MCDNKYMA	MCWLKYMA	MDBOKYMA	MDVIKYMA	MGFDKYMA	MGTWKYMA	MLBGKYMA	MLTNKYMA	MRGPKYMA	MRRYKYMA	MTEDKYMA	MTSTKYMA	MYFDKYMA	MYVLKYMA	NEBOKYMA	NEONKYES	NRVLKYMA	NWHNKYMA	OKGVKYES	OWBOKYMA	OWTNKYMA	PARSKYMA	PDCHKYIP

Kentucky PSC HAI Model Release 5.0a

0	0	151,801	1,377,230	98,975	413,839	282,788	348,701	173,167	63,903	254,876	624,749	149,496	288,327	284,677	313,457	0	273,964	0	192,385	503,360	226,892	437,153	0	510,342	170,636	399,646	464,119	249,827	210,110	175,117	143,399	615,979	270,775	170,510	409,463	457,799	349,141	189,921	658,854	368,747	670,422	279,962	365,364
	<b>↔</b> O		459,077 \$		137,946 \$	94,263 \$	116,234 \$	57,722 \$	21,301 \$	84,959 \$	208,250 \$	49,832 \$	96,109 \$	94,892 \$	104,486 \$	9	91,321 \$	<del>9</del>		167,787 \$		145,718 \$		170,114 \$											136,488 \$	152,600 \$	116,380 \$	63,307 \$	219,618 \$	122,916 \$	223,474 \$	93,321 \$	121,788 \$
	<b>⇔</b> O	202,402 \$		131,967 \$	551,786 \$	377,051 \$	464,934 \$	230,890 \$	85,205 \$	339,834 \$	832,998 \$	199,328 \$		379,570 \$	417,943 \$	<del>9</del> О	365,286 \$	<del>(4)</del> О		671,147 \$		582,871 \$	••	680,455 \$											545,950 \$	610,398 \$	465,521 \$	253,228 \$	878,472 \$	491,663 \$	\$ 968,868	373,283 \$	487,152 \$
				<del>\$</del>					<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>		<del>\$</del>		<del>\$</del>	<b>\$</b>	<b>⊕</b> O	<del>\$</del>	<del>9</del>	<del>9</del>	<del>\$</del>	\$ O		<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	<b>⊕</b> O	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>				<b>⊕</b> ○									<del>9</del> О		
				<b>\$</b>									<b>\$</b>		-	-	-		<b>⇔</b> O		<b>\$</b>	<b>↔</b> ○		<b>↔</b> O	••		<b>↔</b> 0		<b>↔</b> O												\$ O		
	<del>\$</del>		4,787 \$	<b>\$</b>		••	12,093 \$	5,183 \$	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	<b>⊕</b> O	<b>⊕</b> O	5,591 \$	<b>⊕</b> ○	2,756 \$	<b>↔</b> O	<b>9</b> О	<b>9</b> О		11,606 \$			<b>\$</b>			9,473 \$	<del>\$</del>	<b>↔</b> ○	1,401 \$							1,978 \$	<b>9</b>		4,608 \$				732 \$
<del>\$</del>		-		<del>\$</del>						••	<b>⇔</b> O	-•		<b>⊕</b> O				<del>s</del>			<b>\$</b>		<b>\$</b>						<b>↔</b> O												<b>⇔</b> O		
	<b>\$</b>			131,967 \$	543,431 \$	371,700 \$	452,842 \$	225,706 \$	85,205 \$	339,834 \$		199,328 \$		379,570 \$	410,187 \$	<b>\$</b>	365,286 \$	9 0				-									190,374 \$		357,141 \$		545,838 \$		465,521 \$	245,657 \$	873,864 \$	486,034 \$	892,781 \$	370,176 \$	486,419 \$
28.15 \$	18.33 \$	35.27 \$	64.56 \$			69.05	91.23 \$	74.83 \$	33.14 \$	38.47 \$	47.44 \$	41.14 \$	64.34 \$	128.32 \$	\$ 09.68	25.02 \$	37.29 \$	19.10 \$	66.92 \$	106.38 \$	67.23 \$	114.92 \$	26.74 \$	119.85 \$	62.20 \$	90.10 \$	47.85 \$										\$ 66.09	91.70 \$	\$ 28.99	83.42 \$	56.51 \$		93.78 \$
27.73 \$	17.97 \$	34.75 \$	64.00 \$		75.53 \$	68.32 \$	\$ 90.06	73.96 \$	32.62 \$	37.97 \$	46.94 \$	40.53 \$	63.62 \$		88.26 \$			18.68 \$											86.51 \$							54.16 \$		\$ 20.64	66.21 \$	82.62 \$	\$ 96.39		92.85 \$
27.80 \$	18.11 \$	34.80 \$	64.03 \$	32.60 \$	\$ 09.52	68.36 \$	90.41 \$	74.05 \$	32.72 \$	38.09 \$	47.01 \$		- •	126.57 \$	88.33 \$			18.87 \$									•	•						-	51.12 \$		50.46 \$	\$ 69.06	66.24 \$		\$ 26.95	\$ 28.85	92.86 \$
PDCHKYLO \$	PDCHKYMA \$			PKVLKYMA \$	PKVLKYMT \$	PLRGKYMA \$	_	PNTHKYMA \$	PNVLKYMA \$	PRBGKYES \$		PRVDKYMA \$			RBRDKYMA \$	_			SCRMKYMA \$		SEBRKYMA \$	_	SHVLKYMA \$			SLVSKYMA \$	SNTNKYMA \$	SPFDKYMA \$					_				_	_	TYVLKYMA \$	UTICKYMA \$	VIRGKYMA \$	WACOKYMA \$	WDDYKYMA \$

Kentucky PSC HAI Model Release 5.0a

895,452	415,444	1,036,901	293,595	229,982	0	164,635	430,104	450,415	119,839	383,888
G	G	₩	₩	₩	₩	↔	↔	₩	₩	69
298,484	138,481	345,634	97,865	76,661	0	54,878	143,368	150,138	39,946	127,963
€	↔	4	<del>(A)</del>	69	69	49	69	₩	H	H
1,193,936	553,925	1,382,534	391,461	306,642	0	219,513	573,472	600,553	159,785	511,850
↔	₩	₩	₩	₩	49	69	H	H	H	↔
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
\$ 0	<b>\$</b>	\$ O	<b>\$</b>	\$ 0	<b>↔</b> O	<b>\$</b>	<del>\$</del>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>⇔</b> O
€9	ss.	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<sub>6</sub>	es es	<del>G</del>	<del>s</del>	s	<del>69</del>	₩
1,591	4,481	3,749	283	4,760	0	5,691	0	0	0	0
0	0	<b>₽</b>	<b>₽</b>	<b>₽</b>	<b>6</b>	0	0	0	0	0
↔	<b>↔</b>	<del>69</del>	G	G	<b>↔</b>	<del>69</del>	<del>69</del>	<del>69</del>	↔	<del>69</del>
1,192,345	549,445	1,378,785	391,178	301,882	0	213,822	573,472	600,553	159,785	511,850
€	<del>()</del>	↔	₩	↔	↔	↔	↔	€	↔	↔
52.54	66.44	53.33	52.78	77.29	26.89	92.21	99.99	119.29	43.02	63.96
<b>6</b>	<del>69</del>	<del>69</del>	ω	<del>69</del>	ω	69	<del>63</del>	₩	↔	₩
52.08	65.73	52.86	52.01	76.26	26.50	90.79	65.78	118.21	42.36	63.01
G	<del>63</del>	₩	<del>ss</del>	<del>()</del>	<del>()</del>	<del>63</del>	<del>()</del>	₩	<del>()</del>	₩
5	11	8	4	35	00	98	82	77	43	5
52.	65.7	52.	52.(	76.3	<b>5</b> 6.6	90.86	65.7	118.	42.4	63.
↔	G	<del>69</del>	s	₩	<del>69</del>	ઝ	s	₩	<del>69</del>	<del>()</del>
WHBGKYMA	WHVLKYMA	WLBGKYMA	WLCKKYES	WLVLKYMA	WNCHKYMA	WNCHKYPV	WRFDKYMA	WSBGKYMA	WSPNKYMA	WYLDKYES

	,	<b>@</b> 25%	<b>@</b> 15%
	Support	Federal	State
Line Type	<b>Grand Totals</b>	allocation	allocation
,	٠		
Primary residence lines	\$72,688,003	\$18,172,001	\$54,516,002
Secondary residence lines	<b>\$</b>	\$0	\$0
Single line business lines	\$438,459	\$109,615	\$328,844
Multline business lines	\$0	\$0	\$0
Public lines	\$0	\$	\$0
All switched lines	\$73,126,462	\$18,281,616	\$54,844,847

## COST OF NETWORK ELEMENTS

	;									່ວັ	č	Cincinnati Bell-Ky
Loop elements	ines/sq ml		5-100 lines/sq mi	100-200 lines/sq mi	200-650 lines/sq mi	650-850 lines/sq mi	850-2550 lines/sq mi	2550-5000 lines/so mi	5000-10000 linester mi	>10000 Incoles m	_	
NID Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	<u> </u>	. ,	240,934 0.79	\$ 74,306 \$	\$ 282,316 \$	1	471,290 <b>\$</b> 0.71	287,102 \$	131,089	\$ 22,175	9.6	1,620,884
Loop Distribution (DLC) Annual Cost Unit Costmonth	w	, ,	8,656,100 28.40	\$ 1,871,307	\$ 5,226,705 \$	1,008,651 \$ 7.46	4,439,579 \$	1,620,406 \$	643,121	8 69,913		23,535,781
Loop Distribution (non-DLC) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	·s	•		, , ø	\$ 391,027 <b>\$</b> 9.10		585,122 \$	1,056,926 \$	401,381	4.18 5 60,628	<b>*</b>	12.83
Loop Distribution (all) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	<b>∽</b>	••	8,656,100 28.40	\$ 1,871,307 \$	\$ 5,617,732 \$ 13.38	1,119,649 \$	5,024,701 \$	2,677,332 \$	1,044,501	5.28 \$ 130,540 3.72	<b>69</b>	5.83 26,141,863 11.46
Loop Concentration (DLC) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	w	•	3,962,299 \$	568,097 5.59	\$ 1,925,187 \$	740,860 \$	2,878,993 \$	1,117,821 \$	558,876	980'22	•	11,829,218
Loop Concentration (non-DLC) Annual Cost Unit Costmonth	<b>↔</b>	• •	<b>ω</b>		\$ 12,153 \$	^	27,800 \$	52,175 \$		5,034	9	6.45 132,509
Loop Concentration (all) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	 •>	•	3,962,299 \$	\$ 568,097 \$ 5.59	1,937,340 <b>\$</b>	4	2,906,793 \$	1,169,996 \$		\$ 82,120	<b>9</b>	0.30 11,961,727 5.24
Loop Feeder (DLC) Annual Cost Unit Costmonth		•	3,233,330 \$	218,353 \$	650,692 \$	183,243 \$	695,556 \$	238,099 \$	- 1	\$ 22,993	w	5,405,573
Loop Feeder (non-DLC) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	· '	•	•	•	150,874 <b>\$</b> 3.51	81,691 <b>\$</b>	285,391 \$	514,523 \$	291,899 \$	8	•	2.95 1,358,204
ŧ	, , •>	••	3,233,330 <b>\$</b> 10.61	218,353 \$ 2.15	801,566 \$	264,933 \$	980,947 \$	752,622 \$	3.15 455,206 \$ 2.32	56,820	4	3.04 6,763,777 2.97
Total Loop (DLC) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month		<b>69</b>	16,092,662 \$ 52.81	2,732,063 \$	8,055,999 \$	2,031,189 \$	8,419,817 \$	3,132,815 \$	1,435,210 \$		9	42,080,293
Total Loop (non-DLC) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	· ·	49	<i>ι</i> ,	<b>.</b> .	582,955 \$ 13.56	213,074 \$	963,915 \$	1,754,238 \$	782,661 \$		49	4,407,959
Total Loop (all) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	· ·	•	16,092,662 <b>\$</b> 52.81	2,732,063 \$	8,638,954 \$	2,244,263 \$	9,383,732 \$	4,887,052 \$	2,217,871 \$		49	9.86 46,488,252
Total lines Total lines served by DLC			25,395 25,395	8,474 8,474	34,999 31,416	12,780	55,685	33,452	16,363	2,927		190,076

		Annual Cost	Units			Unit		
End office switching Line Port Non-Line Port	69	17,658,833 5,297,650 12,361,183	184,255 3,571,782,270	switched lines actual minutes	w w	2.40 0.00346	2.40 per line/month 0.00346 per actual minute (for rate per DEM, see "Cost detail" sheet)	
Signaling network elements Links STP SCP	us .	505,123 63,266 289,311 152,546	33 993,386,935 173,276,000	33 links 993,386,935 TCAP+ISUP msgs 173,276,000 TCAP queries	w w w	158.66 0.00029 0.00088	per link per month per signaling message per query	
Transport network elements								
Sw+Sp Transport Switched	4	4,040,039	10,037 4,216	trunks trunks	w w	33.54 0.00334	per DS-0 equivalent per month per minute	
Transmission Terminal		728,794	10,037	trunks	· ·	0.00060		
Common					A	0.00394	total per minute	
Transport \$	ø	994,227	272,515,825	minutes	•	0.00455	per minute per leg (orig or term)	
Transmission Terminal		156,357	272,515,825	minutes	sa sa	0.00072		
Direct					,			
Transport	ø	2,978,694	965,202,134	minutes	69	0.00309		
Transmission Terminal		490,975	965,202,134	minutes	S	0.00359	per minute total per minute	
Tandem switch	69	243,807	247,770,375	minutes	•	0.00098	0.00098 per minute	
Operator systems	•	1,026,785						
Public Telephones	•	1,238,209						
Total (w/ Public)	ø	76,550,096				,		
Total cost of switched network elements (w/o Public)	•	32.17 pe	32.17 per line/month					

## COST SUMMARY

Kentucky Cincinnati Bell-Ky

			1	2	1+2	က	1+2+3	
Network Element	Investment*	% of total invesment	Annual Capital Cost	Nerwork Experisés	Direct Expense	Support Expense	Subtotal (with misc support)	With carrier-to- carrier)
\$ QIN	7,189,089	2.7%	\$ 1,105,374 \$	137,227 \$	1,242,601 \$	368,384	\$ 1,610,986	\$ 1,618,927
Distribution (DLC)	79,027,124	29.8%	12,135,986	5,926,921	18,062,907	5,354,968	23,417,875	23,507,363
Distribution (non-DLC)	8,906,631	3.4%	1,364,817	631,209	1,996,026	591,746	2,587,772	2,602,935
Distribution (all)	87,933,755	33.1%	13,500,803	6,558,130	20,058,933	5,946,715	26,005,648	26,110,298
Concentrator (DLC)	42,491,999	16.0%	8,242,355	833,198	9,075,553	2,690,558	11,766,111	11,814,935
Concentrator (non-DLC)	498,400	0.5%	76,633	24,840	101,473	30,083	131,556	132,349
Concentrator (all)	42,990,399	16.2%	8,318,988	858,038	9,177,026	2,720,641	11,897,667	11,947,284
Feeder (DLC)	20,232,487	7.6%	2,986,365	1,164,787	4,151,152	1,230,660	5,381,812	5,399,046
Feeder (non-DLC)	5,077,229	1.9%	764,073	276,082	1,040,155	308,367	1,348,522	1,356,564
Feeder (all)	25,309,716	9.5%	3,750,439	1,440,869	5,191,307	1,539,026	6,730,334	6,755,610
End Office Switching	61,785,565	23.3%	9,792,232	3,319,425	13,111,657	2,788,929	15,900,586	17,637,511
Signaling	1,769,352	0.7%	279,019	906'96	375,924	78,965	454,889	504,513
Dedicated Transport	14,620,281	2.5%	2,157,493	885,983	3,043,476	597,298	3,640,773	4,035,160
Dedicated Transport Transmission	2,539,769	1.0%	499,097	44,954	544,051	112,379	656,430	727,914
Direct Transport	10,834,570	4.1%	1,598,928	655,705	2,254,633	430,421	2,685,054	2,975,098
Direct Transport Transmission	1,719,275	%9:0	337,860	30,431	368,291	74,055	442,346	490,382
Common Transport	3,629,431	1.4%	535,637	219,465	755,103	141,289	896,392	993,026
Common Transport Transmission	549,559	0.5%	107,995	9,727	117,723	23,178	140,900	156,168
Tandem Switching	810,722	0.3%	121,749	29,060	180,809	38,708	219,517	243,513
Operator Systems	1,275,538	0.5%	227,575	555,698	783,273	141,335	924,608	1,025,545
Public Telephone	2,363,595	%6.0	510,246	428,909	939,155	176,612	1,115,767	1,238,209

	76,459,161	
**	8	1
otal Network Cost	73,321,897 \$	
Ž	\$	1
	15,177,935	
	₩	
	58,143,962 \$ 15,177,935 \$	
	s	ŀ
	15,300,528	
	₩	ı
	\$ 42,843,434 \$ 15,300,528 \$	
	€	l
	100%	
	265,320,616	
	↔	
	Total	

<sup>\*</sup> Post sharing

# USOA Detail Breakdown of HAI Model Costs

<b>&gt;</b>	>
Bell-K	entuck
incinnati	×
Ξ̄	

Cost	(non)*		22	<u> </u>	<b>.</b>	<b>5</b> (	0	8	152	,	411,1	<b>2</b> 5	89	į	1,840	3,022	503	801	429	943	2116	2	606		6,488			62 10,090	16,837	3,837 17,973 321 <b>22,131</b>	7,162	46,130	
EXPENSE DESCRIPTION	Plant Specific Expenses		MOTOR VEHICLES	AIRCRAFT	SPECIAL PRODUCE VENIOR IN	OFFICIAL FURNION VEHICLES	GARAGE WORK EQUIPMEN	OTHER WORK EQUIPMENT	NETWORK SUPPORT	CONC III G & CINY I	CAND & BUILDINGS	FURNITURE	OFFICE EQUIPMENT		LAND & SUPPORT ASSETS	DIGITAL ELECTRONIC SWITCHING	OPERATOR SYSTEMS	CIRCUIT EQUIPMENT	PUBLIC TEL TERMINAL EQUIPMENT		AERIAL CABI F		UNDERGROUND CABLE		BURIED CABLE	INTRABUILDING NETWORK CABLE		CONDUIT SYSTEMS TOTAL CABLE & WIRE FACILITIES	Total Plant Specific Expenses	Plant Nonspectific Operations TOTAL NETWORK OPERATIONS SUPPORT DEPRECIATION TPIS CUSTOMER SERVICES Total Plant Nonspecific Operations	TOTAL CORPORATE OPERATIONS	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	
USOA			6112	6113	6114	1 1 1 1	01.0	6116	6110	10.10	0120	27.0	6123	1013	6120	6212	6220	6232	6351	6411	6421		6422		6423	6426		6441 6410		6561	·	•	
Cost		755	1639	<u> </u>		2		25		12 285	7,433	774.	4.7.	4 442	23,281	58,445	517	44,910	2,364	6334	19.177	6,971	4,047	17,752	71,700	000,12		5,687 153,202	282,718	SSF investment is "Summary" sheet.			
INVESTMENT DESCRIPTION	Telecommunications Plant in Service	LAND	MOTOR VEHICLES	AIRCRAFT	SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES	GARAGE WORK FOLIDMENT	OTUCE WORK COMPACT	O LIER WORN HOUSEMEN!		SENIO		OFFICE SUBSORT FOLIRMENT			TOTAL LAND & SUPPORT ASSETS	DIGITAL ELECTRONIC SWITCHING	OPERATOR SYSTEMS	CIRCUIT EQUIPMENT	PUBLIC TEL TERMINAL EQUIPMENT	POLES	AERIAL CABLE - METALLIC	AERIAL CABLE - NonMETALLIC	UNDERGROUND CABLE - METALLIC	UNDERGROUND CABLE - NONMETALLIC	BURIED CABLE - METALLIC BURIED CARLE - NOVMETALLIC	INTRABUILDING NETWORK CABLE - MET.	INTRABUILDING NETWORK CABLE - NonMET.	CONDUIT SYSTEMS TOTAL CABLE & WIRE FACILITIES	Total TPIS (before amortizable assets)*	<ul> <li>This calculation of total plant includes GSF investment is not included in the total investment that is reported in the "Summany" sheet.</li> </ul>			
AOSO		2111	2112	2113	2114	2115				2121		_			2110	2212	2220	2232	2351	2411	_	2421.2	2422.1			_	~	2441	•	•			

Kentucky PSC HAI Model Release 5.0a

48,370

TOTAL EXPENSES & OPERATING TAXES

USOA	Deprec	Depreciation Calculations	ations		Network Elemen	Network Element Disaggregations	
	Depl ife	DepEact	Dep Exp	Investment Disaggregations:		Expense Disaggregations:	
2111	ć	,	į	Terminal, Drop, and NID	18,506,986	Terminal, Drop, and NID	859,585
21.2	9.20	0.10804	8/1	Aenal-m portion	3,792,996	Aerial portion	242,521
2114					066,517,41		to: 10
2115	12.00	0.08333	7	OS Trks + Signaling links	796,279	OS Trks + Signaling links	50,822
2116	16.20	0.06176	88	Circuit Eqpt. portion	132,713	Circuit Eqpt. portion	8,470
				Pole portion	21,518	Pole portion	1,846
				Aerial-nm portion	62,334	Aerial-nm portion	5,565
2121	46.39	0.02156	592	Underground-nm portion	256,706	Underground-nm portion	13,485
2122	15.49	0.06461	85	Buried-nm portion	562,009	Buried-nm portion	20,758
2123.1	12.78	0.07835	<u>\$</u>	Conduit portion	666'09	Conduit portion	869
2123.2	7.78	0.12897	•				
2124	9.00	0.16667	740	SAI	3,021,400	SAI	150,587
2110				Aerial-m portion	106.447	Aerial portion	29.811
				Aerial-nm portion	491,677	Underground portion	58,583
2212	16.00	0.06250	3,653	Underground-m portion	440,396	Buried portion	62,193
				Underground-nm portion	735,031		
2220	10.00	0.10000	25	Buried-m portion	95,735		
				Buried-nm portion	1,152,114		
2232	9.30	0.10778	4,840				
2351	7.78	0.12897	305				
2411	21.12	0.04736	300				
2421.1	17.54	0.05705	1,094				
2421.2	21.74	0.04602	321				
2422.1	21.37	0.04682	6				
2422.2	21.74	0.04602	817				
2423.1	18.35	0.05454	3,910				
2423.2	23.58	0.04242	913				
2426.1	18.58	0.05385					
2426.2	22.12	0.04521	•				
2441	54.63	0.01831	5				
	Total De	Total Deomociation	17 973				
	5	- Compando	0.00				

Cincinnati Bell-KY Cincinnati Be	\$ 791,185	\$ 1,022,507	\$ 492,846	\$ 290,288		\$ 900.840	9	9	\$ 594,191	\$ 691.946		\$ 525.417	\$ 344.922	\$ 1.282.745	\$ 377,537
P. G. C.	263,728	340,836	164,282	96,763	0	300,280	0	0	198,064	230,649	0	175,139	114.974	427.582	125,846
Support for specified line by these	1,054,913 \$	1,363,342 \$	657,128 \$	387,051 \$	9	1,201,120 \$	0	0	792,254 \$	922,595 \$	0	700,556 \$	459,896 \$	1,710,327 \$	503,382 \$
	\$ 0	<b>⇔</b> O	0	<b>\$</b>	0	0	0	0	<b>\$</b>	<del>\$</del>	0	0	0	0	\$ 0
3	<del>\$</del>	<b>₩</b>	<b>⇔</b> O	<b>↔</b> O	<del>\$</del>	<b>\$</b>	0	<b>\$</b>	<b>⇔</b> ⊙	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	<b>\$</b>	<del>\$</del>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b> ○
that Line Type is \$51.00 Annual support for su single line nusiness lines busi	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	2,301 \$	<del>6</del>	14,247 \$	<b>⇔</b> O	<b>\$</b>	\$ 225	<b>↔</b> O	<b>9</b>	4,563 \$	<b>\$</b>	7,271 \$	1,476 \$
Entry of \$0.00 Indicates that Line Type is Not to be Supported \$31.00 \$0.00 \$51.00 \$0.00  The support for support	\$ 0	\$ O	<del>\$</del>	<b>9</b>	<del>\$</del>	& O	& O	<b>\$</b>	\$ O	& O	69 O	<b>⇔</b> ⊙	<b>4</b> О	<b>⇔</b> ○	<b>⊕</b> O
Entry of \$0.00 Indicates that Line Type is Not to be \$31.00 \$0.00 \$51.00 \$0.00  Annual Annual Annual Annual Annual support for support for support for single line mutiline indence lines business lines lines	1,054,913 \$	,363,342 \$	657,128 \$	384,750 \$	<b>69</b>	,186,873 \$	<b>↔</b> O	<del>У</del>	791,677 \$	922,595 \$	\$ 0	695,994 \$	459,896 \$	\$ 750,057	501,907 \$
Supply Ar	\$ 1,	<del>ر</del> ج	69	(A)	<b>⇔</b>	\$ 	es	₩	· 63	G	<del>63</del>	٠ 4	` \$	 	<del>()</del>
100% 1100% 110% Benchmark. Benshmark. @ Business	45.24	98.62	41.14	61.21	22.10	70.03	22.55	25.53	90.66	39.80	23.93	58.36	43.86	58.77	96'29
% of Loop Assigned for USE. % of Port Assigned for USE. 110% Monthly Support Benchmark:  Avg monthly @ Residence @ Business state wasge per line. usage per line usage per line resi	44.33 \$	96.57 \$	40.27 \$	59.48 \$	21.45 \$	\$ 66.89	21.93 \$	24.82 \$	\$ 92.26	39.02	23.24 \$	\$ 96.99	42.91	57.92 \$	66.64
% of Loop Assigned for USF: % of Port Assigned for USF: 3us/Res local DEM usage ratio: Monthly Suppo Avg monthly @ Residence cost per line usage per line	44.48 \$	96.58	40.45 \$	59.71 \$	21.61 \$	69.16 \$	22.16 \$	24.97 \$	97.30 \$	39.13 \$	23.45 \$	57.14 \$	43.12 \$	58.04 \$	\$ 52.99
% of Lo % of Pt Bus/Res lo Bus/Res lo Avg m Avg m cost pe	<b>69</b>	↔	<del>()</del>	ss.	₩	<b>⇔</b>	€9	↔	ss.	ь	₩	₩	₩	₩	₩.
<b>.</b>	ALXNKYAL	BTLRKYBR	BURLKYBN	CRTDKYCT	CVTNKYCN	FLMOKYFM	FLRNKYFL	FITHKYFT	GLCOKYGC	INDPKYIN	LKPKKYLP	UNINKYAC	WLTNKYWL	WLTWKYWT	WRSWKYWR

(@25% (@75% t Federal State als allocation allocation	31 \$2,430,533 \$7,291,598 \$0 \$0 \$0 34 \$7,609 \$22,826 \$0 \$0
Support Grand Totals	\$9,722,131 \$0 \$30,434 \$0
Line Type G	Primary residence lines Secondary residence lines Single line business lines Muttline business lines

Kentucky

## COST OF NETWORK ELEMENTS

Loop elements	0-5 lines/sq mi	5-100 lines/sq mi	100-200 lines/sq mi	200-650 lines/sq mi	650-850 lines/sq mi	850-2550 lines/sq mi	2550-5000 lines/sq mi	5000-10000 lines/sq mi	00 E	>10000 lines/so ml	_	Totals	<u> </u>
NID Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	\$ 14,171	\$ 570,004 \$	\$ 71,326 \$	84,639 \$		94,237 <b>\$</b> 0.51		s	ł	s		, s	840,264 0.70
Loop Distribution (DLC) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	\$ 802,156 44.50	\$ 19,314,984 \$ 26.08	\$ 1,423,312 \$	1,361,315 \$	60,299 \$	\$ 666,889		s,		<b>S</b>	<b>一</b> .	\$ 23,6	23,651,066
Loop Distribution (non-DLC) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	\$ 5,030	\$ 16,732 \$		6	21	585,473 <b>\$</b> 5.11		•		<b>5</b>		w w	818,995
Loop Distribution (all) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	\$ 807,186	\$ 19,331,716 \$ 26.04	\$ 1,423,312 \$ 14.49	₩.	8	1,274,472 \$		ø		sy.		\$ 24,4	3.80 24,470,060 20,52
Loop Concentration (DLC) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	\$ 733,362 40.68	\$ 12,803,049 \$	\$ 569,867 \$	534,081 \$	50,624 \$	318,981 \$		49	, ,			\$ 15,0	15,009,964
Loop Concentration (non-DLC) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	\$ 260 1.70	\$ 975 <b>\$</b>	••	4,110 \$	1,591 \$	20,446 \$ 0.18		<b>4</b>	, ,	<b>6</b>		49	27,382
Loop Concentration (all) Annual Cost Unit Costmonth	\$ 733,622 40.36	\$ 12,804,024 <b>\$</b>	569,867 \$	538,192 \$	52,215 <b>\$</b> 4.34	339,427 <b>\$</b> 1.85		49		ø		\$ 15,0	15,037,347
Loop Feeder (DLC) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	\$ 688,959 38,22	\$ 9,352,723 <b>\$</b>	405,427 \$	235,652 \$	13,060 \$	184,182 \$		s s		<b>5</b>	<del>  "</del>	\$ 10,8	10,880,004
Loop Feeder (non-DLC) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	\$ 7,299 : 47.72	\$ 9,049 \$		71,309 \$	50	356,504 \$		•				& 4	10.33
Loop Feeder (all) Annual Cost Unit Costmonth	\$ 696,259 (	\$ 9,361,771 \$	405,427 \$	306,961 <b>\$</b> 2.22		540,686 \$ 2.95	• •	v	•			=	3.33 11,345,142 9.51
Total Loop (DLC) Annual Cost Unit Costmonth	\$ 2,238,530 (124.18	\$ 42,039,464 \$ 56.76	2,469,933 \$ 25.14	2,203,965 \$	127,819 \$	1,227,600 \$		s s	••	;		İ	50,307,310
Total Loop (non-DLC) Annual Cost Unit Costmonth	\$ 12,709 \$	\$ 28,052 \$	<b>69</b>	277,606 <b>\$</b> 14.48	45,915 \$	1,021,222 \$		<del>19</del>	• •		· · ·		1,385,503
Total Loop (all) Annual Cost Unit Cost/month	\$ 2,251,238 \$	\$ 42,067,516 \$ 56.67	2,469,933 \$	2,481,571 \$	173,733 \$	2,248,822 \$		<b>↔</b>				51,66	51,692,813
Total lines Total lines served by DLC	1,515 1,502	61,862 61,722	8,187 8,187	11,535 9,937	1,003	15,295 5,752	, ,			' '			99,397

	<b>▼</b>	Annual Cost	Units			Unit	
End office switching Line Port Non-Line Port	<b>6</b>	5,601,238 1,680,371 3,920,866	92,325 1,325,119,687	switched lines actual minutes		1.52 0.00296	1.52 per line/month 0.00296 per actual minute (for rate per DEM, see "Cost detail" sheet)
Signaling network elements Links STP SCP	φ.	458,964 256,309 132,691 69,964	81 1,138,092,401 260,801,000	81 links 1,138,092,401 TCAP+ISUP msgs 260,801,000 TCAP queries	w w w	264.09 0.00012 0.00027	per link per month per signaling message per query
Transport network elements Dedicated							
Sw+Sp Transport Switched Soecial	69	5,290,002 1,351,088 3,938,914	9,499 2,426 7,073	trunks trunks trunks	s s	46.41 0.00462	46.41 per DS-0 equivalent per month XX462 per minute
Transmission Terminal		1,256,501	9,499	trunks		11.02 0.00110 0.00572	per DS-0 equivalent per month per minute total per minute
Transport Transmission Terminal	•	1,840,108 368,527	153,285,004 153,285,004	minutes minutes		0.01089 0.00218 0.01307	per minute per leg (orig or term) per minute total per minute
Transport \$ Transport   \$ Transmission Terminal	69	2,657,546 545,000	305,796,264 305,796,264	minutes minutes	~ ~ ~	0.00869 0.00178 0.01047	per minute per minute total per minute
Tandem switch	•	123,345	130,967,687 minutes	minutes	•	0.00094	0.00094 per minute
Operator systems	s	720,248					
Public Telephones	•	165,489					
Total (w/ Public)	•	70,719,782					
Total cost of switched network elements (w/o Public)	•	55.96 pe	55.96 per line/month				

## COST SUMMARY

Kentucky Contel of Ky Inc dba GTE Ky

			1	2	1+2	67	1+2+3	
Network Element	Jueunseyu Jueunseyu	% of total invesment	Annual Capital	Network Expenses	Direct Expense	Support	Subtotal (with misc support)	(With carrier-to- carrier)
S	3,794,719	1.3%	\$ 584,120	\$ 72,817 \$	656,937	\$ 178,720	8.4	s
Distribution (DLC)	103,794,160	35.3%	15,938,108	2,566,015	18,504,123	5,034,047	23,538,170	23,593,323
Distribution (non-DLC)	3,549,980	1.2%	545,844	92,013	637,857	173,529	811,386	816,995
Distribution (all)	107,344,140	36.5%	16,483,953	2,658,028	19,141,981	5,207,576	24,349,557	24,410,318
Concentrator (DLC)	55,335,929	18.8%	10,770,941	974,637	11,745,578	3,195,385	14,940,962	14,973,319
Concentrator (non-DLC)	125,700	%0.0	19,349	1,976	21,325	5,801	27,126	27,315
Concentrator (all)	55,461,629	18.9%	10,790,290	976,612	11,766,902	3,201,186	14,968,088	15,000,634
Feeder (DLC)	49,809,057	17.0%	7,348,186	1,166,480	8,514,666	2,316,415	10,831,081	10,853,441
Feeder (non-DLC)	2,103,579	0.7%	317,842	44,381	362,223	98,543	460,765	464,002
Feeder (all)	51,912,635	17.7%	7,666,027	1,210,861	8,876,888	2,414,958	11,291,846	11,317,444
End Office Switching	19,280,255	%9.9	3,013,826	1,125,990	4,139,816	906,905	5,046,721	5,587,563
Signaling	1,756,382	%9.0	273,717	69,859	343,576	70,134	413,710	457,843
Dedicated Transport	23,823,721	8.1%	3,516,055	458,845	3,974,900	794,131	4,769,030	5,277,087
Dedicated Transport Transmission	4,346,212	1.5%	854,087	76,928	931,015	201,191	1,132,206	1,253,433
Direct Transport	12,061,286	4.1%	1,780,203	231,750	2,011,953	384,526	2,396,479	2,651,058
Direct Transport Transmission	1,913,418	0.7%	376,011	33,867	409,879	81,468	491,347	543,670
Common Transport	8,363,956	2.8%	1,234,508	160,630	1,395,138	264,288	1,659,426	1,835,616
Common Transport Transmission	1,296,693	0.4%	254,817	22,951	277,769	54,504	332,273	367,628
Tandem Switching	406,229	0.1%	61,005	29,696	90,701	20,414	111,115	123,044
Operator Systems	1,750,751	%9.0	273,354	288,263	561,618	87,469	649,086	718,489
Public Telephone	340,974	0.1%	73,608	53,638	127,246	22,083	149,330	165,489

1000	ľ		, 00		],						`	JYK COST	ı	
) tal	A	Ń	3,853,001	- %001	₩.	47,235,581 \$ 7,470,736	₩	7,470,736 \$	54,706,318 \$	13,85	5 13,889,553   \$	68,595,871	εs	70,547,528
					ı									

<sup>\*</sup> Post sharing

Kentucky PSC HAI Model Release 5.0a

## USOA Detail Breakdown of HAI Model Costs

Contel of Ky Inc dba GTE Ky	Kentucky

(000)\$
920
1,893
107
1,570
8,870
397
1.861
382
16,230
15,459
213
60,871
341
12,493
17,244
16,407
23 234
87,367
48,199
5 891
211,874
304,987
* This calculation of total plant includes GSF investment. GSF investment is not included in the total investment that is proposed in the Engage and the control of the con

USOA	Deprec	Depreciation Calculations	ations	Nei	Network Element Disaggregations	aggregations
	DepLife	DepFact	Dep Exp	Investment Disaggregations:		Expense Disaggregations:
2111				Terminal, Drop, and NID 10	10,368,626	Terminal, Drop, and NID
2112	9.20	0.10884	206		1,680,910	Aerial portion
2113					8,687,716	Buried portion
2114						-
2115	12.00	0.08333	ø	OS Trks + Signaling links 2	2,426,454	OS Trks + Signaling links
2116	16.20	0.06176	97		404,409	Circuit Egpt. portion
				Pole portion	70,260	Pole portion
				Aerial-nm portion	186,549	Aerial-nm portion
2121	46.39	0.02156	191	Underground-nm portion	795,373	Underground-nm portion
2122	15.49	0.06461	26	Buried-nm portion	787,312	Buried-nm portion
2123.1	12.78	0.07835	<b>1</b> 46	Conduit portion	182,552	Conduit portion
2123.2	7.78	0.12897				
2124	6.00	0.16667	<b>1</b>	SAI 2	2,551,200	SAI
2110				Aerial-m portion		Aerial portion
				Aerial-nm portion	628,491	Underground portion
2212	16.00	0.06250	966	Underground-m portion	48,709	Buried portion
				Underground-nm portion	265,638	
2220	10.00	0.10000	21	Buried-m portion	23,427	
				Buried-nm portion 1	,562,775	
2232	9.30	0.10778	6,561			
2351	7.78	0.12897	4			
2411	21.12	0.04736	592			
2421.1	17.54	0.05705	984			
2421.2	21.74	0.04602	755			
2422.1	21.37	0.04682	4			
2422.2	21.74	0.04602	1,074			
2423.1	18.35	0.05454	4,765			
2423.2	23.58	0.04242	2,045			
2426.1	18.58	0.05385				
2426.2	22.12	0.04521	•			
2441	54.63	0.01831	108			

70,189 11,698 2,155 10,215 18,798 26,720 603

Total Depreciation 18,795

PRCYKYXA	ONEDKYXA	<b>MYLCKYXA</b>	MTVRKYXA	MTOLKYXA	MNCHKYXA	MMCVKYXA	MLBNKYXA	LWGMKYXA	LVTNKYXA	LONDKYXA	JNKNKYXA	JHVLKYXA	IRVNKYXA	<b>GMTWKYXA</b>	FRNLKYXA	FLLCKYXA	<b>FBSHKYXA</b>	EVRSKYXA	<b>EBRNKYXA</b>	EBNKKYXA	DOVRKYXA	CYVLKYXA	CMLDKYXA	CLMBKYXA	CLCTKYXA	CKSNKYXA	<b>BWVLKYXA</b>	BRWLKYXA	BRHDKYXA	BKVLKYXA	BESPKYXA	BBVLKYXA	ARTNKYXA	AGSTKYXA	<b>≗</b>								
€9	G	G	<del>()</del>	€	ω	↔	<del>()</del>	<del>()</del>	49	G	49	₩	€9	↔	₩	€9	₩	€9	G	↔	€9	↔	<del>()</del>	<del>⇔</del>	₩	<del>(A</del> )	€	<del>(4)</del>	↔ •	<b>⊕</b>	<b>69</b> (	<b>.</b>	₩.	မှ	8	Ş					P. E. R	8	3
90.01	98.52	96.25	60.93	113.75	56.50	201.56	109.13	92.59	110.91	26.96	46.54	87.13	38.68	121.74	98.64	95.88	97.04	56.13	61.37	60.53	101.42	82.51	39.50	152.90	40.26	59.72	48.64	81.16	55.61	80.95	79.56	50 18	81.83	42.01	cost per line	Avg monthly					Bus/Res local DEM usage ratio	% of Port Assigned for USF	Solution and Control Control Control
↔	↔	€9	₩	↔	↔	G	₩	G	↔	æ	₩	G	<del>(A</del>	↔	↔	₩	€9	G	<del>(A</del>	₩	€9	₩	₩	₩	€	₩	49	↔	<del>69</del> +	₩.	<del>()</del>	<del>.</del>	<b>₩</b>	إم	usaq	(9) (2)			100111		K	sianed	Palific
89.72	98.52	96.25	60.92	113.74	56.41	197.40	109.13	92.54	110.91	26.81	46.54	86.98	38.56	121.74	98.64	95.88	97.02	56.06	61.33	60.47	101.42	82.43	39.40	152.90	40.26	59.63	48.50	81.16	55.56	80.95	79.45	50 10	81.83	41.79	usage per line	Residence			and Support Delicement.		7 THIS.	for USF:	<u> </u>
↔	↔	₩	↔	₩	↔	₩	₩	€9	₩	₩	₩	↔	₩	<del>(A</del>	↔	↔	₩	₩	€9	<del>()</del>	↔	€₽	₩	€9	↔	₩.	↔	↔	↔ ↔	<b>69</b>	<b>⇔</b> €	÷ •	<b>69</b> +	s (	usage	(Q) (B)			1	1 D	<u>.</u>		
91.74	101.39	97.79	61.51	115.36	56.90	208.35	111.94	94.00	114.02	27.17	47.11	88.79	38.99	124.41	100.05	96.88	98.77	56.62	62.15	61.07	103.95	83.03	39.84	156.90	40.77	60.15	49.03	82.71	56.21	82.46	80.11	20.5	83.73	42.40	usage per line	@ Business					110%	100%	9,00
₩	₩.	↔	<b>⇔</b> ,	₩.	<b>⇔</b>	↔	↔	€	₩	€	<del>())</del>	€Э	₩.	↔	↔	↔	↔	₩	<del>(A</del>	₩	₩	<b>↔</b> 	↔	₩	₩	↔	↔	₩.	<b>↔</b> (	₩ 4	<b>⇔</b> €	<i>•</i>		₽	reside	<b>Q</b> .	gus	>					1
303,524	399,047	359,113	1,055,503	673,660	,633,650	89,473	214,711	278,947	353,380	0	396,005	364,866	431,393	324,657	324,875	541,292	843,920	545,674	736,639	712,572	231,466	1,177,739	220,582	222,986	284,409	765,664	316,015	463,158	344,641	510 285	833.573	312 788	205 630	105.987	residence lines	primary	support for	Annual	\$01.00	£34 00	5 5 10	У.,	
€9	<del>(A</del>	₩	€9	€9	₩	₩	₩	↔	₩	₩	₩	G	<del>()</del>	<del>()</del>	€	₩	↔	₩	€9	<del>(A</del>	€9	₩	₩	<del>()</del>	€9	↔	↔	<del>()</del>	<b>↔</b> ∢	<del>59</del> •	<b>.</b>	A (	<b>69</b> ₹	9	residence lines	secondary	support for	Annual	<b>90.00</b>	60.0	* 5 3 India		
o \$	о <del>У</del>	o \$	0 \$	0 \$	o \$	o \$	о \$	o \$	o \$	o \$	٥ چ	о \$	O &	о <del>У</del>	о <del>У</del>	о <del>«</del>	0 \$	0 \$	о \$	о \$	o \$	о \$	о \$	0 \$	о <del>У</del>	о <del>У</del>	0 \$	о •	o (	9 4	о с •	o (	о ( •	1.2	2007000						<u>.</u>		
764	0	0	911	685	5,045	4,625	0	2,092	0	0	0	3,102	0	0	0	0	2,736	547	915	3,241	0	11,799	0	0	0	7,588	0	0	333	0	11.140	<b>-</b> (	0 (	0	business lines	single line	support for	Annual	901.00	tal no serve indicates that this is be a factor to be supported.	hat I Ino Typ		
<del>(A</del>	<del>()</del>	<b>€</b> 9	49	<b>€9</b>	<b>€</b>	€9	€9	₩	<del>G</del>	₩.	€9	₩	₩	₩	₩	₩	€9	₩	₩	G	↔	<del>(A</del>	G	€9	₩	<b>↔</b>	₩ .	<del>•</del>	<del>69</del> (	<del>-</del> 9 +	<b>₩</b>	A (	<del>(</del> 9		business lines	multiline	support for	Annual	\$0.00	6 19 1101 10 1	) 5 E		
\$	<del>С</del>	о \$	<b>⇔</b>	₩	₩	₩	<b>⇔</b>	o \$	о \$	<b>○</b>	о #	о <del>У</del>	<del>С</del>	<b>О</b>	<del>О</del>	о <del>У</del>	о <del>6</del>	о <del>6</del>	о <del>и</del>	о <del>У</del>	0 \$	0 \$	0 \$	о <del>«</del>	<b>○</b>	о •	о <del>•</del>	o ,	о •	<b>→</b>		o •	э ( 9 (	ام	448	i.			•	ة ( 2	) 0 =		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 (	0.6	<b>.</b>			<b>.</b>	0	oublic lines	support for	Annual		90.00	Sported Sported	) John Market		
€9 -	G	49	↔	↔	↔	49	4	€	€	↔	€9	₩	€	↔	₩.	₩	€9	₩	ω	₩	₩	49	₩	69	₩.	ω·	<b>.</b>	<b>Б</b>	ь •	A (		A 6		۾		s S	2	7		71			
304,287	399.047	359,113	1,056,414	674,345	1,638,695	94,098	214,711	281,039	353,380	0	396,005	367,968	431,393	324,657	324,875	541,292	846,656	546,221	737,555	715,813	231,466	1,189,538	220,582	222,986	284,409	773,252	316,015	463.158	344.974	510 285	844 712	1 312 788	205,630	105 087	toes	specified line	support for	Total annual					
↔ .	G	Ð	<del>co</del>	↔	<del>()</del>	<del>()</del>	₩	↔	↔	₩	↔	↔	↔ .	€9	₩.	€9	↔	₩	€9	↔	<del>(A)</del>	€9	€9	↔	↔	<b>⇔</b> +	<b>⇔</b> •	69 (	<b>69</b> 6	A (	÷ +	<b>∌</b> €	<b>∌</b> €		<u>ب</u>	π.					9	) )	×
76,072	99.762	89,778	264,104	168,586	409,674	23,525	53,678	70,260	88,345	0	99,001	91,992	107,848	81,164	81,219	135,323	211,664	136,555	184,389	178,953	57,867	297,385	55,145	55,747	71,102	193,313	79.004	115.789	86 244	107 571	211 178	338 407	51 407	26 497	allocation	Federal	@25%				- E	<u> </u>	
\$ 228,215	\$ 299.285	\$ 269,335	\$ 792,311	\$ 505,759	\$ 1,229,021	\$ 70,574	\$ 161,033	\$ 210,779	\$ 265,035	<b>⇔</b> ○	\$ 297,004	\$ 275,976	\$ 323,545	\$ 243,493	\$ 243,657	\$ 405,969	\$ 634,992	\$ 409,666	\$ 553,166	\$ 536,860	\$ 173,600	\$ 892,154	\$ 165,436	\$ 167,240	\$ 213,307	\$ 579,939	\$ 237.011	\$ 347.368	\$ 258 731		\$ 633.534	-	4 154 222	\$ 70 400	allocation	@75% State		***			Control by life and one by	シー・ファー・ア・	Kentucky

Sec. P		WHILKYXA	WASHKYXA	UNTWKYX	SOVLKYXA	SMLDKYXA	SMGVKYXA	SCHLKYXA
rimary indary indary indary independent in	1	X	X	X	Š	X	X	Š
residen residen busine busine busine	Ling	<del>(</del> A	₩	₩	₩	↔	G	49
Primary residence lines Secondary residence lines Single line business lines Multline business lines Public lines All switched lines	Line Type	65.38	36.09	65.27	70.97	90.79	66.44	58.66
ы <del>(</del>	Su	<del>(A</del>	₩	€	G	G	€	₩
\$20,898,688 \$ \$0 \$63,760 \$0 \$0 \$20,962,448 \$	Support Grand Totals	65.25	35.90	65.26	70.72	90.78	66.36	58.63
		4	↔	€9	G	₩	↔	G
\$5,224,672 \$0 \$15,940 \$0 \$5,240,612	@25% Federal allocation	66.01	36.38	66.28	71.61	91.72	67.13	59.42
<u> </u>	a# @7	4	69	<del>(A</del>	€9	↔	₩	₩
\$15,674,016 \$0 \$47,820 \$0 \$0 \$15,721,836	@75% State	521,023	53,269	266,843	359,231	821,559	548,196	750,742
		↔	<del>(A)</del>	₩	₩	₩	↔	↔
		O \$4	0	0	0	0	0	0 \$
		_				_	<b>(</b> 3)	
		,530	0	0	720	,032	3,245	,711
		€9	↔	₩	↔	↔	€	€
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		₩	₩	₩	€9	₩	G	↔
			0					
		2,553	53,269	5,843	9,951	2,591	1,441	2,453
		€9	↔	↔	↔	€9	G	<del>()</del>
		130,638	13,317	66,711	89,988	205,648	137,860	188,113
			₩					
		391,915	39,951	200,133	269,963	616,943	413,580	564,339

## COST OF NETWORK ELEMENTS

Kentucky
GTE South Inc - Ky

Signaling network elements   STP   Links   SCP   STP		1.38 per line/month 0.00248 per actual minute 75.90 per link per month 0.00006 per signaling mess 0.00020 per query 0.00020 per query 0.00027 per minute 4.68 per DS-0 equivale 0.00047 per minute 0.00134 total per minute	te ess:
Sept. 15,738,916 6,342,908,839 actual minutes 15,738,916 6,342,908,839 actual minutes 167,629 184 links 167,629 184 links 167,629 184 links 167,629 184 links 167,042,000 TCAP queries 17,567,042,000 TCAP queries 18,5614,333 18,53,236 trunks 18,5614,333 18,53,236 trunks 18,562,634 18		1.30 per innama 1.00248 per actual 1.00248 per actual 1.75.90 per link pe 1.00020 per query 1.00020 per query 1.00020 per query 1.00027 per minute 1.0004Z per minute 1.0004Z per minute 1.0004Z per minute 1.0004Z per minute	minute (for rate per DEM, see "Cost detail" sheet) rg message quivalent per month inute
\$ 895,929  nks 167,629 184 links 1682,702,467 TCAP+ISUP msgs 17,287 1,567,042,000 TCAP queries  18 5,614,333 53,236 trunks 18 963,699 9,138 trunks 18 4,650,634 44,088 trunks 18 4,650,634 44,088 trunks 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1		75.90 per link pe .00006 per signali .00020 per query 8.79 per DS-0 e .00087 per minute 4.68 per DS-0 4.004Z per minute .00134 total per m	ng message quivalent per month quivalent per month
riks         167,629         184 links           STP         411,013         6,892,702,467 TCAP+ISUP msgs           SCP         317,287         1,567,042,000 TCAP queries           Don't         \$ 5,614,333         53,236 trunks           ned         963,699         9,138 trunks           cial         4,650,634         44,088 trunks           cial         2,990,752         53,236 trunks		75.90 per link pe .00006 per signali .00020 per query .00027 per minute 4.68 per DS-0 e .00047 per minute 4.68 per DS-0 e .00047 per minute	r month ng message quivalent per month quivalent per month inute
TP 411,013 6,892,702,467 TCAP+ISUP msgs CP 317,287 1,567,042,000 TCAP queries out \$ 5,614,333 53,236 trunks ed 963,699 9,138 trunks sial 4,650,634 44,098 trunks nal 2,990,752 53,236 trunks		.00020 per signali .00020 per query 8.79 per DS-0 e .00087 per minuta 4.68 per DS-0 £.0004Z per minuta L0004Z per minuta .00134 total per m	ng message quivalent per month quivalent per month inute
CP 317,287 1,567,042,000  CP 317,287 1,567,042,000  out \$ 5,614,333 53,236  bed 963,699 9,138  d 4650,634 44,098  hall 2,990,752 53,236		.00020 per query 8.79 per DS-0 e 8.00087 per minute 4.68 per DS-0 e 4.68 per DS-0 e 6.0004Z per minute 6.00134 total per m	quivalent per month quivalent per month inute
oort \$ 5,614,333 53,236 bed 963,699 9,138 sial 4,650,634 44,098 nal 2,990,752 53,236	0 E	8.79 per DS-0 e 0.0087 per minute 4.68 per DS-0 e 0.004Z per minute	quivalent per month quivalent per month inute
Sw+Sp Transport     \$ 5,614,333     53,236       Switched     963,699     9,138       Special     4,650,634     44,098       Ismission Terminal     2,990,752     53,236	00 0 0 0	8.79 per DS-0 e .00087 per minute 4.68 per DS-0 e 4.68 per minute .0004Z per minute	quivalent per month quivalent per month inute
963,699 9,138 4,650,634 44,098 2,990,752 53,236	OO O	.00087 per minute 4.68 per DS-0 c 00047 per minute	quivalent per month
4,650,634 44,098 2,990,752 53,236	0 0 0		quivalent per month inute
2,990,752 53,236	<u> </u>		quivalent per month inute
	0 0 0	.0004Z per minute .00134 total per m	irute
		.00134 total perm	India
Common			
Transport \$		0.00233 per minute	per minute per leg (orig or term)
452,172 642,292,238 minutes			•
Direct . \$			inute
Transport \$ 2,310,294	<b>\$</b>	0.00147 per minute	
843,897 1,571,713,776	\$ 0.		
	\$ 0.1		inute
Tandem switch \$ 1,026,875 550,425,838 minutes \$	\$ 0.0	0.00187 per minute	
Operator systems \$ 2,666,820			
Public Telephones \$ 1,076,680			
Total (w/ Public) \$ 166,555,668			
Total cost of switched \$ 29.96 per line/month network elements .			

Kentucky
GTE South Inc - Ky

			1	2	1+2	ယ	1+2+3	
Network Element	Invesiment	% of total invesment	Annual Capital) Cost	Network Expenses	Direct Expense	Support Expense	Subtotal (with misc support)	Total (with carrier-to- carrier)
NID	\$ 16,116,393	2.5%	\$ 2,477,201	\$ 299,102 \$	2,776,303 \$	850,820		\$ 3,650,173
Distribution (DLC)	223,718,501	34.4%	34,350,416	5,584,418	39,934,835	12,238,346	52,173,181	52,383,839
Distribution (non-DLC)	36,057,195	5.5%	5,529,258	914,599	6,443,858	1,974,771	8,418,629	8,487,962
Distribution (all)	259,775,696	39.9%	39,879,674	6,499,018	46,378,692	14,213,117	60,591,809	60,871,801
Concentrator (DLC)	125,402,610	19.3%	24,383,485	2,207,594	26,591,079	8,149,046	34,740,125	34,883,008
_Concentrator (non-DLC)	1,715,400	0.3%	263,669	26,961	290,630	89,066	379,696	382,960
Concentrator (all)	127,118,010	19.5%	24,647,153	2,234,556	26,881,709	8,238,112	35,119,822	35,265,968
Feeder (DLC)	90,611,148	13.9%	13,368,722	1,985,667	15,354,389	4,705,474	20,059,863	20,122,489
_Feeder (non-DLC)	20,927,161	3.2%	3,157,652	407,842	3,565,495	1,092,674	4,658,169	4,697,217
Feeder (all)	111,538,308	17.1%	16,526,374	2,393,510	18,919,884	5,798,148	24,718,032	24,819,706
End Office Switching	70,705,667	10.9%	11,208,299	3,968,426	15,176,726	5,027,905	20,204,631	22,429,272
Signaling	2,911,901	0.4%	452,153	157,423	609,577	195,740	805,317	893,742
Dedicated Transport	23,686,417	3.6%	3,495,210	458,770	3,953,980	1,098,742	5,052,722	5,600,626
Dedicated Transport Transmission	9,566,770	1.5%	1,879,994	169,332	2,049,326	639,600	2,688,926	2,983,451
Direct Transport	9,992,845	1.5%	1,474,671	193,056	1,667,727	413,346	2,081,073	2,304,653
Direct Transport Transmission	2,795,900	0.4%	549,430	49,487	598,918	160,776	759,694	841,837
Common Transport	5,567,855	0.9%	821,684	107,479	929,162	225,063	1,154,225	1,278,008
Common Transport Transmission	1,508,237	0.2%	296,388	26,696	323,084	84,071	407,155	451,068
Tandem Switching	3,074,084	0.5%	459,773	229,261	689,034	233,540	922,574	1,024,368
Operator Systems	4,369,992	0.7%	718,294	1,268,766	1,987,060	407,989	2,395,050	2,660,310
Public Telephone	2,125,985	0.3%	458,951	334,435	793,386	175,024	968,411	1,076,680

Total

650,854,059

100% \$ 105,345,253 \$ 18,389,316 \$

Total Network Cost
123,734,569 \$ 37,761,993 \$ 161,496,562 \$

166,151,661

Kentucky PSC HAI Model Release 5.0a

<sup>\*</sup> Post sharing

# USOA Detail Breakdown of HAI Model Costs

# GTE South Inc - Ky Kentucky

					<ul> <li>This calculation of total plant includes GSF investment. GSF investment is not included in the total investment that is reported in the "Summary" sheet</li> </ul>	Total TPIS (before amortizable assets)*		2426.2 INTRABUILDING NETWORK CABLE - MET. 2426.2 INTRABUILDING NETWORK CABLE - NonMET. 2441 CONDUIT SYSTEMS				2411 POLES 2421.1 AERIAL CABLE - METALLIC	2351 PUBLIC TEL TERMINAL EQUIPMENT	2232 CIRCUIT EQUIPMENT	2220 OPERATOR SYSTEMS			2124 GENERAL PURPOSE COMPUTERS 2110 TOTAL LAND & SUBPORT ASSETS	2123.2 COMPANY COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT		2121 BUILDINGS	A THE PROPERTY OF A CHARLES OF	2116 OTHER WORK EQUIPMENT		2113 AIRCRAFT		Telecommunications Plant in Service	USOA INVESTMENT DESCRIPTION	
	7240			6561 6623		675,359	435,669 6410		213,100 6423 68,077				2,126 6351	133,447 6232	1,691 6220	61,640 6212	40,787 6120		4,095 6123	874 6122		3,455 6116 6110		6114	4,167 6112	1,610	*(000)	Cost USOA	
TOTAL EXPENSES & OPERATING TAXES	OPERATING OTHER TAXES	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	TOTAL CORPORATE OPERATIONS	DEPRECIATION TPIS CUSTOMER SERVICES Total Plant Nonspecific Operations	Plant Nonspecific Operations TOTAL NETWORK OPERATIONS SUPPORT	Total Plant Specific Expenses	CONDUIT SYSTEMS TOTAL CABLE & WIRE FACILITIES	INTRABUILDING NETWORK CABLE	BURIED CABLE	UNDERGROUND CABLE	AERIAL CABLE	POLES	PUBLIC TEL TERMINAL EQUIPMENT	CIRCUIT EQUIPMENT	OPERATOR SYSTEMS	DIGITAL ELECTRONIC SWITCHING	LAND & SUPPORT ASSETS	GENERAL PURPOSE COMPUTERS	OFFICE EQUIPMENT	FURNITURE		OTHER WORK EQUIPMENT NETWORK SUPPORT	GARAGE WORK EQUIPMENT	SPECIAL DIEDDOSE VERIOLES	MOTOR VEHICLES		Plant Specific Expenses	EXPENSE DESCRIPTION	
99,143	4,803	94,341	15,542	42,552 761 <b>54,550</b>	11,237	24,249	25 10,108		6,321	531	2,773	459	334	2,365	1,084	3,187	6,652	4,033	289	2,197	570	5 8	0 0	145	310		\$(000)	Cost	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

2422.2 2423.1 2423.2 2426.1 2426.2 2441	2232 2351 2411 2421.1 2421.2 2422.1	2110 2212 2220	2121 2122 2122 2123.1 2123.2 2124	2112 2112 2113 2114 2116	USOA
21.74 18.35 23.58 18.58 22.12 54.63	9.30 7.78 21.12 17.54 21.74 21.74	10.00	46.39 15.49 12.78 7.78 6.00	DepLife 9.20 12.00 16.20	Deprec
0.04602 0.05454 0.04242 0.05385 0.04521 0.01831	0.10778 0.12897 0.04736 0.05705 0.04602 0.04682	0.06250	0.02156 0.06461 0.07835 0.12897 0.16667	DepFact 0.10884 0.08333 0.06176	Depreciation Calculations
1,390 11,622 2,888 - - 205	14,383 274 1,064 2,996 1,135 629	3,852 169	522 56 321	Dep.Exp 454 20 213	lations
		Aerial-m portion Aerial-mn portion Underground-m portion Underground-m portion Buried-m portion Buried-nn portion	Pole portion Pole portion Aerial-rm portion Underground-rm portion Buried-rm portion Conduit portion SAI	Investment Disaggregations: Terminal, Drop, and NID Aerial-m portion Buried-m portion OS Trks + Signaling links Circuit Eapt, portion	
		302,249 1,496,081 903,109 1,079,546 283,420 3,719,094	46,564 113,522 452,344 484,039 111,089	42,935,858 8,055,941 34,879,916 1,449,069 241,511	Network Eleme
		Aerial portion Underground portion Buried portion	Pole portion Aerial-nm portion Underground-nm portion Buried-nm portion Conduit portion	Expense Disaggregations:  Terminal, Drop, and NID Aerial portion Buried portion OS Trks + Signaling links Circuit Fact portion	Network Element Disaggregations
		28,265 31,162 62,908	1,420 6,181 10,630 16,335 365	1,074,900 291,118 783,783 41,917 6,986	

Total Depreciation

42,552

				Dustines local Delli usage lano.		2		Entry or	Entry of \$0.00 indicates that Line Type is Not to be Supported	S LIGH	ile iybe	וא ווער וגי	ה מ	рропеа					
	 		Month	ly Suppo	n Be	Monthly Support Benchmark:		\$31.00	\$0.00	•	\$51.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	in.				
								Annual	Annual	Annual	<u> </u>	Annual			Ĕ	Total annual			
			0		(		ช '	<b>5</b>	support for	support for	ת לפר 	support for		Annual	ns	support for	@25%	į	
៊ី	5 75 8 8	cost per line	r spesn	W residence Usage per line		W residence W business usage per line usage per line res		pilitaly dence lines r	dence lines residence lines business lines business lines	Single III.e business line	s lines b	mume Susiness line		Support for public lines	<u>}</u>	specified inte	allocation	9) @	g/ow State
ALBYKYXA	s	58.07	S	57.99	s	58.48		889,178	9	8	11,238	8	د ام	0	မှာ	116	\$ 225,104	8	675,312
ASLDKYXA	W	17.71	G	17.59	G	17.98	<b>69</b>		9	₩	0		8	0	₩	0			
BEREKYXA	B	29.34	· <del>G</del>	29.23	↔	29.68	4	0	9	· <b>6</b> 3	0	. 44	· <del>\$</del>	0	4	0	0	<b>69</b>	0
BRSDKYXA	4	45.36	₩	45.32	49	45.85	G	521,372	0	<b>↔</b>	0	· (A	\$	0	49	521,372	\$ 130,343	<b>69</b>	391,029
BRVLKYXA	69	128.66	<del>69</del>	128.64	69	130.54	₩	302,685	0	₩	762		8	0	69	303,447	\$ 75,862	₩	227,585
BSVLKYXA	↔	49.84	6 <del>9</del>	49.69	↔	50.32	₩	258,608	0	<del>⇔</del>	0	<sub>(A</sub>	0	0	ω	258,608	\$ 64,652	49	193,956
BTVLKYXA	<del>69</del>	63.21	<b>6</b>	63.05	₩	63.84	<b>⇔</b>	334,374	0	<b>⇔</b>	9,679	<sub>(A</sub>	0	0	69	344,054	\$ 86,013	<b>⇔</b>	258,040
CECLKYXA	σ	76.94	s	76.89	₩	77.47	<b>6</b>	1,109,667	0	\$	23,715	<b>⇔</b>	0	0	₩	1,133,383	\$ 283,346	<b>69</b>	850,037
CLMAKYXA	₩	43.47	s	43.36	<del>69</del>	43.85	G	619,219	0	<b>⇔</b>	0	es.	<b>⇔</b>	0	₩	619,219	\$ 154,805	<b>69</b>	464,414
CMVLKYXA	↔	32.05	<b>69</b>	31.92	₩	32.33	69	96,915	0	s	0	s s	0	0	↔	96,915	\$ 24,229	<b>\$</b>	72,686
CTBGKYXA	<del>69</del>	34.18	<del>69</del>	34.07	↔	34.51	69	89,417	0	<b>↔</b>	0	<b>6</b>	0	0	↔	89,417	\$ 22,354	<b>↔</b>	67,063
EWNGKYXA	<b>↔</b>	104.44	69	104.44	↔	106.54	<b>6</b>	606,790	o \$	<b>↔</b>	0	€>	0	0	₩	606,790	\$ 151,697	4	455,092
EZTWKYXA	ઝ	23.15	<del>()</del>	23.02	<del>69</del>	23.39	₩	0	0	s	0	s s	0	0	₩	0	0	<b>\$</b>	-
FMBGKYXA	↔	49.41	<del>()</del>	49.33	₩	49.83	ø	607,237	0	↔	0	<b>⇔</b>	0	0	₩	607,237	\$ 151,809	<b>\$</b>	455,428
GLSGKYXA	₩	18.29	<del>()</del>	18.10	<del>()</del>	18.54	69	0	0	₩	0	€9	0	0	↔	0	o \$	<b>\$</b>	-
GNBGKYXB	બ	48.23	<b>6</b>	48.13	<b>6</b>	48.61	<b>↔</b>	632,395	o \$	↔	0	<b>&amp;</b>	0	0	₩	632,395	\$ 158,099	<b>⇔</b>	474,297
GNUPKYXA	<del>()</del>	62.70	<del>63</del>	62.65	₩	63.13	<del>()</del>	1,186,908	0	& _	14,605	69	9	0	4	1,201,513	\$ 300,378	<b>⇔</b>	901,135
GRSNKYXA	↔	94.73	↔	94.73	₩	95.71	₩	579,226	o \$	<del>⇔</del>	0	<b>⇔</b>	0	0	₩	579,226	\$ 144,806	<b>€</b>	434,419
GYSNKYXA	ક્ક	49.43	<del>ss</del>	49.37	₩	49.87	↔	1,042,603	0	<del>69</del>	0	<b>&amp;</b>	0	0	↔	1,042,603	\$ 260,651	<del>∽</del>	781,952
HGVLKYXA	₩	35.15	↔	35.03	↔	35.51	₩	122,680	o \$	<del>(A)</del>	0	ss.	0	0 \$	↔	122,680	\$ 30,670	<del>\$</del>	92,010
HLBOKYXA	↔	138.68	<del>ss</del>	138.68	₩	139.72	<b>6</b>	929,202	0	<b>⇔</b>	0	s	0	0	₩	929,202	\$ 232,301	<del>∽</del>	696,902
HTVLKYXE	<del>69</del>	95.45	<del>v)</del>	95.40	₩	96.20	↔	880,476	o \$	₩	11,042	es.	0	0	<del>69</del>	891,518	\$ 222,880	<del>\$</del>	668,639
HZRDKYXA	છ	36.01	<del>v)</del>	35.92	€7	36.39	₩	392,336	o \$	<del>⇔</del>	0	s S	0	0	€7	392,336	\$ 98,084	<b>⇔</b>	294,252
LBNNKYXA	υ	46.97	₩	46.87	↔	47.35	₩	796,068	o •	<del>()</del>	0	₩	0	0	↔	796,068	\$ 199,017	<b>⇔</b>	597,051
LBRTKYXA	<del>69</del>	82.19	↔	82.18	₩	82.75	₩	2,303,878	o \$	<b>↔</b>	992'9	€9	0	0	₩	2,310,644	\$ 577,661	₩	1,732,983
LNCSKYXA	↔	43.15	<del>69</del>	43.06	↔	43.52	6A	407,616	o \$	<del>()</del>	0	<del>ss</del>	0	0	₩	407,616	\$ 101,904	↔	305,712
LRTTKYXA	s	105.51	₩	105.50	₩	107.25	<del>69</del>	594,322	o &	<b>6</b> >	931	₩	0	0	₩	595,252	\$ 148,813	<b>↔</b>	446,439
LTFDKYXA	s	30.58	₩	30.43	₩	30.90	₩	0	9	<b>↔</b>	0	€	0	0	₩	0	9	\$	0
LTWDKYXA	<del>()</del>	96.23	<del>69</del>	96.23	69	98.00	4	294,188	o \$	69	0	<del>⇔</del>	0	0	₩	294,188	\$ 73,547	<b>↔</b>	220,641
LXTNKYUK	₩	38.57	ઝ	37.76	69	39.93	69	2,678	o \$	<b>↔</b>	0	€	0	0	₩	2,678	\$	<u>ب</u>	2,008
LXTNKYXA	<del>69</del>	15.53	<del>69</del>	15.34	₩	15.70	69	0	o \$	<b>63</b>	0	€9	0	0	↔	0	9	<b>⇔</b>	0
LXTNKYXB	<del>69</del>	15.70	<b>69</b>	15.60	<b>⇔</b>	15.99	G	0	o \$	<b>69</b>	0	€9	0	0	ω	0	\$	<b>⇔</b> ⊙	0
LXTNKYXC	↔	17.64	<del>69</del>	17.58	<del>69</del>	17.99	₩	0	0	<b>4</b> >	0	<del>\$</del>	0	0	<del>69</del>	0	\$	<b>↔</b>	0
LXTNKYXD	<del>U</del>	45 44	•		•		4	•	•	1									
	>	<u></u>	A	15.00	A	15.39	↔	0	<b>ө</b>	₩	0	<del>s</del>	0	0	<del>69</del>	0	<b>₩</b>	<del>ده</del> 0	

	Ky PSC Scenario Value
Distribution input	
Distribution Cable Fill - 0	0.65
Distribution Cable Fill - 5	0.65
Distribution Cable Fill - 100	0.65
Distribution Cable Fill - 200	0.65
Distribution Cable Fill - 650	0.65
Distribution Cable Fill - 850	0.65
Distribution Cable Fill - 2550	0.65
Distribution Cable Fill - 5000	0.65
Distribution Cable Fill - 10000	0.65
Buried Fraction - 0	0.75
Buried Fraction - 5	0.75
Buried Fraction - 100	0.75
Buried Fraction - 200	0.70
Buried Fraction - 650	0.70
Buried Fraction - 850	0.70
Buried Fraction - 2550	0.65
Buried Fraction - 5000	0.35
Buried Fraction - 10000	0.05
Aerial Cable Fraction - 0	0.25
Aerial Cable Fraction - 5	0.25
Aerial Cable Fraction - 100	0.25
Aerial Cable Fraction - 200	0.30
Aerial Cable Fraction - 650	0.30
Aerial Cable Fraction - 850	0.30
Aerial Cable Fraction - 2550	0.30
Aerial Cable Fraction - 5000	0.60
Aerial Cable Fraction - 10000	0.85
Pole Spacing, feet - 0	250
Pole Spacing, feet - 5	250
Pole Spacing, feet - 100	200
Pole Spacing, feet - 200	200
Pole Spacing, feet - 650	175
Pole Spacing, feet - 850	175
Pole Spacing, feet - 2550	150
Pole Spacing, feet - 5000	150
Pole Spacing, feet - 10000	150

	Ky PSC Scenario Value
Drop Distance, feet - 0	150
Drop Distance, feet - 5	150
Drop Distance, feet - 100	150
Drop Distance, feet - 200	150
Drop Distance, feet - 650	150
Drop Distance, feet - 850	150
Drop Distance, feet - 2550	150
Drop Distance, feet - 5000	150
Drop Distance, feet - 10000	150
Aerial Drop Placement (total) - 0	45.90
Aerial Drop Placement (total) - 5	45.90
Aerial Drop Placement (total) - 100	45.90
Aerial Drop Placement (total) - 200	45.90
Aerial Drop Placement (total) - 650	45.90
Aerial Drop Placement (total) - 850	45.90
Aerial Drop Placement (total) - 2550	45.90
Aerial Drop Placement (total) - 5000	45.90
Aerial Drop Placement (total) - 10000	45.90
Buried Drop Placement (total) - 0	0.59
Buried Drop Placement (total) - 5	0.59
Buried Drop Placement (total) - 100	0.59
Buried Drop Placement (total) - 200	0.59
Buried Drop Placement (total) - 650	0.59
Buried Drop Placement (total) - 850	0.59
Buried Drop Placement (total) - 2550	0.59
Buried Drop Placement (total) - 5000	0.59
Buried Drop Placement (total) - 10000	0.59
Buried Drop Sharing Fraction - 0	0.85
Buried Drop Sharing Fraction - 5	0.85
Buried Drop Sharing Fraction - 100	0.85
Buried Drop Sharing Fraction - 200	0.85
Buried Drop Sharing Fraction - 650	0.85
Buried Drop Sharing Fraction - 850	0.85
Buried Drop Sharing Fraction - 2550	0.85
Buried Drop Sharing Fraction - 5000	0.85
Buried Drop Sharing Fraction - 10000	0.85
Buried Drop Fraction - 0	0.75
Buried Drop Fraction - 5	0.75
Buried Drop Fraction - 100	0.75
Buried Drop Fraction - 200	0.70
Buried Drop Fraction - 650	0.70
Buried Drop Fraction - 850	0.70
Buried Drop Fraction - 2550	0.70
Buried Drop Fraction - 5000	0.40
Buried Drop Fraction - 10000	0.15

	Ky PSC Scenario Value
Pole Investment	201.00
Pole Labor	216.00
Buried Cable Jacketing Multiplier	1.04
Conduit Investment per foot	0.60
Spare Tubes per route	1.00
Regional Labor Adjustment Factor (see Labor Inputs)	1.00
Residential NID case, no protector	7.57
Residential NID basic labor	32.30
spare	=
Residential Protection Block, per pair	8.08
Business NID case, no protector	7.57
Business NID basic labor	32.30
Business Protection Block, per pair	8.08
Average Lines per business location	4.00
Terminal and Splice per line, buried	-
Terminal and Splice per line, aerial	_
Drop cable investment per foot buried	0.14
Drop cable buried pairs	3.00
Drop cable investment per foot aerial	0.078
Drop cable aerial pairs	2.00
DS-0 fraction	1.00
DS-1 fraction	-
DS-0 pair equivalent	1.00
DS-1 pair equivalent	2.00
DS-3 pair equivalent	56.00
Indoor NID case	5.00
Buried fraction available for shift - 0	0.75
Buried fraction available for shift - 5	0.75
Buried fraction available for shift - 100	0.75
Buried fraction available for shift - 200	0.75
Buried fraction available for shift - 650	0.75
Buried fraction available for shift - 850	0.75
Buried fraction available for shift - 2550	0.75
Buried fraction available for shift - 5000	-
Buried fraction available for shift - 10000	-
Wireless Investment Cap Enabled	FALSE
Wireless Point to Point Inv cap - distribution, per line	7,500.00
Wireless Common inv, broadcast	112,500.00
Wireless per line inv, broadcast	500.00
Maximum broadcast lines for common inv	30.00

	Ky PSC
	Scenario
	Value
High Density DLC Site and Power	_
High Density DLC Maximum Lines/Increment	672.00
High Density DLC RT Fill Factor	0.86
High Density DLC Basic Common Eqpt Invest + initial lines	112,659.00
High Density DLC POTS Channel Unit Investment	71.65
High Density DLC POTS Lines per CU	2.00
High Density DLC Coin Channel Unit Investment	435.85
High Density DLC Coin Lines per CU	1.00
High Density DLC 303/LD crossover, lines	576.00
High Density DLC Fibers per RT	4.00
High Density DLC Optical Patch Panel	606.83
High Density DLC Copper Feeder Max Distance, ft	9,000.00
High Density DLC Common Eqpt Invest per additional 672 lines	37,008.00
High Density DLC Maximum Number of additional line modules/RT	2.00
Low Density DLC Site and Power	•
Low Density DLC Maximum Lines/Increment	192.00
Low Density DLC RT Fill Factor	0.86
Low Density DLC Basic Common Eqpt Invest + initial lines	62,052.00
Low Density DLC POTS Channel Unit Investment	85.98
Low Density DLC POTS Lines per CU	2.00
Low Density DLC Coin Channel Unit Investment	423.91
Low Density DLC Coin Lines per CU	1.00
Low Density DLC Fibers per RT	4.00
Low Density DLC Optical Patch Panel	606.83
Low Density DLC Common Eqpt Invest per additional 96 lines	17,518.00
Low Density DLC Maximum Number of additional line modules/RT	9.00
Distribution Cable Size 1	2,400
Distribution Cable Size 2	1,800
Distribution Cable Size 3	1,200
Distribution Cable Size 4	900
Distribution Cable Size 5	600
Distribution Cable Size 6	400
Distribution Cable Size 7	200
Distribution Cable Size 8	100
Distribution Cable Size 9	50
Distribution Cable Size 10	25
Distribution Cable Size 11	12
Distribution Cable Size 12	6

	Ky PSC
	Scenario Value
Distribution Cable Investment per foot 1	43.58
Distribution Cable Investment per foot 2	32.52
Distribution Cable Investment per foot 3	21.83
Distribution Cable Investment per foot 4	16.31
Distribution Cable Investment per foot 5	11.05
Distribution Cable Investment per foot 6	7.35
Distribution Cable Investment per foot 7	4.29
Distribution Cable Investment per foot 8	2.51
Distribution Cable Investment per foot 9	1.74
Distribution Cable Investment per foot 10	1.23
Distribution Cable Investment per foot 11	1.00
Distribution Cable Investment per foot 12	0.89
Distribution Riser Cable Size 1	2,400
Distribution Riser Cable Size 2	1,800
Distribution Riser Cable Size 3	1,200
Distribution Riser Cable Size 4	900
Distribution Riser Cable Size 5	600
Distribution Riser Cable Size 6	400
Distribution Riser Cable Size 7	200
Distribution Riser Cable Size 8	100
Distribution Riser Cable Size 9	50
Distribution Riser Cable Size 10	25
Distribution Riser Cable Size 11	12
Distribution Riser Cable Size 12	
Distribution Riser Cable Investment per foot 1	25.00
Distribution Riser Cable Investment per foot 2	20.00
Distribution Riser Cable Investment per foot 3	15.00
Distribution Riser Cable Investment per foot 4	12.50
Distribution Riser Cable Investment per foot 5	10.00
Distribution Riser Cable Investment per foot 6	7.50
Distribution Riser Cable Investment per foot 7	5.30
Distribution Riser Cable Investment per foot 8	3.15
Distribution Riser Cable Investment per foot 9	2.09
Distribution Riser Cable Investment per foot 10	1.50
Distribution Riser Cable Investment per foot 11	0.95
Distribution Riser Cable Investment per foot 12	0.80
Distance Multiplier for difficult terrain	1.00
Rock Depth Threshold, inches	24.00
Hard Rock Placement Multiplier	3.50
Soft Rock Placement Multiplier	2.00
Sidewalk/Street Fraction	0.20
Local RT - Maximum Total Distance	15,000

	Ky PSC Scenario Value
SAI Cable Size 1	7,200
SAI Cable Size 2	5,400
SAI Cable Size 3	3,600
SAI Cable Size 4	2,400
SAI Cable Size 5	1,800
SAI Cable Size 6	1,200
SAI Cable Size 7	900
SAI Cable Size 8	600
SAI Cable Size 9	400
SAI Cable Size 10	200
SAI Cable Size 11	100
SAI Cable Size 12	•
SAI Indoor Investment 1	9,656.00
SAI Indoor Investment 2	7,392.00
SAI Indoor investment 3	4,928.00
SAI Indoor Investment 4	3,352.00
SAI Indoor Investment 5	2,464.00
SAI Indoor Investment 6	1,776.00
SAI Indoor Investment 7	1,232.00
SAI Indoor Investment 8	888.00
SAI Indoor Investment 9	592.00
SAI Indoor Investment 10	296.00
SAI Indoor Investment 11	148.00
SAI Indoor Investment 12	98.00
SAI Outdoor Investment 1	22,700.00
SAI Outdoor Investment 2	18,900.00
SAI Outdoor Investment 3	15,100.00
SAI Outdoor Investment 4	11,800.00
SAI Outdoor Investment 5	10,100.00
SAI Outdoor Investment 6	7,300.00
SAI Outdoor Investment 7	5,900.00
SAI Outdoor Investment 8	4,500.00
SAI Outdoor Investment 9	3,100.00
SAI Outdoor Investment 10	1,800.00
SAI Outdoor Investment 11	1,200.00
SAI Outdoor Investment 12	-

# Appendix F

	Ky PSC Scenario Value
Repeater Investment, installed	527.00
Integrated COT, installed	420.00
Remote Multiplexer Common Equip Inv, installed	8,200.00
Channel Unit Investment, per subscriber	125.00
COT investment per RT, installed	1,170.00
Remote Terminal fill factor	0.90
Maximum T1s per cable	8.00
T1 repeater spacing, dB	32.00
Aerial T1 attenuation, dB/kft	6.30
Buried T1 attenuation, dB/kft	5.00
Feeder steering enable	FALSE
Main feeder route/air multiplier	1
Rectangular cluster switch	FALSE

	Ky PSC Scenario Value
Feeder-Input	
Copper Feeder Fill - 0	0.73
Copper Feeder Fill - 5	0.73
Copper Feeder Fill - 100	0.73
Copper Feeder Fill - 200	0.73
Copper Feeder Fill - 650	0.73
Copper Feeder Fill - 850	0.73
Copper Feeder Fill - 2550	0.73
Copper Feeder Fill - 5000	0.73
Copper Feeder Fill - 10000	0.73
Fiber Feeder Strand Fill - 0	0.95
Fiber Feeder Strand Fill - 5	0.95
Fiber Feeder Strand Fill - 100	0.95
Fiber Feeder Strand Fill - 200	0.95
Fiber Feeder Strand Fill - 650	0.95
Fiber Feeder Strand Fill - 850	0.95
Fiber Feeder Strand Fill - 2550	0.95
Fiber Feeder Strand Fill - 5000	0.95
Fiber Feeder Strand Fill - 10000	0.95
Copper Aerial Fraction - 0	0.50
Copper Aerial Fraction - 5	0.50
Copper Aerial Fraction - 100	0.50
Copper Aerial Fraction - 200	0.40
Copper Aerial Fraction - 650	0.30
Copper Aerial Fraction - 850	0.20
Copper Aerial Fraction - 2550	0.15
Copper Aerial Fraction - 5000	0.10
Copper Aerial Fraction - 10000	0.05
Copper Buried Fraction - 0	0.45
Copper Buried Fraction - 5	0.45
Copper Buried Fraction - 100	0.45
Copper Buried Fraction - 200	0.40
Copper Buried Fraction - 650	0.30
Copper Buried Fraction - 850	0.20
Copper Buried Fraction - 2550	0.10
Copper Buried Fraction - 5000	0.05
Copper Buried Fraction - 10000	0.05

	ky PSC
a complete the second s	Scenario
	Value
Copper Manhole Spacing, feet - 0	800
Copper Manhole Spacing, feet - 5	800
Copper Manhole Spacing, feet - 100	800
Copper Manhole Spacing, feet - 200	800
Copper Manhole Spacing, feet - 650	600
Copper Manhole Spacing, feet - 850	600
Copper Manhole Spacing, feet - 2550	600
Copper Manhole Spacing, feet - 5000	400
Copper Manhole Spacing, feet - 10000	400
Fiber Aerial Fraction - 0	0.35
Fiber Aerial Fraction - 5	0.35
Fiber Aerial Fraction - 100	0.35
Fiber Aerial Fraction - 200	0.30
Fiber Aerial Fraction - 650	0.30
Fiber Aerial Fraction - 850	0.20
Fiber Aerial Fraction - 2550	0.15
Fiber Aerial Fraction - 5000	0.10
Fiber Aerial Fraction - 10000	0.05
Fiber Buried Fraction - 0	0.60
Fiber Buried Fraction - 5	0.60
Fiber Buried Fraction - 100	0.60
Fiber Buried Fraction - 200	0.60
Fiber Buried Fraction - 650	0.30
Fiber Buried Fraction - 850	0.20
Fiber Buried Fraction - 2550	0.10
Fiber Buried Fraction - 5000	0.05
Fiber Buried Fraction - 10000	0.05
Fiber Pullbox Spacing. feet - 0	2,000
Fiber Pullbox Spacing. feet - 5	2,000
Fiber Pullbox Spacing. feet - 100	2,000
Fiber Pullbox Spacing. feet - 200	2,000
Fiber Pullbox Spacing. feet - 650	2,000
Fiber Pullbox Spacing. feet - 850	2,000
Fiber Pullbox Spacing. feet - 2550	2,000
Fiber Pullbox Spacing. feet - 5000	2,000
Fiber Pullbox Spacing. feet - 10000	2,000
Fiber Feeder Investment per foot - 216	7.73
Fiber Feeder Investment per foot - 144	6.63
Fiber Feeder Investment per foot - 96	4.52
Fiber Feeder Investment per foot - 72	3.91
Fiber Feeder Investment per foot - 60	3.58
Fiber Feeder Investment per foot - 48	3.30
Fiber Feeder Investment per foot - 36	3.02
Fiber Feeder Investment per foot - 24	2.80
Fiber Feeder Investment per foot - 18	2.59
Fiber Feeder Investment per foot - 12	2.43
Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 4200	76.27

	Ky PSC Scenario Value
Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 3600	65.37
Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 3000	54.48
Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 2400	43.58
Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 1800	32.52
Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 1200	21.83
Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 900	16.31
Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 600	11.05
Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 400	7.35
Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 200	4.29
Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 100	2.51
Buried Copper Cable Sheath Multiplier	1.04
Buried Fiber Sheath Addition per foot	0.20
Pole Materials	201.00
Pole Labor	216.00
Conduit Material Investment per foot	2.74
Inner Duct Investment per foot	0.30
Spare Tubes per section	-
Regional Labor Adjustment Factor (see Labor Inputs)	1.00
Pole Spacing, feet - 0	250
Pole Spacing, feet - 5	250
Pole Spacing, feet - 100	200
Pole Spacing, feet - 200	200
Pole Spacing, feet - 650	175
Pole Spacing, feet - 850	175
Pole Spacing, feet - 2550	150
Pole Spacing, feet - 5000	150
Pole Spacing, feet - 10000	150
Buried fraction available for shift - 0	0.75
Buried fraction available for shift - 5	0.75
Buried fraction available for shift - 100	0.75
Buried fraction available for shift - 200	0.75
Buried fraction available for shift - 650	0.75
Buried fraction available for shift - 850	0.75
Buried fraction available for shift - 2550	0.75
Buried fraction available for shift - 5000	0.75
Buried fraction available for shift - 10000	0.75
Fiber investment/strand - foot	0.0690
Copper investment/pair - foot	0.0180

	Ky PSC
	Scenario
	Value
Copper Manhole Materials - 0	•
Copper Manhole Materials - 5	-
Copper Manhole Materials - 100	-
Copper Manhole Materials - 200	-
Copper Manhole Materials - 650	-
Copper Manhole Materials - 850	-
Copper Manhole Materials - 2550	•
Copper Manhole Materials - 5000	-
Copper Manhole Materials - 10000	
Copper Manhole Frame and Cover - 0	-
Copper Manhole Frame and Cover - 5	-
Copper Manhole Frame and Cover - 100	•
Copper Manhole Frame and Cover - 200	-
Copper Manhole Frame and Cover - 650	•
Copper Manhole Frame and Cover - 850	-
Copper Manhole Frame and Cover - 2550	-
Copper Manhole Frame and Cover - 5000	-
Copper Manhole Frame and Cover - 10000	-
Copper Manhole Site Delivery - 0	-
Copper Manhole Site Delivery - 5	-
Copper Manhole Site Delivery - 100	-
Copper Manhole Site Delivery - 200	-
Copper Manhole Site Delivery - 650	-
Copper Manhole Site Delivery - 850	-
Copper Manhole Site Delivery - 2550	-
Copper Manhole Site Delivery - 5000	-
Copper Manhole Site Delivery - 10000	<u> </u>
Copper Manhole Excavate and Backfill - 0	-
Copper Manhole Excavate and Backfill - 5	-
Copper Manhole Excavate and Backfill - 100	-
Copper Manhole Excavate and Backfill - 200	-
Copper Manhole Excavate and Backfill - 650	-
Copper Manhole Excavate and Backfill - 850	-
Copper Manhole Excavate and Backfill - 2550	-
Copper Manhole Excavate and Backfill - 5000	-
Copper Manhole Excavate and Backfill - 10000	
Fiber Pullbox Materials - 0	-
Fiber Pullbox Materials - 5	-
Fiber Pullbox Materials - 100	-
Fiber Pullbox Materials - 200 Fiber Pullbox Materials - 650	-
	-
Fiber Pullbox Materials - 850 Fiber Pullbox Materials - 2550	- -
Fiber Pullbox Materials - 5000	<u>-</u>
Fiber Pullbox Materials - 10000	-
i ibel Fullbox ividelials - 10000	<del>-</del> -

### Appendix F

	Ky PSC Scenario Value
Fiber Pullbox Installation - 0	-
Fiber Pullbox Installation - 5	-
Fiber Pullbox Installation - 100	-
Fiber Pullbox Installation - 200	-
Fiber Pullbox Installation - 650	-
Fiber Pullbox Installation - 850	-
Fiber Pullbox Installation - 2550	-
Fiber Pullbox Installation - 5000	•
Fiber Pullbox Installation - 10000	-
Dewatering factor manhole excavation (additive)	0.20
Water table depth for dewatering, ft	5.00

	Ky PSC Scenario Value
Switching Input	
Constant EO Switching Investment Term, small ICO	416.11
Constant EO Switching Investment Term, BOC and large ICO	266.04
Switch Capacity Real-Time (BHCA) - 1	10,000
Switch Capacity Real-Time (BHCA) - 2	50,000
Switch Capacity Real-Time (BHCA) - 3	200,000
Switch Capacity Real-Time (BHCA) - 4	600,000
Switch Capacity Traffic (BHCCS) - 1	30,000
Switch Capacity Traffic (BHCCS) - 2	150,000
Switch Capacity Traffic (BHCCS) - 3	600,000
Switch Capacity Traffic (BHCCS) - 4	1,800,000
Initial Switch Maximum Equipped Line Size	80,000
Switch Port Administrative Fill	0.94
Switch Maximim Processor Occupancy	0.90
Processor Feature Loading Multiplier - normal	1.20
Processor Feature Loading Multiplier - heavy business	2.00
Processor Feature Loading Multiplier - business penetration threshold	0.30
MDF/Protector Investment per line	23.38
Analog Line Circuit Offset for DLC lines, per line	5.00
Switch Installation Multiplier	1.10
Operator Traffic Fraction	0.01
Total Interoffice Traffic Fraction	0.60
Maximum Trunk Occupancy, CCS	27.50
Trunk Port, per end	62.73
Entrance Facility Distance, miles	0.50
Direct-routed Fraction of Local Interoffice	0.98
POPs per Tandem Location	5.00
Tandem-routed Fraction of Total IntraLATA Traffic	0.33
Tandem-routed Fraction of Total InterLATA Traffic	0.33
Local Business/Residence DEMs	1.10
Intrastate Business/Residence DEMs	2.00
Interstate Business/Residence DEMs	3.00
BH Fraction of Daily Usage	0.09
Annual to Daily Usage Reduction Factor	310.00
Residential Holding Time Multiplier	1.00
Business Holding Time Multiplier	1.00
Residential Call Attempts per BH	1.30
Business Call Attempts per BH	3.50

	Ky PSC
	Scenario Value
ICO STP Investment, per line (equipment)	5.50
ICO Local Tandem Investment, per line	1.90
ICO OS Tandem Investment, per line	0.80
ICO SCP Investment per line (equipment)	2.50
ICO SCP - STP per line (wirecenter)	0.40
ICO Local Tandem Investment, per line (wirecenter)	2.50
ICO OS Tandem Investment, per line (wirecenter)	1.00
ICO Tandem A Links and C Links per line (wirecenter)	0.30
Real-time Limit, BHCA	750,000
Port Limit, trunks	100,000
Common Equipment Investment	1,000,000
Maximum Port Fill	0.90
Maximum Real-time Occupancy	0.90
Common Equipment Intercept Factor	0.50
STP Link Capacity	1,024
STP Maximum Link Fill	0.80
Maximum STP Investment, per pair	5,000,000
Minimum STP Investment, per pair	224,000
Link Termination, both ends	725
Signaling Link Bit Rate	56,000
Link Occupancy	0.40
C Link Cross Section	16.00
ISUP Messages per Interoffice BHCA	6.00
ISUP Message Length, bytes	25.00
TCAP Messages per transaction	2.00
TCAP Message length, bytes	100.00
Fraction of BHCA requiring TCAP	0.50
SCP Investment/Transaction/Second	2,444
Operator Investment per position	6,400
Operator Maximum Utilization, per position, CCS	32
Operator Intervention Factor	2
Public Telephone Investment, per station	760
Lot Size, Multiplier of Switch Room Size	2
Tandem/EO Wire Center Common Factor	0.40
Power Investment 1	17,000
Power Investment 2	24,000
Power Investment 3	56,000
Power Investment 4	164,000
Power Investment 5	275,000
Switch Room Size, sq ft 1	500
Switch Room Size, sq ft 2	1,000
Switch Room Size, sq ft 3	2,000
Switch Room Size, sq ft 4	5,000
Switch Room Size, sq ft 5	10,000

	Ky PSC
	Scenario Value
Construction Investment on # 1	and the state of t
Construction Investment, sq ft 1 Construction Investment, sq ft 2	75.00
Construction Investment, sq ft 3	85.00
Construction Investment, sq ft 4	100.00
Construction Investment, sq ft 5	125.00 150.00
Land Investment, sq ft 1	······································
Land Investment, sq ft 2	5
Land Investment, sq ft 3	8
Land Investment, sq ft 4	10
Land Investment, sq ft 5	15
OC-48 ADM, installed, 48 DS-3s	20 133,563
OC-48 ADM, installed, 12 DS-3s	•
OC-3/DS-1 Terminal Multiplexer, installed, 84 DS-1s	80,825 34,705
Investment per 7 DS-1s	1,060
Number of Fibers	1,000
Pigtrails, per strand	44
Optical Distribution Panel	4,314
EF&I, per hour	4,014
EF&I hours	_
Regional Labor Adjustment Factor (see Labor Inputs)	1
Channel Bank Investment, per 24 lines	3,664
Fraction of SA Lines Requiring Multiplexing	3,004
Regenerator, installed	15,000
Regenerator spacing, miles	15,000
DCS installed, per DS-3	9,381
Transmission Terminal Fill (DS-0 level)	0.80
Fiber Investment, fiber cable	2.80
Fiber, number of strands per ADM	4.00
Fiber Investment, buried fraction	0.60
Fiber Investment, buried placement	1.77
Fiber Investment, buried sheath addition	-
Fiber Investment, conduit	2.74
Fiber, spare tubes per route	
Fiber Investment, conduit placement	12.53
Fiber, pullbox spacing	2,000.00
Fiber Investment, pullbox investsment	_,000.00
Fiber, aerial fraction	0.20
Fiber, pole spacing, feet	150.00
Fiber Investment, pole material	201.00
Fiber Investment, pole labor (basic)	216.00

	Ky PSC Scenario Value
Fraction Poles and Buried/Underground Placement Common with Feeder	0.75
Fraction of Aerial Structure Assigned to Telephone	0.48
Fraction of Buried Structure Assigned to Telephone	0.85
Fraction of Underground Structure Assigned to Telephone	0.85
Multiplicative EO Switching Investment Term	-14.922
Threshold value for off-ring wire centers, total lines	1
Remote-host fraction of interoffice traffic remote	0.1
Host-remote fraction of interoffice traffice host	0.05
Maximum nodes per ring	16
Use host - remote assignments	FALSE
Ring transiting traffic factor	0.4
Intertandem fraction of tandem trunks (additive)	0.1
Equivalent facility investment, per DS-0	138.08
Equivalent terminal investment, per DS-0	111.62
Switch line size - 1	0
Switch line size - 2	640
Switch line size - 3	5000
Switch line size - 4	10000
BOC standalone fixed inv - 1	175000
BOC standalone fixed inv - 2	175000
BOC standalone fixed inv - 3	175000
BOC standalone fixed inv - 4	475000
BOC host fixed inv - 1	183750
BOC host fixed inv - 2	183750
BOC host fixed inv - 3	183750
BOC host fixed inv - 4	498750
BOC remote fixed inv - 1	10000
BOC remote fixed inv - 2	55000
BOC remote fixed inv - 3	70000
BOC remote fixed inv - 4	225000
BOC standalone per line inv - 1	75
BOC standalone per line inv - 2	75
BOC standalone per line inv - 3	75
BOC standalone per line inv - 4	73
BOC host per line inv - 1	75
BOC host per line inv - 2	75
BOC host per line inv - 3	75
BOC host per line inv - 4	73
BOC remote per line inv - 1	85
BOC remote per line inv - 2	83
BOC remote per line inv - 3	85
BOC remote per line inv - 4	70

## Appendix F

	Ky PSC Scenario
	Value
ICO standalone fixed inv - 1	300001
ICO standalone fixed inv - 2	300001
ICO standalone fixed inv - 3	300001
ICO standalone fixed inv - 4	814289
ICO host fixed inv - 1	315001
ICO host fixed inv - 2	315001
ICO host fixed inv - 3	315001
ICO host fixed inv - 4	855003
ICO remote fixed inv - 1	17143
ICO remote fixed inv - 2	94286
ICO remote fixed inv - 3	120000
ICO remote fixed inv - 4	385716
ICO standalone per line inv - 1	129
ICO standalone per line inv - 2	129
ICO standalone per line inv - 3	129
ICO standalone per line inv - 4	124
ICO host per line inv - 1	129
ICO host per line inv - 2	129
ICO host per line inv - 3	129
ICO host per line inv - 4	124
ICO remote per line inv - 1	146
ICO remote per line inv - 2	141
ICO remote per line inv - 3	146
ICO remote per line inv - 4	120

	Ky PSC Scenario Value
Expense Input	
Cost of Debt	0.070
Debt Fraction	0.400
Cost of Equity	0.125
Average Trunk Utilization	0.300
Tax Rate	0.404
Corporate Overhead Factor	0.104
Other Taxes Factor	0.033
Billing/Bill Inquiry per line per month	1.220
Directory Listing per line per month	-
Forward-looking Network Operations Factor	0.700
Alternative CO Switching Factor	0.052
Alternative Circuit Equipment Factor	0.018
EO Traffic Sensitive Fraction	0.700
Monthly LNP cost, per line	0.250
Carrier to Carrier Customer Service, per line per year	1.69
NID Expense per line per year	1.00
DS-0/DS-1 Terminal Factor	12.4
DS-1/DS-3 Terminal Factor	9.9
Average Lines per Business Location	
Distribution Aerial Shring Fraction - 0	0.48
Distribution Aerial Shring Fraction - 5	0.48
Distribution Aerial Shring Fraction - 100	0.48
Distribution Aerial Shring Fraction - 200	0.48
Distribution Aerial Shring Fraction - 650	0.48
Distribution Aerial Shring Fraction - 850	0.48
Distribution Aerial Shring Fraction - 2550	0.48
Distribution Aerial Shring Fraction - 5000	0.48
Distribution Aerial Shring Fraction - 10000	0.48
Distribution Buried Shring Fraction - 0	0.85
Distribution Buried Shring Fraction - 5	0.85
Distribution Buried Shring Fraction - 100	0.85
Distribution Buried Shring Fraction - 200	0.85
Distribution Buried Shring Fraction - 650	0.85
Distribution Buried Shring Fraction - 850	0.85
Distribution Buried Shring Fraction - 2550	0.85
Distribution Buried Shring Fraction - 5000	0.85
Distribution Buried Shring Fraction - 10000	0.85

The second secon	-Ky PSC
	Scenario
	Value
Distribution Underground Shring Fraction - 0	0.85
Distribution Underground Shring Fraction - 5	0.85
Distribution Underground Shring Fraction - 100	0.85
Distribution Underground Shring Fraction - 200	0.85
Distribution Underground Shring Fraction - 650	0.85
Distribution Underground Shring Fraction - 850	0.85
Distribution Underground Shring Fraction - 2550	0.85
Distribution Underground Shring Fraction - 5000	0.85
Distribution Underground Shring Fraction - 10000	0.85
Feeder Aerial Shring Fraction - 0	0.48
Feeder Aerial Shring Fraction - 5	0.48
Feeder Aerial Shring Fraction - 100	0.48
Feeder Aerial Shring Fraction - 200	0.48
Feeder Aerial Shring Fraction - 650	0.48
Feeder Aerial Shring Fraction - 850	0.48
Feeder Aerial Shring Fraction - 2550	0.48
Feeder Aerial Shring Fraction - 5000	0.48
Feeder Aerial Shring Fraction - 10000	0.48
Feeder Underground Shring Fraction - 0	0.85
Feeder Underground Shring Fraction - 5	0.85
Feeder Underground Shring Fraction - 100	0.85
Feeder Underground Shring Fraction - 200	0.85
Feeder Underground Shring Fraction - 650	0.85
Feeder Underground Shring Fraction - 850	0.85
Feeder Underground Shring Fraction - 2550	0.85
Feeder Underground Shring Fraction - 5000	0.85
Feeder Underground Shring Fraction - 10000	0.85
Feeder Buried Shring Fraction - 0	0.85
Feeder Buried Shring Fraction - 5	0.85
Feeder Buried Shring Fraction - 100	0.85
Feeder Buried Shring Fraction - 200	0.85
Feeder Buried Shring Fraction - 650	0.85
Feeder Buried Shring Fraction - 850	0.85
Feeder Buried Shring Fraction - 2550	0.85
Feeder Buried Shring Fraction - 5000	0.85
Feeder Buried Shring Fraction - 10000	0.85

	KyPSC
	Scenario Value
Motor Vehicles - Economic Life	8.10
Garage Work Equipment - Economic Life	12.00
Other Work Equipment - Economic Life	16.20
Buildings - Economic Life	45.00
Furniture - Economic Life	14.10
Office Support Equipment - Economic Life	11.50
Company Comm. Equipment - Economic Life	7.00
General Purpose Computer - Economic Life	6.00
Digital Electronic Switching - Economic Life	16.00
Operator Systems - Economic Life	10.00
Digital Circuit Equipment - Economic Life	9.30
Public Telephone Terminal Equipment - Economic Life	7.00
Poles - Economic Life	34.00
Aerial Cable - metallic - Economic Life	20.00
Aerial Cable - non metallic - Economic Life	25.00
Underground Cable - metallic - Economic Life	25.00
Underground Cable - non metallic - Economic Life	25.00
Buried - metallic - Economic Life	20.00
Buried - non metallic - Economic Life	25.00
Intrabuilding Cable - metallic - Economic Life	21.00
Intrabuilding Cable - non metallic - Economic Life	25.00
Conduit Systems - Economic Life	59.00
Motor Vehicles - Net Salvage %	0.1200
Garage Work Equipment - Net Salvage %	0.0000
Other Work Equipment - Net Salvage %	0.0000
Buildings - Net Salvage %	0.0300
Furniture - Net Salvage %	0.0900
Office Support Equipment - Net Salvage %	0.1000
Company Comm. Equipment - Net Salvage %	0.1000
General Purpose Computer - Net Salvage %	0.0000
Digital Electronic Switching - Net Salvage % Operator Systems - Net Salvage %	0.0000
Digital Circuit Equipment - Net Salvage %	0.0000
Public Telephone Terminal Equipment - Net Salvage %	0.0000
Poles - Net Salvage %	0.1000
Aerial Cable - metallic - Net Salvage %	-0.6100 0.1400
Aerial Cable - non metallic - Net Salvage %	-0.1400 0.1500
Underground Cable - metallic - Net Salvage %	-0.1500 -0.1700
Underground Cable - non metallic - Net Salvage %	-0.1700
Buried - metallic - Net Salvage %	-0.0900
Buried - non metallic - Net Salvage %	-0.0600
Intrabuilding Cable - metallic - Net Salvage %	-0.1300
Intrabuilding Cable - non metallic - Net Salvage %	-0.1300
Conduit Systems - Net Salvage %	-0.0800

	Ky PSC Scenario Value
Furniture - Capital Costs - % assigned per line	0.0000
Furniture - Expenses - % assigned per line	0.0000
Office Equipment - Capital Costs - % assigned per line	0.0000
Office Equipment - Expenses - % assigned per line	0.0000
General Purpose Computer - Capital Costs - % assigned per line	0.0000
General Purpose Computer - Expenses - % assigned per line	0.0000
Motor Vehicles - Capital Costs - % assigned per line	0.0000
Motor Vehicles - Expenses - % assigned per line	0.0000
Buildings - Capital Costs - % assigned per line	0.0000
Buildings - Expenses - % assigned per line	0.0000
Garage Work Eqpt Capital Costs - % assigned per line	0.0000
Garage Work Eqpt Expenses - % assigned per line	0.0000
Other Work Eqpt Capital Costs - % assigned per line	0.0000
Other Work Eqpt Expenses - % assigned per line	0.0000
Network Operations - % assigned per line	0.0000
Other Taxes - % assigned per line	0.0000
Variable Overhead - % assigned per line	0.0000

a select to management of the selection	Ky-PSC
	Scenario Value
Underground	And the second of the second o
Excavation/Restoration	
Trench Per Ft - 0	1.29
Trench Per Ft - 5	1.29
Trench Per Ft - 100	1.29
Trench Per Ft - 200	1.29
Trench Per Ft - 650	1.35
Trench Per Ft - 850	1.46
Trench Per Ft - 2550	1.46
Trench Per Ft - 5000	4.07
Trench Per Ft -10000	4.07
Backhoe Trench Fraction - 0	0.45
Backhoe Trench Fraction - 5	0.45
Backhoe Trench Fraction - 100	0.45
Backhoe Trench Fraction - 200	0.45
Backhoe Trench Fraction - 650	0.45
Backhoe Trench Fraction - 850	0.45
Backhoe Trench Fraction - 2550	0.55
Backhoe Trench Fraction - 5000	0.67
Backhoe Trench Fraction -10000	0.72
Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 0	2.04
Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 5	2.04
Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 100	2.04
Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 200	2.04
Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 650	2.04
Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 850	2.04
Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 2550	2.04
Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 5000	13.58
Backhoe Trench Per Ft -10000	20.37
Hand Trench Fraction - 0	0.01
Hand Trench Fraction - 5	0.01
Hand Trench Fraction - 100	0.01
Hand Trench Fraction - 200	0.03
Hand Trench Fraction - 650	0.03
Hand Trench Fraction - 850	0.05
Hand Trench Fraction - 2550	0.10
Hand Trench Fraction - 5000	0.10
Hand Trench Fraction -10000	0.12

	Ky PSC Scenario Value
Hand Trench Per Ft - 0	3.40
Hand Trench Per Ft - 5	3.40
Hand Trench Per Ft - 100	3.40
Hand Trench Per Ft - 200	3.40
Hand Trench Per Ft - 650	3.40
Hand Trench Per Ft - 850	3.40
Hand Trench Per Ft - 2550	3.40
Hand Trench Per Ft - 5000	6.79
Hand Trench Per Ft -10000	12.22
Cut/Restore Asphalt Fraction - 0	0.55
Cut/Restore Asphalt Fraction - 5	0.55
Cut/Restore Asphalt Fraction - 100	0.55
Cut/Restore Asphalt Fraction - 200	0.65
Cut/Restore Asphalt Fraction - 650	0.70
Cut/Restore Asphalt Fraction - 850	0.75
Cut/Restore Asphalt Fraction - 2550	0.75
Cut/Restore Asphalt Fraction - 5000	0.80
Cut/Restore Asphalt Fraction -10000	0.82
Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 0	4.07
Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 5	4.07
Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 100	4.07
Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 200	4.07
Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 650	4.07
Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 850	4.07
Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 2550	4.07
Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 5000	12.22
Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft -10000	20.37
Cut/Restore Concrete Fraction - 0	0.10
Cut/Restore Concrete Fraction - 5	0.10
Cut/Restore Concrete Fraction - 100	0.10
Cut/Restore Concrete Fraction - 200	0.10
Cut/Restore Concrete Fraction - 650	0.10
Cut/Restore Concrete Fraction - 850	0.10
Cut/Restore Concrete Fraction - 2550	0.15
Cut/Restore Concrete Fraction - 5000	0.15
Cut/Restore Concrete Fraction -10000	0.16
Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 0	6.11
Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 5	6.11
Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 100	6.11
Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 200	6.11
Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 650	6.11
Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 850	6.11
Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 2550	6.11
Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 5000	14.26
Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft -10000	24.44

	Ky PSC Scenario
	Value
Cut/Restore Sod Fraction - 0	0.01
Cut/Restore Sod Fraction - 5	0.01
Cut/Restore Sod Fraction - 100	0.01
Cut/Restore Sod Fraction - 200	0.03
Cut/Restore Sod Fraction - 650	0.04
Cut/Restore Sod Fraction - 850	0.06
Cut/Restore Sod Fraction - 2550	0.04
Cut/Restore Sod Fraction - 5000	0.02
Cut/Restore Sod Fraction -10000	0.00
Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 0	0.68
Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 5	0.68
Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 100	0.68
Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 200	0.68
Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 650	0.68
Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 850	0.68
Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 2550	0.68
Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 5000	0.68
Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft -10000	0.68
Pavement Stabilization Per Ft - 0	3.40
Pavement Stabilization Per Ft - 5	3.40
Pavement Stabilization Per Ft - 100	3.40
Pavement Stabilization Per Ft - 200	3.40
Pavement Stabilization Per Ft - 650	3.40
Pavement Stabilization Per Ft - 850	6.11
Pavement Stabilization Per Ft - 2550	8.83
Pavement Stabilization Per Ft - 5000	11.54
Pavement Stabilization Per Ft -10000	13.58
Dirt Stabilization Per Ft - 0	0.68
Dirt Stabilization Per Ft - 5	0.68
Dirt Stabilization Per Ft - 100	0.68
Dirt Stabilization Per Ft - 200	0.68
Dirt Stabilization Per Ft - 650	0.68
Dirt Stabilization Per Ft - 850	2.72
Dirt Stabilization Per Ft - 2550	7.47
Dirt Stabilization Per Ft - 5000	8.15
Dirt Stabilization Per Ft -10000	10.86
Simple Backfill - 0	0.10
Simple Backfill - 5	0.10
Simple Backfill - 100	0.10
Simple Backfill - 200	0.10
Simple Backfill - 650	0.10
Simple Backfill - 850	0.10
Simple Backfill - 2550	0.10
Simple Backfill - 5000	0.10
Simple Backfill -10000	0.10
Ompo Davaiii - 10000	0.10

	with the state of
	Scenario Value
Buried	, produced to the second secon
Excavation/Restoration	
Plow Fraction - 0	0.60
Plow Fraction - 5	0.60
Plow Fraction - 100	0.60
Plow Fraction - 200	0.50
Plow Fraction - 650	0.35
Plow Fraction - 850	0.20
Plow Fraction - 2550	0.00
Plow Fraction - 5000	0.00
Plow Fraction -10000	0.00
Plow Per Ft - 0	0.65
Plow Per Ft - 5	0.65
Plow Per Ft - 100	0.65
Plow Per Ft - 200	0.65
Plow Per Ft - 650	0.65
Plow Per Ft - 850	0.98
Plow Per Ft - 2550	0.98
Plow Per Ft - 5000	0.98
Plow Per Ft -10000	0.98
Trench Per Ft - 0	1.55
Trench Per Ft - 5	1.55
Trench Per Ft - 100	1.55
Trench Per Ft - 200	1.55
Trench Per Ft - 650	1.59
Trench Per Ft - 850	1.75
Trench Per Ft - 2550	1.75
Trench Per Ft - 5000	4.90
Trench Per Ft -10000	12.24
Backhoe Trench Fraction - 0	0.10
Backhoe Trench Fraction - 5	0.10
Backhoe Trench Fraction - 100	0.10
Backhoe Trench Fraction - 200	0.10
Backhoe Trench Fraction - 650	0.10
Backhoe Trench Fraction - 850	0.10
Backhoe Trench Fraction - 2550	0.10
Backhoe Trench Fraction - 5000	0.10
Backhoe Trench Fraction -10000	0.25

	Ky PSC Scenario Value
Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 0	2.45
Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 5	2.45
Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 100	2.45
Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 200	2.45
Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 650	2.45
Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 850	2.45
Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 2550	2.45
Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 5000	16.32
Backhoe Trench Per Ft -10000	24.48
Hand Trench Fraction - 0	0.00
Hand Trench Fraction - 5	0.00
Hand Trench Fraction - 100	0.00
Hand Trench Fraction - 200	0.00
Hand Trench Fraction - 650	0.01
Hand Trench Fraction - 850	0.02
Hand Trench Fraction - 2550	0.04
Hand Trench Fraction - 5000	0.05
Hand Trench Fraction - 10000	
Hand Trench Per Ft - 0	0.10
Hand Trench Per Ft - 5	4.08
	4.08
Hand Trench Per Ft - 100	4.08
Hand Trench Per Ft - 200	4.08
Hand Trench Per Ft - 650	4.08
Hand Trench Per Ft - 850	4.08
Hand Trench Per Ft - 2550	4.08
Hand Trench Per Ft - 5000	8.16
Hand Trench Per Ft -10000	14.69
Bore Cable Fraction - 0	0.00
Bore Cable Fraction - 5	0.00
Bore Cable Fraction - 100	0.00
Bore Cable Fraction - 200	0.00
Bore Cable Fraction - 650	0.00
Bore Cable Fraction - 850	0.03
Bore Cable Fraction - 2550	0.04
Bore Cable Fraction - 5000	0.05
Bore Cable Fraction -10000	0.05
Bore Cable Per Ft - 0	8.98
Bore Cable Per Ft - 5	8.98
Bore Cable Per Ft - 100	8.98
Bore Cable Per Ft - 200	8.98
Bore Cable Per Ft - 650	8.98
Bore Cable Per Ft - 850	8.98
Bore Cable Per Ft - 2550	8.98
Bore Cable Per Ft - 5000	8.98
Bore Cable Per Ft -10000	14.69

	Ky PSC Scenario Value
Push Pipe/Pull Cable Fraction - 0	0.02
Push Pipe/Pull Cable Fraction - 5	0.02
Push Pipe/Pull Cable Fraction - 100	0.02
Push Pipe/Pull Cable Fraction - 200	0.02
Push Pipe/Pull Cable Fraction - 650	0.02
Push Pipe/Pull Cable Fraction - 850	0.04
Push Pipe/Pull Cable Fraction - 2550	0.05
Push Pipe/Pull Cable Fraction - 5000	0.06
Push Pipe/Pull Cable Fraction -10000	0.06
Push Pipe/Pull Cable Per Ft - 0	4.90
Push Pipe/Pull Cable Per Ft - 5	4.90
Push Pipe/Pull Cable Per Ft - 100	4.90
Push Pipe/Pull Cable Per Ft - 200	4.90
Push Pipe/Pull Cable Per Ft - 650	4.90
Push Pipe/Pull Cable Per Ft - 850	4.90
Push Pipe/Pull Cable Per Ft - 2550	4.90
Push Pipe/Pull Cable Per Ft - 5000	4.90
Push Pipe/Pull Cable Per Ft -10000	19.58
Cut/Restore Asphalt Fraction - 0	0.03
Cut/Restore Asphalt Fraction - 5	0.03
Cut/Restore Asphalt Fraction - 100	0.03
Cut/Restore Asphalt Fraction - 200	0.03
Cut/Restore Asphalt Fraction - 650	0.03
Cut/Restore Asphalt Fraction - 850	0.05
Cut/Restore Asphalt Fraction - 2550	0.08
Cut/Restore Asphalt Fraction - 5000	0.18
Cut/Restore Asphalt Fraction -10000	0.60
Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 0	4.90
Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 5	4.90
Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 100	4.90
Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 200	4.90
Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 650	4.90
Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 850	4.90
Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 2550	4.90
Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 5000	14.69
Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft -10000	24.48
Cut/Restore Concrete Fraction - 0	0.01
Cut/Restore Concrete Fraction - 5	0.01
Cut/Restore Concrete Fraction - 100	0.01
Cut/Restore Concrete Fraction - 200	0.01
Cut/Restore Concrete Fraction - 650	0.01
Cut/Restore Concrete Fraction - 850	0.03
Cut/Restore Concrete Fraction - 2550	0.05
Cut/Restore Concrete Fraction - 5000	0.08
Cut/Restore Concrete Fraction -10000	0.20

	Ky PSC Scenario Value
Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 0	7.34
Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 5	7.34
Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 100	7.34
Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 200	7.34
Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 650	7.34
Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 850	7.34
Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 2550	7.34
Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 5000	17.14
Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft -10000	29.38
Cut/Restore Sod Fraction - 0	0.02
Cut/Restore Sod Fraction - 5	0.02
Cut/Restore Sod Fraction - 100	0.02
Cut/Restore Sod Fraction - 200	0.02
Cut/Restore Sod Fraction - 650	0.02
Cut/Restore Sod Fraction - 850	0.35
Cut/Restore Sod Fraction - 2550	0.35
Cut/Restore Sod Fraction - 5000	0.11
Cut/Restore Sod Fraction -10000	0.05
Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 0	0.82
Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 5	0.82
Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 100	0.82
Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 200	0.82
Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 650	0.82
Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 850	0.82
Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 2550	0.82
Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 5000	0.82
Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft -10000	0.82
Restoration Not Required - 0	0.62
Restoration Not Required - 5	0.62
Restoration Not Required - 100	0.62
Restoration Not Required - 200	0.52
Restoration Not Required - 650	0.37
Restoration Not Required - 850	0.27
Restoration Not Required - 2550	0.09
Restoration Not Required - 5000	0.11
Restoration Not Required -10000	0.11
Simple Backfill - 0	0.12
Simple Backfill - 5	0.12
Simple Backfill - 100	0.12
Simple Backfill - 200	0.12
Simple Backfill - 650	0.12
Simple Backfill - 850	0.12
Simple Backfill - 2550	0.12
Simple Backfill - 5000	0.12
Simple Backfill -10000	0.12

		Ky PSC Scenario Value
Surface Texture Table		fraction of Section of Section of Section of Section S
ВҮ	Bouldery	1
BY-COS	Bouldery Coarse Sand	1
BY-FSL	Bouldery & Fine Sandy Loam	1
3Y-L	Bouldery & Loam	1
BY-LS	Bouldery & Sandy Loam	1
BY-SICL	Bouldery & Silty Clay Loam	1
BY-SL	Bouldery & Sandy Loam	1
3YV	Very Bouldery	1.1
BYV-FSL	Very Bouldery & Fine Sandy Loam	1.1
BYV-L	Very bouldery & Loamy	1.1
BYV-LS	Very Bouldery & Loamy Sand	1.1
BYV-SIL	Very Bouldery & Silt	1.1
BYV-SL	Very Bouldery & Sandy Loam	1.1
3YX	Extremely Bouldery	1.3
BYX-FSL	Extremely Bouldery & Fine Sandy Loam	1.3
BYX-L	Extremely Bouldery & Loamy	1.3
BYX-SIL	Extremely Bouldery & Silt Loam	1.3
BYX-SL	Extremely Bouldery & Sandy Loam	1.3
	Clay	1
CB	Cobbly	1
CB-C	Cobbly & Clay	1
CB-CL	Cobbly & Clay Loam	1
CB-COSL	Cobbly & Coarse Sandy Loam	1
CB-FS	Cobbly & Fine Sand	1.1
CB-FSL	Cobbly & Fine Sandy Loam	1.1
CB-L	Cobbly & Loamy	1
CB-LCOS	Cobbly & Loamy coarseSand	1
CB-LCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	Cobbly & Loamy Sand	1
CB-S	Cobbly & Sand	1 1
CB-SCL	Cobbly & Sandy Clay Loam	1.1
CB-SICL		1
CB-SIL	Cobbly & Silt Loom	1
	Cobbly & Silt Loam	1 1
CB-SL	Cobbly & Sandy Loam Angular Cobbly	1.1
CBA ESI	•	1 1
CBA-FSL	Angular Cobbly & Fine Sandy Loam	1.1
CBV	Very Cobbly	1.2
CBV-C	Very Cobbly & Clay	1.2
CBV-CL	Very Cobbly & Clay Loam	1.2
CBV-FSL	Very Cobbly & Fine Sandy Loam	1.2
CBV-L	Very Cobbly & Loamy	1.2
CBV-LFS	Very Cobbly & Fine Loamy Sand	1.2
CBV-LS	Very Cobbly & Loamy Sand	1.2
CBV-MUCK	Very Cobbly & Muck	1.2
CBV-SCL	Very Cobbly & Sandy Clay Loam	1.2
CBV-SIL	Very Cobbly & Silt	1.2
Centucky PSC		Adm Case No

		Ky PSC Scenario
		Value
CBV-SL	Very Cobbly & Sandy Loam	1.2
CBV-VFS	Very Cobbly & Very Fine Sand	1.2
CBX	Extremely Cobbly	1.2
CBX-CL	Extremely Cobbly & Clay	1.2
CBX-L	Extremely Cobbly Loam	1.2
CBX-SIL	Extremely Cobbly & Silt	1.2
CBX-SL	Extremely Cobbly &Sandy Loam	1.2
CBX-VFSL	Extremely Cobbly Very Fine Sandy Loam	1.3
CE CE	Coprogenous Earth	. 1
CIND	Cinders	1
CL	Clay Loam	
CM	Cemented	1.3
		1.5
CN	Channery Channery Channery Channery	.1
CN-CL	Channery & Clay Loam	• •
CN-FSL	Channery & Fine Sandy Loam	1.1
CN-L	Channery & Loam	1
CN-SICL	Channery & Silty Clay Loam	1
CN-SIL	Channery & Silty Loam	1
CN-SL	Channery & Sandy Loam	1
CNV	Very Channery	1
CNV-CL	Very Channery & Clay	1
CNV-L	Very Channery & Loam	1
CNV-SCL	Channery & Sandy Clay Loam	1
CNV-SIL	Very Channery & Silty Loam	1
CNV-SL	Very Channery & Sandy Loam	1
CNX	Extremely Channery	1
CNX-SL	Extremely Channery & Sandy Loam	1
cos	Coarse Sand	1
COSL	Coarse Sandy Loam	1
CR	Cherty	1.2
CR-L	Cherty & Loam	1.2
CR-SICL	Cherty & Silty Clay Loam	1.2
CR-SIL	Cherty & Silty Loam	1.2
CR-SL	Cherty & Sandy Loam	1.2
CRC	Coarse Cherty	1.2
CRV	Very Cherty	1.2
CRV-L	Very Cherty & Loam	1.2
CRV-SIL	Very Cherty & Silty Loam	1.2
CRX	Extremely Cherty	1.3
CRX-SIL	Extremely Cherty & Silty Loam	1.3
DE	Diatomaceous Earth	1.5
FB	Fibric Material	1
	Fine	1
FINE		: 1
FL	Flaggy	1 1
FL-FSL	Flaggy & Fine Sandy Loam	1.1
FL-L	Flaggy & Loam	l 4
FL-SIC	Flaggy & Silty Clay	ı

		Ky PSC Scenario
		Value Value
FL-SICL .	Flaggy & Silty Clay Loam	1
FL-SIL	Flaggy & Silty Loam	1
FL-SL	Flaggy & Sandy Loam	1
FLV	Very Flaggy	1.1
FLV-COSL	Very Flaggy & Coarse Sandy Loam	1.1
FLV-L	Very Flaggy & Loam	1,1
FLV-SICL	Very Flaggy & Silty Clay Loam	1.1
FLV-SL	Very Flaggy & Sandy Loam	1.1
FLX	Extremely Flaggy	1.1
FLX-L	Extremely Flaggy & Loamy	1.1
FRAG	Fragmental Material	1
FS	Fine Sand	1.1
FSL	Fine Sandy Loam	1.1
G	Gravel	1.1
		1
GR C	Gravel & Clau	1
GR-C	Gravel & Clay	1
GR-CL	Gravel & Clay Loam	1
GR-COS	Gravel & Coarse Sand	1
GR-COSL	Gravel & Coarse Sandy Loam	1
GR-FS	Gravel & Fine Sand	1
GR-FSL	Gravel & Fine Sandy Loam	1
GR-L	Gravel & Loam	1
GR-LCOS	Gravel & Loamy Coarse Sand	1
GR-LFS	Gravel & Loamy Fine Sand	1.1
GR-LS	Gravel & Loamy Sand	1
GR-MUCK	Gravel & Muck	1
GR-S	Gravel & Sand	1
GR-SCL	Gravel & Sandy Clay Loam	1
GR-SIC	Gravel & Silty Clay	1
GR-SICL	Gravel & Silty Clay Loam	1
GR-SIL	Gravel & Silty Loam	1
GR-SL	Gravel & Sandy Loam	1
GR-VFSL	Gravel & Very Fine Sandy Loam	1.1
GRC	Coarse Gravelly	1
GRF	Fine Gravel	1
GRF-SIL	Fine Gravel Silty Loam	1
GRV	Very Gravelly	1
GRV-CL	Very gravelly & Clay Loam	1
GRV-COS	Very Gravelly & coarse Sand	1
GRV-COSL	Very Gravelly & coarse Sandy Loam	1
GRV-FSL	Very Gravelly & Fine Sandy Loam	1
GRV-L	Very Gravelly & Loam	1
GRV-LCOS	Very Gravelly & Loamy Coarse Sand	1
GRV-LS	Very Gravelly & Loamy Sand	1
GRV-S	Very Gravelly & Sand	1
GRV-SCL	Very Gravelly & Sandy Clay Loam	1
GRV-SICL	Very Gravelly & Sality Clay Loam	1
OIVA-OIOE	very Gravelly & Only Clay Loans	1

		Ky PS6
		Scenario Value
OBV OIL	No Ocean III of Oth	
GRV-SIL	Very Gravelly & Silt	1
GRV-SL	Very Gravelly & Sandy Loam	1
GRV-VFS	Very Gravelly & Very Fine Sand	1
GRV-VFSL	Very Gravelly & Very Fine Sandy Loam	1
GRX	Extremely Gravelly	1.1
GRX-CL	Extremely Gravelly & Coarse Loam	1.1
GRX-COS	Extremely Gravelly & Coarse Sand	1.1
GRX-COSL	Extremely Gravelly & Coarse Sandy Loam	1.1
GRX-FSL	Extremely Gravelly & Fine Sand Loam	1.1
GRX-L	Extremely Gravelly & Loam	1.1
GRX-LCOS	Extremely Gravelly & Loamy Coarse	1.1
GRX-LS	Extremely Gravelly & Loamy Sand	1.1
GRX-S	Extremely Gravelly & Sand	1.1
GRX-SIL	Extremely Gravelly & Silty Loam	1.1
GRX-SL	Extremely Gravelly & Sandy Loam	1.1
GYP	Gypsiferous Material	1.2
НМ	Hemic Material	1
ICE	Ice or Frozen Soil	1.5
IND	Indurated	1.2
L	Loam	1
LCOS	Loamy Coarse Sand	1
LFS	Loamy Fine Sand	1.1
LS	Loamy Sand	1
LVFS	Loamy Very Fine Sand	1
MARL	Mari	1
MEDIUM	Medium Coarse	1
		1
MK	Mucky	1
MK-C	Mucky Clay	1
MK-CL	Mucky Clay Loam	1
MK-FS	Muck & Fine Sand	1
MK-FSL	Muck & Fine Sandy Loam	1
MK-L	Mucky Loam	1
MK-LFS	Mucky Loamy Fine Sand	1
MK-LS	Mucky Loamy Sand	1
MK-S	Muck & Sand	1
MK-SI	Mucky & Silty	1
MK-SICL	Mucky & Silty Clay Loam	1
MK-SIL	Mucky Silt	1
MK-SL	Mucky & Sandy Loam	<b>1</b>
MK-VFSL	Mucky & Very Fine Sandy Loam	1
MPT	Mucky Peat	1
MUCK	Muck	1
PEAT	Peat	1
PT	Peaty	1
RB	Rubbly	1.5
RB-FSL	Rubbly Fine Sandy Loam	1.5
S	Sand	1
Contuctor DCC	Garia	Adm Casa No.

		Ky PSC Scenario
		Value Value
SC	Sandy Clay	1
SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	1
SG	Sand & Gravel	1
SH	Shaly	1
SH-CL	Shaly & Clay	1
SH-L	Shale & Loam	1
SH-SICL	Shaly & Silty Clay Loam	1
SH-SIL	Shaly & Silt Loam	1
SHV	Very Shaly	1.5
SHV-CL	Very Shaly & Clay Loam	1.5
SHX	Extremely Shaly	2
31.7. 31	Silt	1
SIC	Silty Clay	1
SICL	Silty Clay Silty Clay Loam	1
SIL	Silt Loam	1
SL	Sandy Loam	1 . 4
SP	<b>▼</b>	1
	Sapric Material Stratified	1
SR ST		1
T C	Stony & Clay	1
ST-C	Stony & Clay	1
ST-CL	Stony & Clay Loam	1
ST-COSL	Stony & Coarse Sandy Loam	1
ST-FSL	Stony & Fine Sandy Loam	1.1
ST-L	Stony & Loamy	1
ST-LCOS	Stony & Loamy Coarse Sand	1
ST-LFS	Stony & Loamy Fine Sand	1.1
ST-LS	Stony & Loamy Sand	1
ST-SIC	Stony & Silty Clay	1
ST-SICL	Stony & Silty Clay Loam	1
ST-SIL	Stony & Silt Loam	1
ST-SL	Stony & Sandy Loam	1
ST-VFSL	Stony & Sandy Very Fine Silty Loam	1.1
STV .	Very Stony	1.2
STV-C	Very Stony & Clay	1.2
STV-CL	Very Stony & Clay Loam	1.2
STV-FSL	Very Stony & Fine Sandy Loam	1.2
STV-L	Very Stony & Loamy .	1.2
STV-LFS	Very Stony & Loamy Fine Sand	1.2
STV-LS	Very Stony & Loamy Sand	1.2
STV-MPT	Very Stony & Mucky Peat	1.2
STV-MUCK	Very Stony & Muck	1.2
STV-SICL	Very Stony & Silty Clay Loam	1.2
STV-SIL	Very Stony & Silty Loam	1.2
STV-SL	Very Stony & Sandy Loam	1.2
STV-VFSL	Very Stony & Very Fine Sandy Loam	1.2
STX	Extremely Stony	1.3
STX-C	Extremely Stony & Clay	1.3
Contucky DSC	,,,	Adm Casa Na

			7. 1
		Scen Val	
STX-CL	Extremely Stony & Clay Loam	1.3	1
STX-COS	Extremely Stony & Coarse Sand	1.3	1
STX-COSL	Extremely Stony & Coarse Sand Loam	1.3	1
STX-FSL	Extremely Stony & Fine Sandy Loam	1.3	1
STX-L	Extremely Stony & Loamy	1.3	1
STX-LCOS	Extremely Stony & Loamy Coarse Sand	1.3	1
STX-LS	Extremely Stony & Loamy Sand	1.3	1
STX-MUCK	Extremely Stony & Muck	1.3	1
STX-SIC	Extremely Stony & Silty Clay	1.3	1
STX-SICL	Extremely Stony & Silty Clay Loam	1.3	1
STX-SIL	Extremely Stony & Silty Loam	1.3	1
STX-SL	Extremely Stony & Sandy Loam	1.3	1
STX-VFSL	Extremely Stony & Very Fine Sandy Loam	1.3	1
SY	Slaty	3	1
SY-L	Slaty & Loam	3	1
SY-SIL	Slaty & Silty Loam	3	1
SYV	Very Slaty	3.5	1
SYX	Extremely Slaty	4	1
UNK	Unknown	1	1
UWB	Unweathered Bedrock	2	1
VAR	Variable	1	1
VFS	Very Fine Sand	1	1
VFSL	Very Fine Sandy loam	1	1
WB	Weathered Bedrock	3	1

	Ky PSC Scenario Value
Labor Adjustment Factors	
Regional Labor Adjustment Factor	1
Contractor excavation and restoration	0.125
Telco construction copper	0.164
Telco construction fiber	0.364
Telco drop/NID installation and maintenance	0.571
Contractor pole setting	0.518

## NOTE: This sheet diplays all user adjustable inputs which vary from HM 5.0a default settings

Workfile Name: C:\HM50\WORKFILES\HMWKKY2651829999.XLS

Distribution Module Name: C:\HM50\MODULES\R50a\_distribution.xls
Feeder Module Name: C:\HM50\MODULES\R50a\_feeder.xls

Switching Module Name: C:\HM50\MODULES\R50a\_switching\_io.xls

Expense Module Name: C:\HM50\MODULES\R50a\_expense\_wirecenter.xls

		Ky PSC Scenario
Module/Table	Scenario Input	Value
Distribution	Distribution Cable Fill - 0	0.65
Distribution	Distribution Cable Fill - 5	0.65
Distribution	Distribution Cable Fill - 100	0.65
Distribution	Distribution Cable Fill - 200	0.65
Distribution	Distribution Cable Fill - 850	0.65
Distribution	Distribution Cable Fill - 2550	0.65
Distribution	Distribution Cable Fill - 5000	0.65
Distribution	Distribution Cable Fill - 10000	0.65
Distribution	Drop Distance, feet - 100	150
Distribution	Drop Distance, feet - 200	150
Distribution	Drop Distance, feet - 650	150
Distribution	Drop Distance, feet - 850	150
Distribution	Drop Distance, feet - 2550	150
Distribution	Drop Distance, feet - 5000	150
Distribution	Drop Distance, feet - 10000	150
Distribution	Aerial Drop Placement (total) - 0	45.9
Distribution	Aerial Drop Placement (total) - 5	45.9
Distribution	Aerial Drop Placement (total) - 100	45.9
Distribution	Aerial Drop Placement (total) - 200	45.9
Distribution	Aerial Drop Placement (total) - 650	45.9
Distribution	Aerial Drop Placement (total) - 850	45.9
Distribution	Aerial Drop Placement (total) - 2550	45.9
Distribution	Aerial Drop Placement (total) - 5000	45.9
Distribution	Aerial Drop Placement (total) - 10000	45.9
Distribution	Buried Drop Placement (total) - 0	0.59
Distribution	Buried Drop Placement (total) - 5	0.59
Distribution	Buried Drop Placement (total) - 100	0.59
Distribution	Buried Drop Placement (total) - 200	0.59
Distribution	Buried Drop Placement (total) - 650	0.59
Distribution	Buried Drop Placement (total) - 850	0.59
Distribution	Buried Drop Placement (total) - 2550	0.59
Distribution	Buried Drop Placement (total) - 5000	0.59
Distribution	Buried Drop Placement (total) - 10000	0.59
Distribution	Buried Drop Sharing Fraction - 0	0.85
Distribution	Buried Drop Sharing Fraction - 5	0.85
Distribution	Buried Drop Sharing Fraction - 100	0.85
Distribution	Buried Drop Sharing Fraction - 200	0.85
Distribution	Buried Drop Sharing Fraction - 650	0.85
Distribution	Buried Drop Sharing Fraction - 850	0.85
Distribution	Buried Drop Sharing Fraction - 2550	0.85
Distribution	Buried Drop Sharing Fraction - 5000	0.85
Distribution	Buried Drop Sharing Fraction - 10000	0.85
Distribution	Buried Cable Jacketing Multiplier	1.044

Module/Table	Scenario Input	Value
Distribution	Residential NID case, no protector	7.5
Distribution	Residential NID basic labor	32.3
Distribution	Residential Protection Block, per pair	8.08
Distribution	Business NID case, no protector	7.5
Distribution	Business NID basic labor	32.3
Distribution	Business Protection Block, per pair	8.08
Distribution	Terminal and Splice per line, buried	(
Distribution	Terminal and Splice per line, aerial	
Distribution	Drop cable investment per foot buried	0.13
Distribution	Drop cable investment per foot aerial	0.078
Distribution	High Density DLC Site and Power	(
Distribution	High Density DLC RT Fill Factor	0.859
Distribution	High Density DLC Basic Common Eqpt Invest + initial lines	11265
Distribution	High Density DLC POTS Channel Unit Investment	71.6
Distribution	High Density DLC POTS Lines per CU	:
Distribution	High Density DLC Coin Channel Unit Investment	435.8
Distribution	High Density DLC Coin Lines per CU	•
Distribution	High Density DLC 303/LD crossover, lines	570
Distribution	High Density DLC Optical Patch Panel	606.8
Distribution	High Density DLC Common Eqpt Invest per additional 672 lines	3700
Distribution	Low Density DLC Site and Power	(
Distribution	Low Density DLC Maximum Lines/Increment	193
Distribution	Low Density DLC RT Fill Factor	0.859
Distribution	Low Density DLC Basic Common Eqpt Invest + initial lines	6205
Distribution	Low Density DLC POTS Channel Unit Investment	85.98
Distribution	Low Density DLC POTS Lines per CU	
Distribution	Low Density DLC Coin Channel Unit Investment	423.9°
Distribution	Low Density DLC Coin Lines per CU	
Distribution	Low Density DLC Optical Patch Panel	606.83
Distribution	Low Density DLC Common Eqpt Invest per additional 96 lines	17518
Distribution	Low Density DLC Maximum Number of additional line modules/RT	9
Distribution	Distribution Cable Investment per foot 1	43.58
Distribution	Distribution Cable Investment per foot 2	32.52
Distribution	Distribution Cable Investment per foot 3	21.83
Distribution	Distribution Cable Investment per foot 4	16.3
Distribution	Distribution Cable Investment per foot 5	11.0
Distribution	Distribution Cable Investment per foot 6	7.3
Distribution	Distribution Cable Investment per foot 7	4.29
Distribution	Distribution Cable Investment per foot 8	2.5
Distribution	Distribution Cable Investment per foot 9	1.74
Distribution	Distribution Cable Investment per foot 10	1.23
Distribution	Distribution Cable Investment per foot 11	1.2
Distribution	Distribution Cable Investment per foot 12	0.89
Distribution	Local RT - Maximum Total Distance	15000
Distribution	SAI Cable Size 12	(
Distribution	SAI Outdoor Investment 1	22700
Distribution	SAI Outdoor Investment 2	18900
Distribution	SAI Outdoor Investment 3	15100
Distribution	SAI Outdoor Investment 4	11800
Distribution	SAI Outdoor Investment 5	10100
Distribution	SAI Outdoor Investment 6	7300
Distribution	SAI Outdoor Investment 7	5900

Module/Table	Scenario Input	Value
Distribution	SAI Outdoor Investment 8	4500
Distribution	SAI Outdoor Investment 9	3100
Distribution	SAI Outdoor Investment 10	1800
Distribution	SAI Outdoor Investment 11	1200
Distribution	SAI Outdoor Investment 12	(
eeder	Copper Feeder Fill - 0	0.727
eeder	Copper Feeder Fill - 5	0.727
eeder	Copper Feeder Fill - 100	0.727
eeder	Copper Feeder Fill - 200	0.727
eeder	Copper Feeder Fill - 650	0.727
eeder	Copper Feeder Fill - 850	0.727
eeder	Copper Feeder Fill - 2550	• 0.727
eeder	Copper Feeder Fill - 5000	0.727
eeder	Copper Feeder Fill - 10000	0.727
eeder	Fiber Feeder Strand Fill - 0	0.945
eeder	Fiber Feeder Strand Fill - 5	0.945
eeder	Fiber Feeder Strand Fill - 100	0.945
eeder	Fiber Feeder Strand Fill - 200	0.945
eeder	Fiber Feeder Strand Fill - 650	0.945
eeder	Fiber Feeder Strand Fill - 850	0.945
eeder	Fiber Feeder Strand Fill - 2550	0.945
eeder	Fiber Feeder Strand Fill - 5000	0.945
eeder	Fiber Feeder Strand Fill - 10000	0.945
eeder	Fiber Feeder Investment per foot - 216	7.73
eeder	Fiber Feeder Investment per foot - 144	6.63
eeder	Fiber Feeder Investment per foot - 96	4.52
eeder	Fiber Feeder Investment per foot - 72	3.9
eeder	Fiber Feeder Investment per foot - 60	3.58
eeder	Fiber Feeder Investment per foot - 48	3.3
eeder	Fiber Feeder Investment per foot - 36	3.02
eeder	Fiber Feeder Investment per foot - 24	2.8
eeder	Fiber Feeder Investment per foot - 18	2.59
eeder	Fiber Feeder Investment per foot - 12	2.43
eeder	Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 4200	76.27
eeder	Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 3600	65.37
eeder	Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 3000	54.48
eeder	Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 2400	43.58
eeder	Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 1800	32.52
eeder	Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 1200	21.83
eeder	Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 900	16.3
eeder	Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 600	11.0
eeder	Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 400	7.35
eeder	Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 400	4.29
eeder	Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 200  Copper Feeder Investment per foot - 100	2.5
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
eeder	Buried Copper Cable Sheath Multiplier Conduit Material Investment per foot	1.044 2.74
eeder		
eeder	Spare Tubes per section	0.060
eeder	Fiber investment/strand - foot	0.069
eeder	Copper investment/pair - foot	0.018
eeder	Copper Manhole Materials - 0	(
eeder	Copper Manhole Materials - 5	(

Module/Table	Scenario input	Value
eeder	Copper Manhole Materials - 200	
Feeder Teeder	Copper Manhole Materials - 650	
Feeder Feeder	Copper Manhole Materials - 850	
-eeder	Copper Manhole Materials - 2550	
Feeder Feeder	Copper Manhole Materials - 5000	
<sup>=</sup> eeder	Copper Manhole Materials - 10000	
Feeder Feeder	Copper Manhole Frame and Cover - 0	
Feeder Feeder	Copper Manhole Frame and Cover - 5	
eeder	Copper Manhole Frame and Cover - 100	
eeder	Copper Manhole Frame and Cover - 200	
<sup>=</sup> eeder	Copper Manhole Frame and Cover - 650	
Feeder Feeder	Copper Manhole Frame and Cover - 850	
Feeder Feeder	Copper Manhole Frame and Cover - 2550	
Feeder Feeder	Copper Manhole Frame and Cover - 5000	
eeder	Copper Manhole Frame and Cover - 10000	
-eeder	Copper Manhole Site Delivery - 0	
eeder	Copper Manhole Site Delivery - 5	1
eeder	Copper Manhole Site Delivery - 100	
eeder	Copper Manhole Site Delivery - 200	,
eeder	Copper Manhole Site Delivery - 650	
eeder	Copper Manhole Site Delivery - 850	
eeder	Copper Manhole Site Delivery - 2550	
eeder	Copper Manhole Site Delivery - 5000	
eeder	Copper Manhole Site Delivery - 10000	
eeder	Copper Manhole Excavate and Backfill - 0	
eeder	Copper Manhole Excavate and Backfill - 5	1
eeder	Copper Manhole Excavate and Backfill - 100	
eeder -	Copper Manhole Excavate and Backfill - 200	
eeder	Copper Manhole Excavate and Backfill - 650	
Feeder	Copper Manhole Excavate and Backfill - 850	
eeder	Copper Manhole Excavate and Backfill - 2550	
eeder	Copper Manhole Excavate and Backfill - 5000	
eeder	Copper Manhole Excavate and Backfill - 10000	
eeder	Fiber Pullbox Materials - 0	
eeder Feeder	Fiber Pullbox Materials - 5	
eeder	Fiber Pullbox Materials - 3	
eeder Feeder	Fiber Pullbox Materials - 100	
-eeder Feeder		!
	Fiber Pullbox Materials - 650	
eeder	Fiber Pullbox Materials - 850	1
eeder	Fiber Pullbox Materials - 2550	
eeder	Fiber Pullbox Materials - 5000	
eeder	Fiber Pullbox Materials - 10000	
eeder	Fiber Pullbox Installation - 0	
eeder	Fiber Pullbox Installation - 5	
eeder	Fiber Pullbox Installation - 100	
eeder	Fiber Pullbox Installation - 200	1
eeder	Fiber Pullbox Installation - 650	
eeder	Fiber Pullbox Installation - 850	
eeder	Fiber Pullbox Installation - 2550	
eeder	Fiber Pullbox Installation - 5000	•
eeder	Fiber Pullbox Installation - 10000	(
Switching	Constant EO Switching Investment Term, BOC and large ICO	266.0

Module/Table	Scenario Input	Value
Switching	Switch Port Administrative Fill	0.9
Switching	MDF/Protector Investment per line	23.3
Switching	Switch Installation Multiplier	1.10
Switching	Operator Traffic Fraction	0.00
Switching	Total Interoffice Traffic Fraction	0.598
Switching	Trunk Port, per end	62.7
Switching	Tandem-routed Fraction of Total IntraLATA Traffic	0.3
Switching	Tandem-routed Fraction of Total InterLATA Traffic	0.3
Switching	Local Call Attempts	466168
Switching	Call Completion Factor	0
Switching	IntraLATA Calls Completed	14222
Switching	InterLATA intrastate Calls Completed	10976
Switching	InterLATA interstate Calls Completed	33254
Switching	Local DEMs, thousands	2316896
Switching	Intrastate DEMs, thousands	207803
Switching	Interstate DEMs, thousands	329700
Switching	BH Fraction of Daily Usage	0.08
Switching	Annual to Daily Usage Reduction Factor	31
Switching	STP Link Capacity	102
Switching	Minimum STP Investment, per pair	22400
Switching	Link Termination, both ends	72
Switching	C Link Cross Section	•
Switching	Fraction of BHCA requiring TCAP	0
Switching	SCP Investment/Transaction/Second	244
Switching	Operator Intervention Factor	
Switching Switching	Power Investment 1	1700
Switching Switching	Power Investment 2	2400
Switching Switching	Power Investment 3	5600
	Power Investment 4	16400
Switching Switching	Power Investment 5	27500
Switching Switching	OC-48 ADM, installed, 48 DS-3s	13356
Switching	•	8082
Switching	OC-48 ADM, installed, 12 DS-3s	347(
Switching	OC-3/DS-1 Terminal Multiplexer, installed, 84 DS-1s	
Switching	Investment per 7 DS-1s	106
Switching	Pigtrails, per strand	44.3
Switching	Optical Distribution Panel	431
Switching	EF&I, per hour	
Switching	EF&I hours	
Switching	Channel Bank Investment, per 24 lines	366
Switching	DCS installed, per DS-3	938
Switching	Transmission Terminal Fill (DS-0 level)	0
Switching	Fiber Investment, fiber cable	2
Switching	Fiber Investment, buried sheath addition	
Switching	Fiber Investment, conduit	2.7
Switching	Fiber, spare tubes per route	
Switching	Fiber Investment, conduit placement	12.5
Switching	Fiber Investment, pullbox investsment	
Switching	Fraction of Aerial Structure Assigned to Telephone	0.4
Switching	Fraction of Buried Structure Assigned to Telephone	0.8
Switching	Fraction of Underground Structure Assigned to Telephone	0.8
Expense	Cost of Debt	0.0
Expense	Debt Fraction	0

Module/Table	Scenario Input	Value
Expense	Cost of Equity	0.12
Expense	Tax Rate	0.403
Expense	Other Taxes Factor	0.032
Expense	Forward-looking Network Operations Factor	0.
Expense	Alternative CO Switching Factor	0.051
Expense	Alternative Circuit Equipment Factor	0.017
Expense	Distribution Aerial Shring Fraction - 0	0.4
Expense	Distribution Aerial Shring Fraction - 5	0.4
Expense	Distribution Aerial Shring Fraction - 100	0.4
Expense	Distribution Aerial Shring Fraction - 200	0.4
Expense	Distribution Aerial Shring Fraction - 650	0.4
Expense	Distribution Aerial Shring Fraction - 850	0.4
Expense	Distribution Aerial Shring Fraction - 2550	0.4
Expense	Distribution Aerial Shring Fraction - 5000	0.4
xpense	Distribution Aerial Shring Fraction - 10000	0.4
Expense	Distribution Buried Shring Fraction - 0	0.8
Expense	Distribution Buried Shring Fraction - 5	0.8
Expense	Distribution Buried Shring Fraction - 100	0.8
xpense	Distribution Buried Shring Fraction - 200	0.0
xpense	Distribution Buried Shring Fraction - 650	0.0
Expense	Distribution Buried Shring Fraction - 850	0.0
xpense	Distribution Buried Shring Fraction - 2550	0.0
xpense	Distribution Buried Shring Fraction - 5000	0.0
xpense	Distribution Buried Shring Fraction - 10000	0.8
Expense	Distribution Underground Shring Fraction - 0	0.0
expense	Distribution Underground Shring Fraction - 5	3.0 3.0
	Distribution Underground Shring Fraction - 100	
xpense	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3.0
xpense	Distribution Underground Shring Fraction - 200	9.0
xpense	Distribution Underground Shring Fraction - 650	3.0
xpense	Distribution Underground Shring Fraction - 850	0.8
xpense	Distribution Underground Shring Fraction - 2550	3.0
xpense	Distribution Underground Shring Fraction - 5000	0.6
xpense	Distribution Underground Shring Fraction - 10000	0.8
xpense	Feeder Aerial Shring Fraction - 0	0.4
xpense	Feeder Aerial Shring Fraction - 5	0.4
xpense	Feeder Aerial Shring Fraction - 100	0.4
xpense	Feeder Aerial Shring Fraction - 200	0.4
xpense .	Feeder Aerial Shring Fraction - 650	0.4
xpense	Feeder Aerial Shring Fraction - 850	0.4
xpense	Feeder Aerial Shring Fraction - 2550	0.4
xpense	Feeder Aerial Shring Fraction - 5000	0.4
xpense	Feeder Aerial Shring Fraction - 10000	0.4
xpense	Feeder Underground Shring Fraction - 0	3.0
xpense	Feeder Underground Shring Fraction - 5	0.8
xpense	Feeder Underground Shring Fraction - 100	0.8
xpense	Feeder Underground Shring Fraction - 200	8.0
xpense	Feeder Underground Shring Fraction - 650	3.0
xpense	Feeder Underground Shring Fraction - 850	0.8
xpense	Feeder Underground Shring Fraction - 2550	. 0.8
xpense	Feeder Underground Shring Fraction - 5000	0.8
xpense	Feeder Underground Shring Fraction - 10000	0.8
xpense	Feeder Buried Shring Fraction - 0	8.0

	Ky PSC Scer	nario
Module/Table		
Expense	Feeder Buried Shring Fraction - 5	0.85
Expense	Feeder Buried Shring Fraction - 100	0.85
Expense	Feeder Buried Shring Fraction - 200	0.85
Expense	Feeder Buried Shring Fraction - 650	0.85
Expense	Feeder Buried Shring Fraction - 850	0.85
Expense	Feeder Buried Shring Fraction - 2550	0.85
Expense	Feeder Buried Shring Fraction - 5000	0.85
Expense	Feeder Buried Shring Fraction - 10000	0.85
Expense	Motor Vehicles - Economic Life	8.1
Expense	Garage Work Equipment - Economic Life	12
Expense	Other Work Equipment - Economic Life	16.2
Expense	Buildings - Economic Life	45
Expense	Furniture - Economic Life	14.1
Expense	Office Support Equipment - Economic Life	11.5
Expense	Company Comm. Equipment - Economic Life	7
Expense	General Purpose Computer - Economic Life	6
Expense	Digital Electronic Switching - Economic Life	16
Expense	Operator Systems - Economic Life	10
Expense	Digital Circuit Equipment - Economic Life	9.3
•		
Expense	Public Telephone Terminal Equipment - Economic Life Poles - Economic Life	7
Expense	Aerial Cable - metallic - Economic Life	34
Expense		20
Expense	Aerial Cable - non metallic - Economic Life	25
Expense	Underground Cable - non metallic - Economic Life	25
Expense	Buried - metallic - Economic Life	20
Expense	Buried - non metallic - Economic Life	25
Expense	Intrabuilding Cable - metallic - Economic Life	21
Expense	Intrabuilding Cable - non metallic - Economic Life	25
Expense	Conduit Systems - Economic Life	59
Expense	Motor Vehicles - Net Salvage %	0.12
Expense	Garage Work Equipment - Net Salvage %	0
Expense	Other Work Equipment - Net Salvage %	0
Expense	Buildings - Net Salvage %	0.03
Expense	Furniture - Net Salvage %	0.09
Expense	Office Support Equipment - Net Salvage %	0.1
Expense	Company Comm. Equipment - Net Salvage %	0.1
Expense	General Purpose Computer - Net Salvage %	0
Expense	Digital Electronic Switching - Net Salvage %	0
Expense	Operator Systems - Net Salvage %	0
Expense	Digital Circuit Equipment - Net Salvage %	0
Expense	Public Telephone Terminal Equipment - Net Salvage %	0.1
Expense	Poles - Net Salvage %	-0.61
Expense	Aerial Cable - metallic - Net Salvage %	-0.14
Expense	Aerial Cable - non metallic - Net Salvage %	-0.15
Expense	Underground Cable - metallic - Net Salvage %	-0.17
Expense	Underground Cable - non metallic - Net Salvage %	-0.15
Expense	Buried - metallic - Net Salvage %	-0.09
Expense	Buried - non metallic - Net Salvage %	-0.06
Expense	Intrabuilding Cable - metallic - Net Salvage %	-0.13
•		
Expense	Intrabuilding Cable - non metallic - Net Salvage %	-0.13
Expense Expense	Intrabuilding Cable - non metallic - Net Salvage % Conduit Systems - Net Salvage %	-0.13 -0.08

/lodule/Table	Scenario Input Value	<u> </u>
G Excavation/Restoration	Trench Per Ft - 5	1.2
G Excavation/Restoration	Trench Per Ft - 100	1.2
G Excavation/Restoration	Trench Per Ft - 200	1.3
G Excavation/Restoration	Trench Per Ft - 650	1.3
G Excavation/Restoration	Trench Per Ft - 850	1.
G Excavation/Restoration	Trench Per Ft - 2550	1.
G Excavation/Restoration	Trench Per Ft - 5000	4.
G Excavation/Restoration	Trench Per Ft -10000	4.
G Excavation/Restoration	Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 0	2.
G Excavation/Restoration	Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 5	2
G Excavation/Restoration	Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 100	2.
G Excavation/Restoration	Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 200	2
G Excavation/Restoration	Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 650	2
G Excavation/Restoration	Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 850	2.
G Excavation/Restoration	Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 2550	2
G Excavation/Restoration	Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 5000	13
G Excavation/Restoration	Backhoe Trench Per Ft -10000	20
G Excavation/Restoration	Hand Trench Per Ft - 0	
G Excavation/Restoration	Hand Trench Per Ft - 5	
G Excavation/Restoration	Hand Trench Per Ft - 100	
G Excavation/Restoration	Hand Trench Per Ft - 200	
G Excavation/Restoration	Hand Trench Per Ft - 650	
G Excavation/Restoration	Hand Trench Per Ft - 850	
G Excavation/Restoration	Hand Trench Per Ft - 2550	
G Excavation/Restoration	Hand Trench Per Ft - 5000	6
G Excavation/Restoration	Hand Trench Per Ft -10000	12
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 0	4
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 5	4
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 100	4
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 200	4
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 650	4
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 850	4
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 2550	4
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 5000	12
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft -10000	20
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 0	6
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 5	6
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 100	6
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 200	6
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 650	6
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 850	6
3 Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 2550	6
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 5000	14
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft -10000	24
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 0	0
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 5	0
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 100	0
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 200	0
	Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 650	0
G Excavation/Restoration		
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 850	0
G Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 2550	0

Module/Table	Scenario Input	Value
UG Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft -10000	0.68
UG Excavation/Restoration	Pavement Stabilization Per Ft - 0	3.4
UG Excavation/Restoration	Pavement Stabilization Per Ft - 5	3.4
UG Excavation/Restoration	Pavement Stabilization Per Ft - 100	3.4
UG Excavation/Restoration	Pavement Stabilization Per Ft - 200	3.4
JG Excavation/Restoration	Pavement Stabilization Per Ft - 650	3.4
UG Excavation/Restoration	Pavement Stabilization Per Ft - 850	6.1
UG Excavation/Restoration	Pavement Stabilization Per Ft - 2550	8.83
JG Excavation/Restoration	Pavement Stabilization Per Ft - 5000	11.54
JG Excavation/Restoration	Pavement Stabilization Per Ft -10000	13.58
UG Excavation/Restoration	Dirt Stabilization Per Ft - 0	0.68
UG Excavation/Restoration	Dirt Stabilization Per Ft - 5	0.68
UG Excavation/Restoration	Dirt Stabilization Per Ft - 100	0.68
UG Excavation/Restoration	Dirt Stabilization Per Ft - 200	0.68
JG Excavation/Restoration	Dirt Stabilization Per Ft - 650	0.68
JG Excavation/Restoration	Dirt Stabilization Per Ft - 850	2.72
UG Excavation/Restoration	Dirt Stabilization Per Ft - 2550	7.47
UG Excavation/Restoration	Dirt Stabilization Per Ft - 5000	8.15
UG Excavation/Restoration	Dirt Stabilization Per Ft -10000	10.86
UG Excavation/Restoration	Simple Backfill - 0	0.4
JG Excavation/Restoration	Simple Backfill - 5	0.
JG Excavation/Restoration	Simple Backfill - 100	0.4
JG Excavation/Restoration	Simple Backfill - 200	0.
UG Excavation/Restoration	Simple Backfill - 650	0.
UG Excavation/Restoration	Simple Backfill - 850	0.
JG Excavation/Restoration	Simple Backfill - 2550	0.4
JG Excavation/Restoration	Simple Backfill - 5000	0.4
JG Excavation/Restoration	Simple Backfill -10000	0.4
Buried Excavation/Restoration	•	0.65
Buried Excavation/Restoration		0.98
Buried Excavation/Restoration		1.55
Buried Excavation/Restoration		1.55
Buried Excavation/Restoration		
Buried Excavation/Restoration		1.55
Buried Excavation/Restoration		1.55
Buried Excavation/Restoration		1.59
		1.75
Buried Excavation/Restoration		1.75
Buried Excavation/Restoration		4.9
Buried Excavation/Restoration		12.24
Buried Excavation/Restoration		2.45
Juried Excavation/Restoration	Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 850	2.45

	Ky PSC Scer	ario
Module/Table	Scenario Input Value	
	Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 2550	2.45
	Backhoe Trench Per Ft - 5000	16.32
	Backhoe Trench Per Ft -10000	24.48
Buried Excavation/Restoration		4.08
Buried Excavation/Restoration		8.16
Buried Excavation/Restoration		14.69
Buried Excavation/Restoration		8.98
Buried Excavation/Restoration	Bore Cable Per Ft - 5000	8.98
Buried Excavation/Restoration	Bore Cable Per Ft -10000	14.69
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	Push Pipe/Pull Cable Per Ft - 0	4.9
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	Push Pipe/Pull Cable Per Ft - 5	4.9
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	Push Pipe/Pull Cable Per Ft - 100	4.9
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	Push Pipe/Pull Cable Per Ft - 200	4.9
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	Push Pipe/Pull Cable Per. Ft - 650	4.9
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	Push Pipe/Pull Cable Per Ft - 850	4.9
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	Push Pipe/Pull Cable Per Ft - 2550	4.9
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	Push Pipe/Pull Cable Per Ft - 5000	4.9
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	Push Pipe/Pull Cable Per Ft -10000	19.58
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 0	4.9
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	·	4.9
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 100	4.9
	Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 200	4.9
	Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 650	4.9
	Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 850	4.9
	Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 2550	4.9
	Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft - 5000	14.69
	Cut/Restore Asphalt Per Ft -10000	24.48
	Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 0	7.34
	Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 5	7.34
	Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 100	7.34
	Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 200	7.34
	Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 650	7.34
	Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 850	
	Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 2550	7.34 7.34
	Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 5000	7.3 <del>4</del> 17.14
	Cut/Restore Concrete Per Ft - 10000	
Buried Excavation/Restoration		29.38
Buried Excavation/Restoration		0.82
Buried Excavation/Restoration		0.82 0.82
Buried Excavation/Restoration		0.82
Danied Excavation///estoration	Oddinostole Sou Feli I (* 200	0.02

Module/Table	Scenario Input	Ky PSC Scenario Value
Buried Excavation/Restoration	Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 650	0.82
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 850	0.82
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 2550	0.82
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft - 5000	0.82
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	Cut/Restore Sod Per Ft -10000	0.82
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	Simple Backfill - 0	0.12
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	Simple Backfill - 5	0.12
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	Simple Backfill - 100	0.12
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	Simple Backfill - 200	0.12
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	Simple Backfill - 650	0.12
Buried Excavation/Restoration	Simple Backfill - 850	0.12
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	Simple Backfill - 2550	0.12
Buried Excavation/Restoration	Simple Backfill - 5000	0.12
<b>Buried Excavation/Restoration</b>	Simple Backfill -10000	0.12

Kentucky South Inc - Ky	@75% State	675 312	0	0	391,029	227,585	193,956	258,040	850,037	464,414	72,686	67,063	455,092	0	455,428	•	474,297	901,135	434,419	781,952	92,010	696,902	668,639	294,252	597,051	1,732,983	305,712	446,439	0	220,641	2,008	0 (	0 (	0	0 0
GTE Sou		18		· 69	30,343 \$	75,862 \$	64,652 \$	86,013 \$	283,346 \$	154,805 \$	24,229 \$	22,354 \$	151,697 \$	<b>\$</b>	151,809 \$	\$ 0	158,099 \$	300,378 \$	144,806 \$	260,651 \$	30,670 \$	232,301 \$	222,880 \$	98,084 \$	8 210,661	661 \$	101,904 \$	148,813 \$						<i>•</i> • •	
	@25% Federal allocation	\$ 225		· 69	\$ 130	\$ 75	\$	\$ 86	\$ 283	\$ 154	\$ 24	\$ 22	\$ 151	€9	\$ 151	G	\$ 158	\$ 300	\$ 144	\$ 260	\$ 30	\$ 232	\$ 222	86	199	\$ 577,661	101	148	<b>.</b>	بر س	·A (	Α.			ıa <i>u</i>
	Total annual support for specified line types	900.416	0	0	521,372	303,447	258,608	344,054	,133,383	619,219	96,915	89,417	606,790	0	607,237	0	632,395	,201,513	579,226	,042,603	122,680	929,202	891,518	392,336		,310,644	407,616	595,252	0	294,188	2,6/8	<b>&gt;</b> •	0 0	Э (	0 0
L.	area	\$	· <del>(s)</del>	· <del>()</del>	8	<b>↔</b>	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>		<del>\$</del>	es C	8	<b>⇔</b>	<b>⇔</b>	<b>↔</b>	<b>↔</b>	8	~ ~	₩	- 8	<b>⇔</b>	<del>69</del>	<b>↔</b>	<b>69</b> (		_	_		_			_		A (	₩ ¥
is Not to be Supported  \$0.00 \$0.00	Annual Support for public lines				Û	0	J	J	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 (	5	0	0	0 (	0	<b>5</b> (	<b>&gt;</b> 6	<b>&gt;</b> (	0	<b>&gt;</b> (	o c
be Su		15	0	0	0	0	0	<b>⇔</b>	<b>⇔</b> ⊙	<b>⇔</b>	<b>⇔</b> ○	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>↔</b>	<b>⇔</b>	<del>(у</del>	<del>.</del>	<i>,</i>	69 ( C)	99 ·	<del>и</del> (	<i>&gt;</i> •	<i>?</i> •			<i>•</i> • •	# ( D (	9 4 O C
	Annual support for multiline business lines								40																_										
7 <u>7</u> 8	CONTRACTOR AND CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	1			0	762 \$	0	\$ 6/	15	0	0	0	<b>↔</b>	<del>с,</del>	<del>с,</del>	0	0	92	<b>₩</b>	<del>У</del>	<del>(у</del>	<del>ся</del> О	42 \$	<del>•</del>	# ⊃ ;	99	۰ ص	931 \$	<i>?</i> •	<i>?</i> •	<i>A</i> €	<i>-</i>	<i>a</i> 6	<i>o</i> (	<i>⊕</i> €
that Line T	Annual support for single line business lines	11,238				7		9,679	23,715									14,605					11,042			6,766	•	Ö							
cates 00	I . TOMBUR OF WAY.	0		0	0	<del>\$</del>	<b>⇔</b>	<b>⇔</b>	<b>⇔</b>	<del>⇔</del>	<b>⇔</b>	<b>⇔</b>	<b>⇔</b>	<b>⇔</b>	<del>\$</del>	0	0	0	<del>с,</del>	<b>⇔</b>	<b>⇔</b> ○	<b>⇔</b>	69 ·	<b>ө</b>	<i>n</i> ·	_			<i>a</i> •		9 6 D C		# 6 > c	9 6 0 0	<i>,</i> , ,
Entry of \$0.00 indicates that Line Type 531.00 \$1.00 \$0.00	Annual support for secondary residence lines																																		
<b>5</b> 8	_ Se	82	0	<b>\$</b>	2 \$	35 \$	8	<b>4</b>	% 2∕2	<u>ග</u>	5 5	\$ 	S S	<b>↔</b>	37 \$	<b>⇔</b> ⊙	35	&	မှ	ნ ტ	တ္က	20	9	<u>د</u> و	9	φ <b>σ</b>	<i>p</i> (		<i>₽</i> 6		9 6 0 C		-		<i>A</i> 4
Entry \$31.00	Annual upport for primary idence lines	889,178			521,372	302,685	258,608	334,374	1,109,667	619,219	96,915	89,417	606,790		607,237		632,395	1,186,908	579,226	1,042,603	122,680	929,202	880,476	392,336	90,000	2,303,878	407,010	594,322	204.400	25,100	70,2				
ي ا	S 8	8							<b>⇔</b>	6 <del>9</del>	<b>↔</b>	<b>↔</b>	↔	<del>(2)</del>	<del>69</del>	↔	<del>⇔</del> –	<del>⇔</del>	<b>↔</b>	↔	<b>↔</b>	6 <del>9</del> (							9 6						A 45
igned for USF: 100% igned for USF: 100% EM usage ratio: 110% Monthly Support Benchmark:	@ Business usage per line	58.48	17.98	29.68	45.85	130.54	50.32	63.84	77.47	43.85	32.33	34.51	106.54	23.39	49.83	18.54	48.61	63.13	95.71	49.87	35.51	139.72	96.20	36.39	47.33	82.75	45.02	32.70T	00.00	20.00	15.70	7.7	17.00	00.71	15.59
:: ::: ::	O S O O	8	<b>⇔</b>	<b>↔</b> ∞								<b>⇔</b>		-					<del>69</del> (	<b>⇔</b>	<b>⇔</b>	<b>₩</b>				<i>.</i> → •			9 6						A 45
id for USF id for USF sage ratic thly Supp	@ Residence	57.99	17.59	29.23	45.32	128.64	49.69	63.05	76.89	43.36	31.92	34.07	<b>4</b> .45	23.02	49.33	18.10	48.13	62.65	94.73	49.37	35.03	138.68	95.40	35.92	40.04	82.78	5.00	105.50	00.43	37.7E	15.70		17.50	3 5	21.94
signe signe EM u						€9																		A 6		A 6		A 6		<b>.</b>		<b>→</b>	<b>.</b>		9 69
% of Loop Assigned for USF: % of Port Assigned for USF: Bus/Res local DEM usage ratio Monthly Suppo	Avg monthly cost per line	58.07	17.71	29.34	45.36	128.66	49.84	63.21	76.94	43.47	32.05	34.18	<b>1</b> 24.45	23.15	49.41	18.29	48.23	62.70	94.73	49.43	35.15	138.68	90.45 60.45	36.01	40.97	82.19	450	10.001	00.00	30.50	15.53	15.70	17.64	44.44	22.03
%. Bus/F		₩	₩	₩	₩	<del>()</del>	₩.	₩ •	<b>69</b>	<b>69</b> (	₩.	↔	₩	↔	↔	↔	<del>()</del>	<b>69</b> (	<b>₩</b>	<b>63</b> (	<b>₩</b> (	<i>•</i>	<i>A</i> €	A 4	<b>→</b> €	A 6	<b>∌</b> €	A 6	<b>&gt;</b> 4	→ 4	→ 4	. <i>u</i>	<b>→</b>	•	9 69
	7	ALBYKYXA	<b>ASLDKYXA</b>	BEREKYXA	BRSDKYXA	BRVLKYXA	BSVLKYXA	BTVLKYXA	CECLKYXA	CLMAKYXA	CMVLKYXA	CTBGKYXA	<b>EWNGKYXA</b>	EZTWKYXA	<b>FMBGKYXA</b>	GLSGKYXA	GNBGKYXB	GNUPKYXA	GRSNKYXA	GYSNKYXA	HGVLKYXA	HLBOKYXA	HIVENTAE	HZKUKTXA I BNNKYYA	DOTING A	LBKINTXA	LINCOLLAN	TEDKYYA	אַלאַטאָרן	I XTNKYI IK	XTNKYXA	IXTNKYXB	XINKYXC	LYTHKYYD	LXTNKYXE

 	c		64 844	68.013	1.259.111	559.135	271.681	0	868.876	419,686	335,441	0	685.589	487,536	603,307	298,587		391,111	526,805	223.478	528.728	1.023.496	0	0
	¥.	₩,	₩.	₩.	₩.	₩.	· <del>(1</del> )	₩	₩.	69	4	4	•	4	₩	G	G	G	₩.	69	69	69	ы	₩
	C	0	21,615	22.671	419.704	186,378	90,560	0	289,625	139,895	111,814	0	228.530	162,512	201,102	99,529	0	130,370	175,602	74,493	176,243	341,165	•	0
	69	69	₩.	69	•	63	69	<b>6</b> 9	₩	ь	ь	4	ю	₩	ь	69	₩	₩	G			6	G	₩
	0	0	86.459	90.685	1.678.815	745,513	362.242		1,158,501	559,582	447,254	•	914.119	650,047	804,409	398,116	0	521,481	702.406	297.971	704.971	1,364,661	0	0
	H	49	69	63	69	ы	G	6	₩	69	↔	49	₩	w	<del>(A)</del>	₩	₩	↔	G	W	G	4	€9	₩,
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	G	<b>6</b>		· <del>()</del>		ь		ь																₩
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	40	. 40	. 40	. 40	. 40			"	40	"	"	"	"	"	۰,					40				
	0	0	0	0	13,588		2,121	0	0	2,160 \$		0	0	0	1,937	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,584	0	0
					¥		•			•	•				7							સ		
	G	69	G	G	G	G	↔	₩	<del>()</del>	G	G	<del>()</del>	₩	G	<del>63</del>	<del>()</del>	₩	<del>()</del>	<del>69</del>	₩	<del>()</del>	G	₩	₩
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<del>()</del>	↔	49	↔	49	↔	₩	H	₩	₩	₩	₩	₩	₩	↔	H	<del>()</del>	<del>69</del>	↔	↔	<del>()</del>	₩	<del>63</del>	↔
	0	0	86,459	90,685	1,665,227	745,513	360,121	0	1,158,501	557,421	444,861	0	914,119	650,047	782,472	398,116	0	521,481	702,406	297,971	704,971	1,330,076	0	0
	₩							↔			↔	↔			₩							↔		↔
	20.31	20.29	41.07	33.14	58.54	41.28	85.07	23.02	92.09	61.31	99.88	21.91	46.86	123.27	68.71	89.69	21.67	50.46	97.76	41.29	77.80	87.62	28.46	27.76
	<del>()</del>	<del>63</del>	G	₩	ss.	<del>63</del>	<del>()</del>	₩	↔	₩	₩	₩	₩	↔	₩	↔	₩	€	<del>6</del>	₩	€9	₩	<del>ss</del>	₩
	Ξ																							Σ.
	19.6	19.6	40.	32.6	58.	40.8	83.6	22.62	90.	909	98.	21.7	46.4	120.3	68.1	88.1	21.2	49.6	96.7	40.8	76.0	87.0	<b>5</b> 8.0	27.2
	↔	₩	<del>(/)</del>	↔	↔	↔	₩	H	↔	₩	<del>()</del>	<del>(/)</del>	₩	↔	↔	₩	₩	₩	₩	4	<del>()</del>	<del>(A)</del>	₩	<del>()</del>
	66	80	46	80	Ξ	95	22	73	11	65	92	22	5	32	20	8	42	8	29	94	8	7	17	ဓ္က
	19	20	4	32.	28	4	83.	22.73	90	9	98	21.	46.	120	<b>6</b> 8	88	21.	49.	96	40	76.	87.	28.	27.
	₩	₩	₩	↔	↔	₩	₩	↔	↔	₩	↔	€3	↔	↔	↔	₩	↔	↔	↔	↔	₩	₩	<del>69</del>	₩
	LXTNKYXF	LXTNKYXG	MDWYKYXA	MEDSKYXA	MNTIKYXA	MRHDKYXA	NANCKYXA	NCVLKYXA	OLHLKYXA	OWVLKYXA	PNLCKYXE	RSSLKYXB	SCVLKYXA	SHBGKYXA	SHDNKYXA	SLLCKYXA	SMRTKYXA	SSHRKYXA	TLBOKYXA	TMVLKYXA	VICCKYXA	VNBGKYXA	VRSLKYXA	WLMRKYXA

		<b>@</b> 52%	
Line Type	Support Grand Totals	Federal allocation	@75% State allocation
Primary residence lines	\$27.010.488	\$6.752.622	\$20.257.866
Secondary residence lines	8	8	90
Single line business lines	\$155,522	\$38,881	\$116,642
Multline business lines	<b>0\$</b>	0\$	80
Public lines	0\$	\$0	80
All switched lines	\$27,166,010	\$6,791,503	\$20,374,508